## **CRS** Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

# Caspian Oil and Gas: Production and Prospects

Bernard A. Gelb Specialist in Industry Economics Resources, Science, and Industry Division

## **Summary**

There is a likelihood of large reserves of crude oil and natural gas in the Caspian Sea region, and a consequent large increase in oil and natural gas production from that area. Because diversity of energy sources is a consideration in Congressional deliberations on energy policy, this prospect could play a role in such discussions. However, there are notable obstacles to increases in Caspian Sea region production of oil and gas that may slow development. This report will be updated as events warrant.

The Caspian Sea is a 700-mile-long body of water in central Asia bordered by Azerbaijan, Iran, Kazakhstan, Russia and Turkmenistan. Among the five nations, only Iran is a member of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries. Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, and Turkmenistan became independent when the Soviet Union dissolved in 1991. The Caspian Sea region historically has produced oil and natural gas, but the region is considered to have large resources of oil and gas capable of much greater production.

#### **Current Production and Proven Reserves**

The Caspian Sea region presently is a significant, but not major, supplier of crude oil to world markets, based upon estimates by BP and the Energy Information Administration (EIA), U.S. Department of Energy. The Caspian region produced roughly 1.8 million barrels per day (bbls/day) including natural gas liquids in 2004, or 2% of total world output (**Table 1**). Fifteen non-Caspian region countries each produced more than 1.8 million bbls/day in 2004. Caspian region production has been higher, but suffered during the dissolution of the Soviet Union and the years following. Kazakhstan, whose production has risen rapidly since the late 1990s, accounted for 68% and Azerbaijan for 18% of regional crude oil output in 2004.

Based upon figures published by BP, Caspian region oil production comes from proven (economically recoverable) reserves of 47 billion bbls (**Table 2**). This equals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EIA. Caspian Sea Region: Survey of Key Oil and Gas Statistics and Forecasts, August 2005 [http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/Caspian/images/caspian\_balances\_pdf]. This report does not include Uzbekistan, which does not border the Caspian Sea, in the Caspian Sea region.

about 4% of total world proven reserves, and much more than BP's figure for U.S. reserves (29 billion bbls).<sup>2</sup> EIA estimates of much larger "possible" reserves suggest a potential for much greater production. However, as indicated by analysis later in this report, there are obstacles to output increases both now and in the future.

The Caspian Sea region's relative contribution to world supplies of natural gas is larger than that for oil. With gas production of about 2.8 trillion cubic feet per year (tcf/yr) in 2004, it accounted for 3% of world output. As with oil, gas production has been higher, but suffered during the collapse of the Soviet Union and the following years. Turkmenistan is the heavily predominant producer; with production of 2.1 tcf/yr, it accounts for almost three-fourths of the region's gas output.

Table 1. Oil and Gas Production in the Caspian Sea Region

	Crude Oil <sup>a</sup> (thousands of barrels per day)			Natural Gas (trillion cubic feet per year)			
Country	1992	2004	2010				
			Low	High	1992	2004	2010
Azerbaijan	222	319	789	1,290	0.28	0.19	0.60
Kazakhstan	529	1,221	748	2,400	0.29	0.56	1.24
Russia <sup>b</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	200		n.a.	n.a	n.a.
Turkmenistan	110	260	475	1,000	2.02	2.07	4.24
Total Caspian	861	1,800	2,212	4,890	2.59	2.82	6.08
WORLD	73,935	80,260	95,100		72.195	95.013	110.00°

n.a. - Not available from specified sources.

**Sources:** BP. *BP Statistical Review of World Energy 2005*, June 2005; Energy Information Administration. *Caspian Sea Region Country Analysis Brief*, September 2005; EIA. *Caspian Sea Region: Survey of Key Oil and Gas Statistics and Forecasts*, August 2005; EIA. *International Energy Outlook 2005*, July 2005, at [http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/ieo], viewed December 31, 2005.

Unlike oil, the region's proven reserves of natural gas are a higher proportion of the world total than is its natural gas production. In some important instances, exploration efforts hoping to find oil have found almost entirely gas instead. The estimate of proven reserves of natural gas in the Caspian Sea region for 2004 published by BP — 257 tcf — represents 4% of the world total. Increases in Caspian Region gas production face obstacles somewhat similar to those that challenge further oil development and production.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Includes natural gas liquids.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Regions near the Caspian Sea.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Consumption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Three-fourths of BP's figure for Caspian region total gas reserves is accounted for by a reported 30-billion barrel increase in Kazakhstan's reserves between 2003 and 2004.

Table 2. Estimates of Oil and Gas Reserves and Resources

		Proven Reserve	Possible Additional Oil/Gas	
Region Country		Oil (billions of bbls)	il (billions of bbls) Natural Gas (trillion tcf)	
Caspian Sea Region	Azerbaijan	7.0	48	32/35
	Iran <sup>b</sup>	0.1°	n.a.	15/11
	Kazakhstan	39.6	106	92/88
	Russia <sup>b</sup>	0.3	n.a.	7/n.a.
	Turkmenistan	0.5	102	38/159
	TOTAL	47.5	257	184/293
Reference Areas	United States	29	187	47/271 <sup>d</sup>
	North Sea <sup>e</sup>	15	168	n.a
	Saudi Arabia	263	238	n.a.
	WORLD	1,148	6,337	n.a.

n.a. - Not available from sources listed below.

**Sources:** BP. *BP Statistical Review of World Energy 2005*. June 2005; Penwell Publishing Company. *Oil & Gas Journal*. December 20, 2004; Department of Energy, EIA. *Caspian Sea Region: Survey of Key Oil and Gas Statistics and Forecasts*, August 2005; U.S. Geological Survey. "National Oil & Gas Assessment," at [http://www.energy.cr.usgs.gov/oilgas/noga/2004update.htm], viewed March 1, 2005.

## **Resource and Production Prospects**

There is a likelihood of much greater additional reserves of crude oil and natural gas being found in the Caspian Sea region. This is supported by the fact that a number of oil companies have large stakes there. Much of the known reserves have not been developed yet, and development usually leads to discovery that prospects are larger than originally estimated. Moreover, many areas remain unexplored. The EIA estimates that an *additional* 184 billion barrels of crude oil reserves are possible,<sup>3</sup> which would raise the total to well over10 times its present level. This level of proven reserves would equal almost 90% of the amount now held by Saudi Arabia and could come to about 20% of total world reserves. If the high output projection for 2010 in **Table 1** comes to pass, Caspian region oil production will have risen nearly 175% — to 4.9 million bbls/day.

The prospective increase in natural gas proven reserves appears to be much smaller in relative terms than for oil, but still very large. It is estimated that there are nearly 300 tcf in *additional* natural gas reserves in the region. Should this be the case, total Caspian region proven reserves in 2010 would put the region's proven gas reserve total at about 2.75 times its present level and far exceed present Saudi Arabian natural gas reserves. Given such expectations, it is estimated that Caspian Region natural gas production would exceed six tcf by 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Excludes proven reserves. Data from various sources compiled by EIA in *Survey* cited below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Only resources near the Caspian Sea are included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Data from EIA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Undiscovered conventional oil and gas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Includes Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Norway, and United Kingdom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Caspian Sea Region: Survey of Key Oil and Gas Statistics and Forecasts, August 2005.

Any comparison of the volumes of Caspian Sea region oil and natural gas reserves versus those of Saudi Arabia, however, must be tempered by acknowledgment of the considerable advantage of Saudi oil and gas in terms of much lower costs of production and much easier market access. Also, whatever the quantities and the production costs of their energy resources, Caspian countries' ability to develop and bring them to market could depend to some extent on the ability to establish and maintain relationships with international energy companies

### **Present and Prospective Markets**

In view of the above, Caspian region countries potentially are large exporters of oil and gas. Caspian Sea region oil and gas has several markets now and a wider variety of potential markets. These include nations trying to meet their economies' demand for energy and those that also wish to reduce their dependence on Persian Gulf energy.

Now, nearly all Caspian crude oil goes north and/or west. Reflecting the Soviet era dictates and infrastructure, it travels largely via pipeline to and/or through Russia to European markets, with refineries as part of the network. Some also goes by tanker through the Bosporus straits to Western European markets via the Mediterranean. Natural gas transportation, even more than oil, is tied to pipelines going mainly north and/or west through Russia and its monopoly pipeline system — Transneft. This, together with the fact that Russia itself produces oil and gas, provides Russia with the market power to collect transit fees on Caspian energy shipped through its transportation network, and to determine in some cases how much, if any, it is willing to transport. Also, because energy competes on a delivered-cost basis, reflecting transit fees, Caspian energy wellhead prices suffer. Caspian region countries thus have incentives to develop alternatives to routes through Russia — possibly consortia of routes that would avoid long transits through Russia in reaching European and other markets *and* provide leverage in negotiating transit fees on shipments that do go through the Russian pipeline system.

In addition, after Russia's early January 2006 temporary cutting of natural gas supplies through Ukraine, it is likely that Western European countries, which already had been seeking to diversify their sources of gas, will more actively seek non-Russian gas so as to reduce the effect of feared future cut-offs.

Caspian energy sources are attractive to Turkey: they are close and offer Turkey an opportunity to offset part of its energy import bill through transit fees for shipments across its territory. Turkey's energy use is growing much faster than its output, making it a rapidly growing importer of both oil and gas; it already is a large market for Russian gas. Also, Turkey has very good relations with Caspian and Central Asian countries.

East Asian countries also are potentially attractive markets. Japan already imports a significant quantity of gas; and energy consumption in India and Pakistan is growing rapidly. Perhaps most significant, China's proven oil and gas reserves are small compared with the current and potential size of its economy and recent steep increases in its oil consumption. This has led to the building of an oil pipeline from Kazakhstan to China.

The prospects of Caspian energy exports to the regions identified above may be limited by newly expanding or developing non-Caspian energy exports to those regions. These developments include expansion of North Africa's gas export capacity, discovery

of a large natural gas province in and near Egypt, development of a large gas field in Pakistan, and growing liquefied natural gas export capacity of Persian Gulf nations.

## **Challenges to Further Development**

There are, however, inter-related geographical, political, economic, technological, legal, and psychological obstacles to the further exploration for and development of Caspian Sea region energy resources.

Because the Caspian Sea is landlocked and the region's nations are distant from the largest energy markets, transportation must at least begin by pipeline, followed in many cases by tanker through the shallow and congested Bosporus straits. Pipelines from the Caspian region completed before 1997, except those in northern Iran, were routed to Russia and designed to link the former Soviet Union internally. The several pipelines now operating have sufficient capacity to handle present production, but little more. Completion of the CPC pipeline from Kazakhstan's Tengiz oilfield to Novorossiisk (Russia) on the Black Sea in 2001 and its planned expansion is notable, but the *effective* capacity of the CPC line, and that of others, may be constrained by limits on tanker passage through the Bosporus.<sup>4</sup> The BTC pipeline, in early January 2006, is expected to start delivering oil in the first half of the year; when it does, its capacity plus that of the operating pipelines in **Table 3** will total 2.2 million bbls/day. New pipelines to serve east Asian markets have economic potential but could be lengthy, and entail transit through Afghanistan, Iran, and/or Pakistan. Routes to east Asian markets via Iran would include shipping through the Persian Gulf.

These issues are complicated by the fact that pipeline routes face potential disruption by regional conflicts. These include longstanding tension between India and Pakistan, continuing unsettled conditions in Afghanistan, the Armenia-Azerbaijan dispute over Nagorno-Karabakh, separatist efforts in Georgia, and military activity in Chechnya.

It is deducible from the above that deciding upon pipeline routes that have a reasonable assurance of security and are politically acceptable to parties with influence in the region are hurdles in the development of Caspian Sea region energy resources.

On the purely economic side, the longer the pipeline route, the less attractive it is to producers, other things being equal, inasmuch as energy competes on a delivered-cost basis and transit fees (based upon distance) effectively lower the wellhead price received by producers. Because transit fees are a source of revenue to governments, politics as well as economics come into play in pipeline route selection. Built-in precautions to minimize environmental impacts, particularly in and around the Sea, add to pipeline costs.

In addition, much of Caspian energy resources are offshore, requiring special large drilling rigs. Very limited rig production capacity in the relatively isolated region makes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Limited depth, heavy traffic, and environmental considerations have resulted in restrictions by Turkish authorities on travel through the Bosporus. Supporters of the Baku to Ceyhan pipeline assert that Ceyhan, a Turkish Mediterranean Sea port, can handle very large carriers, while the Supsa and Novorossisk ports are restricted to smaller tankers that can transit the Bosporus. Also, Ceyhan can remain open all year, whereas Novorossiisk is closed up to two months per year.

the acquisition of rigs expensive and logistically difficult, hampering development of Caspian energy resources. This situation is easing a little as one new rig was added to the fleet in the past year and another is in the production pipeline.

Full realization of the energy potential of the region also is impeded by the unresolved legal status of the Caspian Sea. Despite a number of efforts, so far only Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, and Russia among the littoral states have reached agreement on delineating ownership of the Sea's resources or their rights of development. Potential wealth from development heightens the stakes for each country, leading to conflicts over claims to promising regions.

Investment enthusiasm slackened after the surge of production-sharing agreements during the early and mid 1990s. Some recent exploration efforts have had disappointing results, particularly with respect to oil. Somewhat reduced activity, from less investment, has reduced the rate of discovery, with a further psychological effect.

Despite the obstacles discussed above, energy development in the Caspian Sea region is proceeding and is likely to proceed further given the widely perceived prospect of very large energy resources in the Caspian Sea region. The pace of development, however, may be less rapid than might otherwise be the case.

Table 3. Selected Oil Pipeline Routes from the Caspian Sea Region

		Length	Capacity (bbls/day)					
Pipeline	Route	(Miles)	2005/'06	2010/'15				
Operating								
Atyrau-Samara	Atyrau, Kazakhstan, to Samara, Russia	432	310,000	500,000				
Baku- Novorossiisk	Baku, Azerbaijan, via Chechnya, to Novorossiisk, Russia/Black Sea (northern route)	868	100,000	300,000 (possible)				
Baku-Novorossiysk	u-Novorossiysk Baku to Novorossiysk via Dagestan, Russia		120,000	360,000 (planned)				
Baku-Supsa	Baku to Supsa, Georgia/Black Sea	515	100,000	100,000				
Caspian Pipeline Consortium (CPC)	Tengiz oil field, Kazakhstan, to Novorossiysk	980	560,000	1,340,000 (planned)				
Near Completion or Under Construction								
Baku-Ceyhan (BTC) (being filled)	Baku to Tbilisi, Georgia, to Ceyhan, Turkey/Mediterranean Sea	1,040	1,000,000	1,000,000				
Iran Oil Swap (under construction)			170,000	370,000				
Kazakhstan-China (being filled)	Atasu (Kazakhstan) to Xinjiang (China)	613 (1 <sup>st</sup> stage)	200,000	400,000				

**Sources:** BG Group plc. BG Country Operations - Kazakhstan, [http://www.bg-group.com/international/int-kaz.htm], viewed on March 4, 2005; Energy Information Administration (EIA), *Caspian Sea Region Country Analysis Brief*, December 2004; EIA. *Caspian Sea Region: Reserves and Pipelines*, Table 4, July 2002; EIA. *Kazakhstan Country Analysis Brief*, November 2004. For EIA *Country Analysis Briefs* and related data, see [http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs].