## **CRS** Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

# WTO Dispute Settlement: Stages and Pending U.S. Activity Before the Dispute Settlement Body

Todd B. Tatelman Legislative Attorney American Law Division

#### **Summary**

World Trade Organization (WTO) member countries resolve disputes pursuant to the WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU), which establishes the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) to administer dispute proceedings and contains the rules and procedures that apply to these proceedings. Since the inception of the DSU in January of 1995, there have been 324 complaints filed. Of these complaints, the United States has been actively involved, either as a complainant or defendant, in slightly more than half, or 167 of these cases. This report briefly describes the various stages of the WTO dispute settlement process, and provides a statistical overview of the pending cases in which the United States is a party. This report will be updated.

#### Stages of a WTO Dispute<sup>1</sup>

**Consultations.**<sup>2</sup> When a dispute arises between WTO member countries, the complaining party may request that the other nation(s) enter into consultations to attempt to resolve the dispute. Consultations are required to be active for a period of 60 days before the complaining party can request the formation of a panel to resolve the dispute. If, however, the responding party fails to agree to consultations, or the parties agree that the dispute cannot be settled in consultations, then a panel may be requested before the expiration of the 60 days.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a more complete and detailed overview of DSB procedures, see CRS Report RS20088, *Dispute Settlement in the WTO: An Overview*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See generally, World Trade Organization, Dispute Settlement Understanding, Art. 4, available at [http://www.wto.org/english/docs\_e/legal\_e/28-dsu.pdf].

**Establishment of Panel and Panel Procedures.**<sup>3</sup> If a member party requests that the DSB establish a panel, the DSB must establish the panel unless there is a consensus not to do so. Panels are usually composed of three members who are proposed by the DSB Secretariat and approved by the disputing parties. If no agreement over panel members can be reached within 20 days of the panel's establishment, either party may request that the WTO Director General appoint the members of the panel.

Once the members have been selected, the panel receives written submissions from the parties and hears oral arguments. The panel then has six months, unless an extension is granted, to issue a final report to the disputing parties. The panel report is then circulated to the WTO membership for adoption. The time period from establishment of a panel to circulation of the final report should not exceed nine months.

**Appellate Review.** The panel report is to be adopted within 60 days of circulation to WTO members, unless a disputing party issues a notice of appeal. If a notice has been issued, the Appellate Body (AB) has 60 days to issue a report that either affirms, reverses or modifies the panel report. The appellate report is then circulated to the WTO membership who must adopt it, along with the original panel report as modified by the AB report, unless there is a consensus not to do so.

**Implementation and Compliance.**<sup>5</sup> After the adoption of either a final panel or appellate report, a member will have 30 days to notify the DSB as to how the findings of the report will be implemented. Implementation, however, often cannot be achieved quickly, so a reasonable period of time is provided to the country for implementation. If a dispute arises as to how long a reasonable period of time should be, the compliance period will be determined by binding arbitration. If there is a dispute as to whether implementation or compliance has been achieved, the parties may also seek to settle the dispute through binding arbitration. If an arbitration panel is established to settle a dispute over implementation or compliance, it generally has 90 days to complete its report and issue its findings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See generally id., at Art. 6-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See generally id., at Art.17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See generally id., at Art.21-25.

#### Pending U.S. Activity Before the DSB<sup>6</sup>

The following chart is compiled from documents produced by the WTO, and reports only the cases that involve the United States. The table does not indicate the outcome on the merits with respect to any of the reported cases, except for noting the number of cases in the implementation phase. 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> World Trade Organization, *Update of WTO Dispute Settlement Cases*, WT/DS/OV/22 (Oct. 14, 2004), *available at* [http://www.wto.org]. According to the most current chronological list of disputes maintained by the WTO, there has been a total of 324 complaints filed since the inception of the DSU on January 1, 1995. *See* WTO, Disputes Chronologically, *available at* [http://www.wto.org/english/tratop\_e/dispu\_e/dispu\_status\_e.htm] (last visited Jan. 6, 2005). In addition, the Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) publishes a report that provides a statistical breakdown of WTO disputes involving the United States, however, USTRs report is divided based on the stages of the WTO dispute settlement process, as well as the general outcome of proceedings involving the United States. *See* USTR, *Snapshot of WTO Cases Involving the United States*, (Dec. 3, 2004), *available at* [http://www.ustr.gov/assets/Trade\_Agreements/Monitoring\_Enforcement/Dispute\_Settlement /WTO/asset\_upload\_file306\_5696.pdf]. According to the USTR report, the U.S. has filed 75 complaints and been a respondent in 99 cases. *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> World Trade Organization, *Update of WTO Dispute Settlement Cases*, WT/DS/OV/22 (Oct. 14, 2004), *available at* [http://www.wto.org].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The table provides a breakdown of only the cases that are actively pending. The figures reflecting the total number of cases filed includes the active cases, as well as cases that have completed the dispute settlement process and/or have been concluded in a manner mutually agreeable to the parties.

### Total Complaints Filed in which the U.S. Is a Defendant (since 01/01/95) = $87^a$

Established Panels b	Final Panel Reports Appellat Issued		<b>Body Panels</b>	
8	2°			1 <sup>d</sup>
Appellate Body Reports	Cases Requiring Implementation <sup>e</sup>	Imple	eview of ementation ending <sup>f</sup>	Total Pending Disputes
1	6		5	23

- a. This figure reflects all requests for consultations that were notified to the WTO as of Dec. 31, 2004 including WT/DS317 US Measures Affecting Trade in Large Civil Aircraft; WT/DS320 US Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC Hormones Dispute; WT/DS322 US Measures Relating to Zeroing and Sunset Reviews; and WT/DS324 US Provisional Antidumping Measures on Shrimp from Thailand. *See* WTO, Disputes Chronologically, *available at* [http://www.wto.org/english/tratop\_e/dispu\_e/dispu\_st] atus\_e.htm (last visited Jan. 6, 2005).
- b. This figure includes all cases in which panels have been established by the DSB, even cases where panels have not been constituted.
- c. The Panel Reports include WT/DS281 US Measures Relating to the Cross-Border Supply of Gambling and WT/DS267 US-Upland Cotton.
- d. According to WTO Documents, the United States has notified its intent to appeal in WT/DS281 US Measures Relating to the Cross-Border Supply of Gambling.
- e. Currently, in five of these cases legislative action appears to be required to bring the United States into full compliance with the rulings of the DSB: WT/DS160 Section 110(5)(B) of the Copyright Act; WT/DS176 Section 211 of the Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998; WT/DS 184 Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Japan; WT/DS217/234 Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act; and WT/DS268 US Oil Country Tubular Goods Sunset Reviews. In the remaining cases, administrative action could be required to bring the United States into compliance: WT/DS264 US Final Dumping Determination on Softwood Lumber from Canada. See CRS Report RL32014, WTO Dispute Settlement: Status of U.S. Compliance in Pending Cases, by Jeanne Grimmett.
- f. The 108th Congress enacted legislation to repeal the non-compliant measures in both WT/DS108 Foreign Sales Corporation (FSC) Statute and WT/DS136/162 Antidumping Act of 1916, however, because our actions are still subject to review by the DSB at the request of the affected countries, the disputes are still considered to be active. In addition, administrative action has been taken in the following cases: WT/DS212 European Communities- Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain Products; WT/DS257 US Final Countervailing Duty Determination with Respect to Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada; and WT/DS277 US Investigation of the International Trade Commission in Softwood Lumber from Canada. It remains to be seen, however, whether these measures will actually result in termination of the respective disputes. See CRS Report RL32014, WTO Dispute Settlement: Status of U.S. Compliance in Pending Cases, by Jeanne Grimmett.

## Total Complaints Filed in which the U.S. Is a Complainant (since 01/01/95) = $80^{a}$

Established Panels	Final Panel Reports Issued	Appellate Body Panels	
7	0	0	
Appellate Body Reports	Cases Requiring Implementation <sup>c</sup>	<b>Total Pending Disputes</b>	
1 <sup>b</sup>	7	15	

a. This figure reflects all requests for consultations that were notified to the WTO as of Dec. 31, 2004 including WT/DS315 EC – Selected Customs Matters; and WT/DS316 EC – Measures Affecting Trade in Large Civil Aircraft.

b. The Appellate Body Report issued involved WT/DS276 Canada – Measures Relating to Exports of Wheat and Treatment of Imported Grain.

c. The cases included in this column are those in which, according to WTO documents, the parties have yet to report either completion of implementation, or a mutually agreed upon solution to the dispute or implementation procedures. These cases involved are: WT/DS27 EU-Banana Imports, WT/DS26 EU-Hormone-Treated Beef Imports, WT/DS 50 India-Patent Protection; WT/DS132 Mexico-Antidumping Duties on High-Fructose Corn Syrup; WT/DS161 Korea- Beef Imports; WT/DS170 Canada- Patent Law; and WT/DS245 Japan-Apples. *See* World Trade Organization, *Update of WTO Dispute Settlement Cases*, WT/DS/OV/22 (Oct. 14, 2004), *available at* [http://www.wto.org].