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Summary

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is located within the Executive Office of the President (EOP). As a staff agency to the President, OMB acts on the President’s behalf in preparing the President’s annual budget proposal, overseeing the executive branch, and helping steer the President’s policy actions and agenda. In doing so, OMB interacts extensively with Congress in ways that are both visible and hidden from view. This report provides a concise overview of OMB and its major functions, and highlights a number of issues influenced by OMB in matters of policy, budget, management, and OMB’s internal operations. This report will be updated annually.
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Capsule History of OMB

The Office of Management and Budget traces its origin to 1921. Established as the Bureau of the Budget (BOB) within the Treasury Department by the Budget and Accounting Act, 1921 (42 Stat. 20), it functioned under the supervision of the President. Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1939 (53 Stat. 1423) transferred the bureau to the newly created Executive Office of the President (EOP). Subsequently, BOB was designated as the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) by Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1970 (84 Stat. 2085). Concern about OMB’s accountability prompted Congress to make the director and deputy director subject to Senate confirmation in 1974 (88 Stat. 11). Congress also established four statutory offices within OMB to oversee several cross-cutting processes and management matters.


Organization and Staffing in OMB

The current profile of OMB’s leadership and organizational structure is available on the agency’s website. In addition to OMB’s leadership and their support staff, OMB has three major types of offices: (1) resource management offices; (2) statutory offices; and (3) OMB-wide support offices.

Each of OMB’s four resource management offices (RMOs) focuses on a cluster of related agencies and issues (e.g., natural resource programs) to examine budget requests and make funding recommendations. In addition, RMOs are tasked with integrating management, budget,
and policy perspectives in their work as a result of OMB’s latest major reorganization in 1994. Politically appointed program associate directors (PADs) lead the RMOs. Below the PAD level, RMO staff are almost always career civil servants, and are organized into divisions and branches. Each RMO branch covers a cabinet department or collection of smaller agencies and is led by a career member of the Senior Executive Service (SES). OMB’s program examiners staff each RMO branch.

Three of the statutory offices focus on management areas: financial management (OFFM), procurement policy (OFPP), and information technology (E-Gov Office, shared with OIRA). The fourth office, OIRA, has a broad portfolio of responsibilities, including regulation, information policy and technology, paperwork reduction, statistical policy, and privacy. Analysts in the statutory offices develop policy, coordinate implementation, and work with the RMOs on agency-specific issues.

OMB’s seven support offices also play key roles. For example, the Budget Review Division (BRD) coordinates the process for preparing the President’s annual budget proposal to Congress. The Legislative Reference Division (LRD) coordinates review of agencies’ draft bills, congressional testimony, and correspondence to ensure compliance with the President’s policy agenda. OMB’s Economic Policy Office works with the President’s Council of Economic Advisers (CEA) and the Treasury Department to develop economic assumptions. The other support offices are general counsel, legislative affairs, communications, and administration.

OMB had 484 full-time equivalent (FTE) positions in FY2005 and estimated 500 for FY2006. OMB typically has a total of 20–25 political appointees and staff, while the rest are career civil servants. OMB’s director, deputy director, and deputy director for management are presidentially appointed with Senate confirmation (PAS). The heads of OFPP, OFFM, and OIRA are also PAS officials. In contrast, the administrator of the E-Gov Office is presidentially appointed (PA). Figure 1 shows OMB’s historical staffing.

---


8 U.S. Office of Management and Budget, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2007, Appendix, p. 1043. During both FY2003 and FY2004, OMB also used 60 detailers from other agencies in parts of each of those fiscal years, with total usage of 14 FTEs in FY2003 and 16 FTEs for FY2004. CRS has requested FY2005 figures. Detailers at OMB are typically assigned to help prepare the President’s budget, for training, and for other specific projects.

9 Tomkin, Inside OMB, pp. 22-23.
Figure 1. OMB Staffing, FY1958-FY2007


Notes: FY2006 estimated, FY2007 proposed by Administration. Vertical lines indicate presidential first-term inaugurations (e.g., Richard M. Nixon was inaugurated for his first term on January 20, 1969, during FY1969). Data do not include details from other agencies to OMB. Data from FY1958 to FY1977 indicate average number of employees instead of full-time equivalents (FTEs), and from FY1958 to FY1971 do not include 2-6 employees doing reimbursable work for other agencies. The agency was called BOB until 1970.

OMB’s Budget

OMB’s budget is driven mainly by personnel costs. Compensation and benefits were 88% of OMB’s $67.8 million in total obligations for FY2005. The remainder chiefly covered contractual services (8%). Among OMB’s offices, 51% of FY2005 funding went to the RMOs, 31% to the OMB-wide support offices (including the E-Gov Office), and 18% to the statutory offices. Figure 2 shows OMB’s budget history.

10 U.S. Executive Office of the President, Fiscal Year 2007 Congressional Budget Submission (Washington: EOP, 2006), p. OMB-10. OMB defines obligations as binding agreements that will result in immediate or future outlays.
OMB’s budget has fluctuated in recent years due to reallocations of funding, related to the “enterprise services program,” among budget accounts in the EOP. For FY2003, Congress reallocated $8.3 million from OMB to the EOP’s Office of Administration (OA) for central procurement of goods and services, reducing OMB’s appropriation compared to the prior fiscal year. The President subsequently requested for both FY2004 and FY2005 that similar, though slightly reduced, funding be shifted back to OMB, but Congress continued a similar reallocation in both years. For FY2006, the President requested that the reallocation to OA continue, but Congress shifted $7 million, for rent and health unit costs, from OA back to OMB, and appropriated $76.2 million (after rescission) to OMB. For FY2007, the President proposed $68.8 million for OMB (9.7% lower than the FY2006 level) and $7.9 million (related to OMB’s rent, health unit, transit subsidy, and flexible spending account costs) for OA. Including the $7.9 million proposed for OA that otherwise might be in OMB’s budget, the FY2007 OMB proposal is a 0.6% increase in nominal dollars compared to FY2006, and a 1.7% decrease in constant dollars.

---


Major Functions

As a primary support agency for the President, OMB has important and varied responsibilities. A 1986 study identified 95 statutes, 58 executive orders, five regulations, and 51 circulars that reflected OMB’s operational authorities at the time. Most observers include as “major functions” of OMB those listed below.

Budget Formulation and Execution

The Budget and Accounting Act, 1921, as amended and recodified, requires the President to submit each year a consolidated budget proposal for Congress’s consideration. In this “formulation phase,” OMB sends budget guidance to agencies via its Circular No. A-11, which is updated each year to reflect the President’s budget and management priorities. Agency heads then forward their formal budget requests to OMB, where the RMOs and E-Gov Office (for information technology initiatives) assemble options and analysis for decisions by OMB and the White House. After an opportunity for agency appeals, OMB’s BRD coordinates production of the President’s budget. When Congress completes action on appropriations bills and they are signed into law, the “execution phase” begins. The Antideficiency Act (which includes 31 U.S.C. §§ 1511-1514) requires OMB to “apportion” appropriated funds (usually quarterly) to prevent agencies from spending at a rate that would exhaust their appropriations before the end of the fiscal year.

Legislative Coordination and Clearance

OMB plays a key role in coordinating the President’s legislative activities. Under Circular No. A-19, OMB’s LRD coordinates executive branch review and clearance of congressional testimony and correspondence and agencies’ draft bills to ensure compliance with the President’s policy agenda, make known the Administration’s views on legislation, and allow affected agencies to provide input during intra-executive branch policy development. For non-appropriations legislation, LRD plays a coordination role in preparing “Statements of Administration Policy” (SAPs) for Congress, and memoranda to advise the President on enrolled bills (e.g., recommending signature or veto, or contents for signing statements). BRD performs similar duties for appropriations legislation.

---

14 For more on OMB’s budget responsibilities, see CRS Report RS20167, The Role of the Office of Management and Budget in Budget Development, by (name redacted).
16 See Tomkin, Inside OMB, pp. 127-137, for a description of the process.
Regulatory and Information Policy

OMB exercises considerable influence over agency regulations. Under Executive Order 12866, OIRA works with OMB’s RMOs to review agency rules and cost-benefit analyses. In addition, other OIRA policy and oversight responsibilities include statistical policy; paperwork reduction; government use of personal information under the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a); information technology investment under the Clinger-Cohen Act (P.L. 104-106, 110 Stat. 679); and information security. OIRA shares some responsibilities with the E-Gov Office.

Executive Branch Management

OMB has responsibility for overseeing management in the executive branch. OMB is responsible for clearing and approving proposed executive orders (EOs) and many proclamations. OMB’s deputy director for management (DDM) is charged with overall responsibility for general management policies in the executive branch, including the domains of the statutory offices, plus human resources management. The statutory offices also develop policy and coordinate implementation in the areas of financial management (OFFM), procurement policy (OFPP), and information policy and technology (OIRA and E-Gov Office). OMB’s RMOs are tasked with integrating budget, policy, and management issues for specific agencies in cooperation with the statutory offices. Observers disagree as to how well OMB has fulfilled these management responsibilities. Some have argued that the “M” in OMB is more mirage than real, because budget responsibilities crowd out attention to management issues, while others have argued that budget and management responsibilities cannot realistically be separated. OMB leads implementation of the George W. Bush Administration’s Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART) and President’s Management Agenda (PMA). The PART, which OMB uses to rate the “overall effectiveness” of programs, has been used to help justify the President’s budget proposals. The PMA includes, among other things, five government-wide initiatives and quarterly evaluation of agencies on a “scorecard” with red, yellow, or green “stoplight scores” for each of the initiatives, based on published “standards for success.” As an agency, OMB’s scorecard


22 See CRS Report RL32663, The Bush Administration’s Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART), by (name redacted); CRS Report RS21416, The President’s Management Agenda: A Brief Introduction, by (name redacted); and the PMA website, available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/results/agenda/.
ratings for December 31, 2005, were two yellow and three red for “status” and, for “progress,” four green and one yellow.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted)
Analyst in Government Organization and Management
[redacted]@crs.loc.gov, 7-....
The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS’ institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.