# CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Taiwan: Major U.S. Arms Sales Since 1990

Updated June 28, 2004

Shirley A. Kan Specialist in National Security Policy Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

## Taiwan: Major U.S. Arms Sales Since 1990

## **Summary**

This report, updated as warranted, discusses U.S. security assistance to Taiwan, or Republic of China (ROC), including policy issues for Congress and legislation. The Taiwan Relations Act (TRA), P.L. 96-8, has governed U.S. arms sales to Taiwan since 1979, when the United States recognized the People's Republic of China (PRC) instead of the ROC. Two other relevant parts of the "one China" policy are the August 17, 1982 U.S.-PRC Joint Communique and the "Six Assurances" made to Taiwan. (Also see CRS Report RL30341, *China/Taiwan: Evolution of the "One China" Policy — Key Statements from Washington, Beijing, and Taipei*, by Shirley Kan.) Despite the absence of diplomatic relations or a defense treaty, U.S. arms sales to Taiwan have been increasingly significant. In addition, the United States has expanded military ties with Taiwan since the PRC's missile firings in 1995-1996.

At the U.S.-Taiwan arms sales talks on April 24, 2001, President George W. Bush approved for possible sale: diesel-electric submarines, P-3 anti-submarine warfare (ASW) aircraft (linked to the submarine sale), four decommissioned U.S. Kidd-class destroyers, and other items. He also deferred approval for Aegis-equipped destroyers and other items, while denying other requests. Since then, attention has turned to Taiwan, where the military, civilian officials, and legislators from competing political parties have debated contentious issues about how much to spend on defense and which U.S. weapons systems to acquire, despite the increasing threat (including a missile buildup) from the People's Liberation Army (PLA), as described in the Pentagon's reports to Congress on PRC military power. Of those requests approved since 2001, Taiwan has contracted for amphibious assault vehicles and Kidd-class destroyers. In February 2003, the Administration pointed Taiwan to three priorities for defense: command and control, missile defense, and ASW. The Administration and others have questioned Taiwan's seriousness about its self-defense, defense and special budgets for arms, and protection of secrets.

Several policy issues are of concern to Congress for legislation, oversight, or other action. One issue concerns the effectiveness of the Administration in applying leverage to improve Taiwan's self-defense as well as to maintain peace and stability. Another issue is the role of Congress in determining defense ties with Taiwan or overseeing any policy reviews. A third issue concerns whether trends in the Taiwan Strait are stabilizing or destabilizing and how the Administration's management of policy has affected these trends, including any changes in security assistance. A question arose in April 2001 when President Bush committed the United States to do "whatever it took to help Taiwan defend herself." While Taiwan's president has advocated a new constitution and cross-strait tensions rose, President Bush stated that "the comments and actions made by the leader of Taiwan indicate that he may be willing to make decisions unilaterally to change the status quo, which we oppose," in a meeting with the PRC premier in the Oval Office in December 2003.

Legislation includes the House-passed FY2005 National Defense Authorization Act (H.R. 4200) with Sec. 1215 to require the Defense Department to send general or flag officers and senior defense officials to Taiwan (with no similar language in S. 2400); and H.Con.Res. 437 (on asking Taiwan to deploy its Marines to Iraq).

## **Contents**

U.S.	Policy	. 1
	Policy Statements	
	Broad Indicators of Arms Transfers	
]	Military Relationship	. 2
	"Software Initiative"	. 2
	Assessments	
	Normalized Relations and "Major Non-NATO Ally"	
	Senior-Level Exchanges	
	April 2001 Arms Requests and Status of Arms Sales	
	Amphibious Assault Vehicles	
	Kidd-Class Destroyers	
	Attack Helicopters	. 7
	Aegis-equipped Destroyers	
	Submarines	. 7
	P-3C ASW Aircraft	. 9
	PAC-3 Missile Defense	. 9
	Early Warning Radars	11
	C4ISR	11
	AMRAAM and SLAMRAAM	
	Taiwan's Decisions	12
	Other Possible Future Sales	12
]	Policy Issues for Congress	13
	Extent of U.S. Commitment	13
	Jiang Zemin's Offer on Missiles	14
	Taiwan's Commitment to Self-Defense and Budgets	15
	Policy Reviews and U.S. Objectives	18
(	Congressional Action	20
	105 <sup>th</sup> Congress	20
	106 <sup>th</sup> Congress	21
	107 <sup>th</sup> Congress	22
	108 <sup>th</sup> Congress	25
Majo	r U.S. Defense Sales	26
List	of Tables	
Taiw	an's Defense Budget	18

## Taiwan: Major U.S. Arms Sales Since 1990

## **U.S. Policy**

This report discusses U.S. security assistance for Taiwan, or Republic of China (ROC), including policy issues for Congress. It also lists sales of major defense articles and services to Taiwan, as approved by the Administration, proposed in Letters of Offer and Acceptance, and notified to Congress since 1990. Based on unclassified notices and news reports, this list includes the date of notification, major item proposed for sale, and estimated value of the defense package.

### **Policy Statements**

The Taiwan Relations Act (TRA), P.L. 96-8, has governed U.S. arms sales to Taiwan since 1979, when the United States recognized the People's Republic of China (PRC) instead of the ROC. The TRA specifies that it is U.S. policy "to provide Taiwan with arms of a defensive character" and "to maintain the capacity of the United States to resist any resort to force or other forms of coercion" threatening Taiwan's security, or social or economic system. Section 3(a) states that "the United States will make available to Taiwan such defense articles and defense services in such quantity as may be necessary to enable Taiwan to maintain a sufficient selfdefense capability." The TRA also specifies a congressional role in decision-making on security assistance for Taiwan. Section 3(b) stipulates that both the President and the Congress shall determine the nature and quantity of such defense articles and services based solely upon their judgment of the needs of Taiwan. Section 3(b) also says that "such determination of Taiwan's defense needs shall include review by United States military authorities in connection with recommendations to the President and the Congress." The TRA set up the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT), a nonprofit corporation, to handle the relationship with Taiwan. AIT implements policy as directed by the Departments of Defense and State, and the National Security Council (NSC).

There are two other elements of the "one China" policy relevant to the issue of arms sales to Taiwan. In 1982, President Reagan issued the August 17 Joint Communique on reducing arms sales to Taiwan, but he also stated that those sales will continue in accordance with the TRA and with the full expectation that the PRC's approach to the resolution of the Taiwan issue will be peaceful. In referring to what have been known as the "Six Assurances" made to Taipei, U.S. policymakers have said that, in 1982, Washington did not agree to set a date for ending

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For more on policy, see CRS Report RL30341, *China/Taiwan: Evolution of the "One China" Policy — Key Statements From Washington, Beijing, and Taipei*, by Shirley Kan.

arms sales to Taiwan nor to consult with Beijing on arms sales to Taiwan. The "Six Assurances" also included the assurance of not revising the TRA.

#### **Broad Indicators of Arms Transfers**

As for U.S. arms transfers to Taiwan, they have been significant despite the absence of diplomatic relations or a treaty alliance. Deliveries of U.S. defense articles and services to Taiwan totaled \$4 billion in the 1993-1996 period, and \$7.6 billion in 1997-2000, ranking first among Asian recipients. Worldwide, Taiwan ranked third (behind Saudi Arabia and Egypt) in 1993-1996, and second (behind Saudi Arabia) in 1997-2000. In 2000 alone, Taiwan received \$1.2 billion in U.S. defense articles and services.<sup>2</sup>

From worldwide sources, including the United States, Taiwan received \$20.2 billion in arms *deliveries* in the eight-year period from 1995 to 2002 — with Taiwan ranked second behind Saudi Arabia among leading recipients in the developing world. Of that total, Taiwan received \$14.2 billion in arms in 1995-1998, and \$6 billion in 1999-2002.<sup>3</sup> (The PRC, by comparison, received \$9.3 billion in arms during the same eight-year period.) In 2002 alone, Taiwan received \$1.1 billion in arms deliveries, while the PRC received arms deliveries valued at \$1.2 billion.

However, as an indication of future arms acquisitions, China ranked first among developing nations in arms purchase *agreements*, with a total value of \$11.3 billion during 1999-2002, while Taiwan's agreements did not place it among the top 10 recipients. China ranked first in arms purchase agreements in 2002 alone, with a total value of \$3.6 billion.

## Military Relationship

"Software Initiative". In addition to transfers of hardware, beginning after tensions in the Taiwan Strait in 1995-1996 during which President Clinton deployed two aircraft carrier battle groups near Taiwan, the Clinton Administration quietly expanded the sensitive military relationship with Taiwan to levels unprecedented since 1979.<sup>4</sup> The broader exchanges have increased attention to "software," including discussions over strategy, training, logistics, command and control, and plans in the event of an attack from the PRC. These increased exchanges were prompted by U.S. concerns about Taiwan's self-defense capabilities. At a conference on Taiwan's defense in March 2002, Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CRS Report RL31113, *U.S. Arms Sales: Agreements with and Deliveries to Major Clients,* 1993-2000, September 5, 2001, by Richard Grimmett, compiled from U.S. official, unclassified data as reported by the Defense Security Cooperation Agency (DSCA).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CRS Report RL32084, *Conventional Arms Transfers to Developing Nations*, 1995-2002, September 22, 2003, by Richard F. Grimmett.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Mann, Jim, "U.S. Has Secretly Expanded Military Ties with Taiwan," *LA Times*, July 24, 1999; Kurt M. Campbell (former Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Asian and Pacific Affairs) and Derek J. Mitchell, "Crisis in the Taiwan Strait?", *Foreign Affairs*, July/August 2001.

said that the United States wanted to help Taiwan's military to strengthen civilian control, enhance jointness, and rationalize arms acquisitions.<sup>5</sup> In April 2004, Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs Peter Rodman told Congress that the Pentagon believed Taiwan's military needed to improve readiness, planning, and interoperability among its services.<sup>6</sup>

**Assessments.** The Pentagon has also conducted its own assessments of Taiwan's defense needs, with over a dozen studies from 1997 to early 2004. Congress could inquire about these assessments and any other reports. In September 1999, to enhance cooperation, a Pentagon team was said to have visited Taiwan to assess its air defense capability.8 The Pentagon reportedly completed its classified assessment in January 2000, finding a number of problems in the Taiwan military's ability to defend against aircraft, ballistic missiles, and cruise missiles, and those problems included international isolation, inadequate security, and sharp inter-service rivalries.9 In September 2000, the Pentagon reportedly conducted a classified assessment of Taiwan's naval defense needs — as the Clinton Administration had promised in April 2000 while deferring a sale of Aegis-equipped destroyers. The report, "Taiwan Naval Modernization," was said to have found that Taiwan's navy needed the Aegis radar system, Kidd-class destroyers, submarines, an anti-submarine underwater sonar array, and P-3 anti-submarine aircraft.<sup>10</sup> In January 2001, a Pentagon team reportedly examined Taiwan's command and control, air force equipment, and air defense against a first strike.<sup>11</sup> In September 2001, a Defense Department team reportedly visited Taiwan to assess its army, as the Bush Administration promised in the April 2001 round of arms sales talks. <sup>12</sup> In August 2002, a U.S. military team studied Taiwan's Po Sheng command, control, communication, computers, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (C4ISR) program.<sup>13</sup> In November 2002, another U.S. team visited Taiwan to assess its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz, "Remarks to the U.S.-Taiwan Business Council," March 11, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Testimony before the House International Relations Committee, hearing on "The Taiwan Relations Act: The Next 25 Years," April 21, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Statement of Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs Peter Rodman at a hearing on "The Taiwan Relations Act: The Next 25 Years" held by the House International Relations Committee on April 21, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> "U.S. Military Team Arrives in Taiwan for Visit," *Lien-ho Pao [United Daily News]*, Sept. 19, 1999, in *FBIS*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Ricks, Thomas, "Taiwan Seen as Vulnerable to Attack," *Washington Post*, March 31, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Tsao, Nadia, "Pentagon Report Says Taiwan Can Handle AEGIS," *Taipei Times*, Sept. 27, 2000; Michael Gordon, "Secret U.S. Study Concludes Taiwan Needs New Arms," *New York Times*, April 1, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> China Times (Taiwan), January 14, 2001; Taipei Times, January 15, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Taipei Times (Taiwan), September 10, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Taiwan Defense Review (Taiwan), August 27, 2002.

marine corps and security at ports and harbors, and reported positive findings.<sup>14</sup> In November 2003, a U.S. defense team visited Taiwan to assess its anti-submarine warfare capability and found requirements for improvement.<sup>15</sup>

Normalized Relations and "Major Non-NATO Ally". The George W. Bush Administration has continued and expanded the closer military ties at different levels. In April 2001, President Bush announced he would drop the 20-year-old annual arms talks process used to discuss arms sales to Taiwan's military in favor of normal, routine considerations of Taiwan's requests on an as-needed basis — similar to interactions with other governments. <sup>16</sup> In July 2001, after U.S. and Taiwan media reported on a U.S.-Taiwan military meeting in Monterey, CA, the Pentagon revealed it was the seventh meeting (since 1997) held with Taiwan's national security authorities "to discuss issues of interaction and means by which to provide for the defense of Taiwan."<sup>17</sup> Another round of such strategic talks took place in July 2002.<sup>18</sup> U.S. military officers observed Taiwan's Hankuang-17 annual military exercise in 2001, the first time since 1979. 19 The Pacific Command's Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies accepted fellows from Taiwan in its Executive Course for the first time in the summer of 2002. 20 By the summer of 2002, the U.S. and Taiwan militaries reportedly discussed setting up an undersea ASW link to monitor the PLA Navy's submarines.<sup>21</sup> The U.S. and Taiwan militaries set up a hotline in 2002 to deal with possible crises.<sup>22</sup>

In addition, in 2002, the Administration requested legislation be passed to authorize the assignment of personnel from U.S. departments (including the Defense Department) to AIT, with implications for the assignment of active-duty military personnel to Taiwan for the first time since 1979. Also, the Acting Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, Michael Wynne, submitted a letter to Congress on August 29, 2003, that designated Taiwan as a "major non-NATO ally." (See **107**<sup>th</sup> **Congress** below for discussion of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act for FY2003, P.L. 107-228.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Taipei Times*, November 21, 2002; January 1, 2003; *Tzu-Yu Shih-Pao [Liberty Times]* (Taipei), April 14, 2003; *Taipei Times*, August 22, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Jane's Defense Weekly, December 3, 2003; Taiwan Defense Review, January 12, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> On the annual arms talks, see CRS Report RS20365, *Taiwan: Annual Arms Sales Process*, updated June 5, 2001, by Shirley Kan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> China Times (Taiwan), July 18, 2001; Washington Times, July 18, 2001; Department of Defense News Briefing, July 19, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Central News Agency, Taipei, July 17, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> *Chung-Kuo Shih-Pao* [China Times], Taipei, July 18, 2001. The *China Times* (May 27, 2004) quotes Defense Minister Lee Jye confirming that U.S. military personnel observed Hankuang-17, Hankuang-18, and Hankuang-19 exercises to evaluate Taiwan's military.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> CNN.com, March 18, 2002; Author's discussions in Hawaii in July 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Tzu-Yu Shih-Pao [Liberty Times], Taipei, July 20, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Jane's Defense Weekly, October 29, 2003.

**Senior-Level Exchanges.** The United States and Taiwan have held highlevel defense-related meetings in the United States. The Bush Administration granted a visa for Defense Minister Tang Yiau-ming to visit the United States to attend a private conference held by the U.S.-Taiwan Business Council on March 10-12, 2002, in St. Petersburg, FL, making him the first ROC defense minister to come to the United States on a non-transit purpose since 1979.<sup>23</sup> Tang met with Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz, who also spoke at the conference.<sup>24</sup> In September 2002, a deputy defense minister, Kang Ning-hsiang, visited Washington and was the first senior Taiwan defense official to have meetings inside the Pentagon since U.S.-ROC diplomatic ties severed in 1979, although a meeting with Wolfowitz took place outside the Pentagon.<sup>25</sup>

In January 2003, a Taiwanese newspaper leaked information that a U.S. military team planned to participate in — beyond observe — the Hankuang-19 military exercise and be present at Taiwan's Hengshan Command Center for the first time since 1979.<sup>26</sup> On the same day, General Chen Chao-min, a deputy defense minister, confirmed to Taiwan's legislature a U.S. plan for a non-combatant evacuation operation (NEO). However, the leak and confirmation reportedly prompted annoyance in Washington and contributed to a U.S. decision to limit General Chen's visit to the United States in February 2003 to attendance at a private sector conference on Taiwan's defense in San Antonio, TX (without a visit to Washington, DC). 27 Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense Richard Lawless and Deputy Assistant Secretary of State Randall Schriver met with General Chen. The Hankuang-19 exercise reportedly took place in April-May 2003, with about 20 U.S. military personnel participating. The exercise reportedly raised questions about Taiwan's ability to sustain defense before potential U.S. support and the military's will to fight.<sup>28</sup> Deputy Defense Minister Lin Chong-pin visited Washington in June 2003 to respond to U.S. concerns about Taiwan's commitment to its self-defense.

## **April 2001 Arms Requests and Status of Arms Sales**

In 2001, arms sales talks took place on April 24 in Washington, DC, and Taiwan was represented by its Vice Chief of General Staff, General Huoh Shou-yeh. According to the Administration and news reports, <sup>29</sup> President Bush *approved* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> In December 2001, the previous ROC Defense Minister, Wu Shih-wen, made a U.S. transit on his way to the Dominican Republic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz, "Remarks to the U.S.-Taiwan Business Council," March 11, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Reuters, September 10, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Chung-Kuo Shih-Pao [China Times], January 2, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, January 18, 2003; Straits Times (Singapore), January 21, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Lien-Ho Pao [United Daily News] (Taipei), April 16, 2003; China Times (Taipei), April 19, 2003; Taipei Times, April 25, 2003; Central News Agency (Taipei), May 9, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> White House, press briefing, April 24, 2001; Department of Defense, news briefing, April 24, 2001; David Sanger, "Bush is Offering Taiwanese Some Arms, But Not the Best," *New* (continued...)

Taiwan's request for: 8 diesel-electric submarines, 12 P-3C Orion anti-submarine warfare (ASW) aircraft (linked to the submarine sale), 54 Mark-48 ASW torpedoes, 44 Harpoon submarine-launched anti-ship cruise missiles, 144 M109A6 Paladin self-propelled howitzers, 54 AAV7A1 amphibious assault vehicles, AN/ALE-50 electronic countermeasure (ECM) systems for F-16s, and 12 MH-53 mine-sweeping helicopters. Also approved for sale were four decommissioned Kidd-class destroyers, offered as Excess Defense Articles (EDA), not FMS. The Administration also decided to brief Taiwan's military on the PAC-3 missile defense missile. 30

Deferred for approval were destroyers equipped with the Aegis combat system. Also deferred for approval were M1A2 Abrams main battle tanks and AH-64D Apache Longbow attack helicopters, pending a U.S. assessment of Taiwan's army.

President Bush *denied* Taiwan's requests for Joint Direct Attack Munitions (JDAM) and High-speed Anti-radiation Missiles (HARM) that target radar-equipped air defense systems. At the U.S.-Taiwan Business Council's conference in February 2003, however, Deputy Under Secretary of the Air Force Willard Mitchell indicated that these requests were under review. A possible basis for reviewing any renewed requests from Taiwan is found in the Pentagon's report on PRC military power submitted in July 2003 to Congress, which confirmed that the PLA procured from Israel "a significant number of HARPY anti-radiation systems." The press first reported on the PLA's acquisition of the HARPY drones in 2002.<sup>31</sup>

**Amphibious Assault Vehicles.** Taiwan agreed to purchase the AAV7A1 amphibious assault vehicles, under a program administered by the U.S. Marine Corps. The Administration notified Congress in September 2002. In June 2003, United Defense Industries obtained a contract with the initial value of \$64 million.<sup>32</sup>

**Kidd-Class Destroyers.** In October 2002, the Defense Committee of Taiwan's legislature engaged in a sharp partisan debate over whether to approve funding (about \$800 million) to buy the U.S. Navy's four currently available Kidd-class destroyers, ending with 18 lawmakers from the ruling Democratic Progressive Party (DPP) and Taiwan Solidarity Union (TSU) voting in favor, against 16 legislators from the opposition Kuomintang (KMT) and People's First Party (PFP). Then, legislators conditioned funding on bargaining with the U.S. Navy on a 15%

York Times, April 24, 2001; Steven Mufson and Dana Milbank, "Taiwan to Get Variety of Arms," Washington Post, April 24, 2001; Neil King Jr., "Bush Defers Sale of Aegis to Taiwan, Will Offer Four Kidd-Class Destroyers," Wall Street Journal, April 24, 2001; "U.S. Refuses Taiwan Request for JDAM, HARM, and PAC-3 Missiles," Aerospace Daily, April 25, 2001; and "U.S. Formally Informs ROC of Arms Sales Decision," Central News Agency (Taiwan), April 25, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> (...continued)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, January 18, 2003, reported the briefing took place in late 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Washington Times, July 2, 2002; Guangzhou Daily (via FBIS), July 4, 2002; Ha'aretz, Tel Aviv, July 25, 2002; Flight International, November 5-11, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Jane's International Defense Review, September 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Taipei Times and China Post (Taiwan), November 1, 2002.

price reduction. On May 30, 2003, Taiwan's legislature finally voted to release the funding. The U.S. Navy began reactivation and upgrade of the Kidds in July 2003, and a U.S. company, VSE Corp., has the contract for completion by March 2007.<sup>34</sup>

**Attack Helicopters.** After deferring approval for Taiwan's request, the Bush Administration, in May 2002, approved the request for attack helicopters, and Taiwan began negotiations on 30 Apache helicopters sold by Boeing.<sup>35</sup> Later, Taiwan also considered the option of the AH-1Z Cobra helicopter sold by Bell.<sup>36</sup>

**Aegis-equipped Destroyers.** The U.S. Navy deploys the Aegis combat system (e.g., on the Arleigh Burke-class destroyer) for air defense and applies it in development of a future Navy missile defense system (using SM-3 missiles). An alternative to the Arleigh Burke that retains the Aegis Spy-1D radar, called the Evolved Advanced Combat System (EACS) has been considered. The Aegis combat system has the capability to track over 100 targets and to conduct simultaneous antiair, anti-surface, and anti-submarine operations. In 2002, Taiwan reportedly resubmitted a request for Aegis-equipped destroyers.<sup>37</sup> In March 2003, its defense minister proposed a program for acquiring four Arleigh Burke-class destroyers with Aegis systems beginning in 2009 for almost \$5 billion.<sup>38</sup> During the U.S. war in Iraq in 2003, the Aegis combat system helped the Patriot missile defense system to detect and intercept Iraqi missiles.<sup>39</sup>

**Submarines.** Despite initial press reports skeptical about the sale of submarines (because the United States no longer manufactures diesel-electric submarines), the Department of Defense has discussed options for the program with U.S. and foreign companies and Taiwan. In November 2001, seven companies submitted bids and concept papers to the Department of the Navy. Companies interested in the contract reportedly include U.S. manufacturers, Northrop Grumman (with its Ingalls Shipbuilding) and General Dynamics (with its Electric Boat); Germany's HDW; the Netherlands' RDM (which sold its Zwaardvis-class submarine design to Taiwan in the 1980s for two Hai Lung [Sea Dragon]-class submarines); France's DCN; and Spain's IZAR. Although the Administration promised to help Taiwan buy submarines, not build them, Taiwan's China Shipbuilding Corporation also is interested in a part of the contract, with support from some of Taiwan's legislators. The Department of Navy discussed options with Taiwan's Navy in July 2002 and initially planned to select the manufacturer(s) to design and build the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Taipei Times, September 5, 2003; Taiwan Defense Review, March 10, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Taipei Times, May 26, 2002; Jane's Defense Weekly, June 5, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Jane's Defense Weekly, March 10 and 24, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Lien-Ho Pao [United Daily News] (Taiwan), October 29, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, March 12, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> U.S. Army, 32<sup>nd</sup> Army Air and Missile Defense Command, Fort Bliss, TX, "Operation Iraqi Freedom: Theater Air and Missile Defense," September 2003; and discussion with Lockheed Martin executive, June 10, 2004.

submarines in the latter half of 2003.<sup>40</sup> The U.S. Navy held a second Industry Day on December 17, 2002, with General Dynamics, Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, and Raytheon interested in being the prime contractor.<sup>41</sup>

However, by April 2003, the sale became at risk, when the United States and Taiwan reached an impasse over the program start-up costs estimated by the U.S. Navy at \$333 million, but offered at \$28.5 million by Taiwan. On May 20-23, 2003, Taiwan's Navy sent a delegation led by Vice Admiral Kao Yang to Washington to discuss the issue, but the differences reportedly remained unresolved. Facing the delays in Taiwan's commitment of funds (although it first requested submarines in 1995) and a long acquisition process, the Administration then viewed the program as a long-term solution for Taiwan that would not meet the near-term blockade and submarine threats posed by the PLA Navy. Defense Minister Tang Yiau-ming told visiting AIT Chairwoman Therese Shaheen on October 16, 2003, that Taiwan still placed a high priority on acquiring the submarines.

A team from the U.S. Navy's International Program Office arrived in Taipei in October 2003, for further talks on whether Taiwan will procure submarines.<sup>45</sup> The U.S. team also met with some of Taiwan's legislators, including Lin Yu-fang of the opposition People First Party.<sup>46</sup> Lin was one of the sponsors of legislation passed in May 2002, requiring Taiwan's navy to arrange for six of the eight submarines to be built in Taiwan using technology transfers.<sup>47</sup> China Shipbuilding Corporation's proposal, abbreviated as the "2+2+2+2" plan, calls for the first two submarines to be completely foreign built, the second pair of boats to include one-third Taiwan participation, the third pair to include two-thirds Taiwan participation, and the last pair to be built completely in Taiwan. The total cost of the submarines could reach \$9-12 billion,<sup>48</sup> requiring Taiwan's legislature to pass a Special Budget (see discussion of budgets below).<sup>49</sup>

Taiwan's new demand for domestic industrial participation added another issue and greater potential costs to the program (about \$2.6 billion), which U.S. naval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Central News Agency (Taiwan), July 30, 2002; Taipei Times, July 31, 2002; Defense Daily, September 16, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Knight-Ridder/Tribune Business News, December 17, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> United Daily News (Taipei), April 21, 2003 and April 22, 2003; Taiwan Defense Review, May 17, 2003 and May 30, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> U.S.-Taiwan Business Council, *Defense and Aerospace Report*, Second Quarter 2003; *Bloomberg*, July 10, 2003; *Defense Daily*, July 11, 2003; *TDReview*, September 19, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Central News Agency, Taipei, October 16, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Lien-ho Pao [United Daily News], Taipei, October 23, 2003; Central News Agency, Taipei, October 26, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Taipei Times, October 31, 2003; Central News Agency, November 2, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Author's discussion with Lin Yu-fang in Taipei in December 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Lien-ho Pao [United Daily News], August 25, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, April 30, 2004.

officials discussed with potential prime contractors at the 3<sup>rd</sup> Industry Day meeting on December 15, 2003, in Washington. However, Deputy Secretary of Defense Wolfowitz told Taiwan's visiting legislative delegation on June 21, 2004, that the Bush Administration approved Taiwan's request for assistance in purchasing submarines but is opposed to Taiwan's new proposal to build subs in Taiwan. <sup>51</sup>

In addition to the military and political implications of selling submarines to Taiwan's navy, issues for Congress include potential technology transfers to Taiwan and Europeans, and leaks of secrets from Taiwan to the PRC, that could involve U.S. submarine secrets and implications for the U.S. military.<sup>52</sup> In a report to Congress, as required by the National Defense Authorization Act for FYs 1992-1993, the Secretary of the Navy reported in May 1992 that "to the extent that a potential diesel submarine construction project would draw on U.S. resources, it has the potential to tap into the state-of-the-art technology used in U.S. nuclear powered submarines." The report also noted "the fact that the diesel submarine is not a viable asset in the U.S. Navy" and that "construction of diesel submarines for export in U.S. shipyards would not support the U.S. submarine shipbuilding base and could encourage future development and operation of diesel submarines to the detriment of our own forces." The report also said that "it may be possible to control the release of the most important information and specific technologies of concern, but an effective system would also have significant costs. The problem will be more difficult, however, if a foreign entity is present in the shipyards during submarine construction."

**P-3C ASW Aircraft.** After the United States approved Taiwan's request for 12 P-3C planes, the two sides have negotiated the proposed sale. But Taiwan questioned the estimated cost of \$300 million per plane (in part due to Lockheed Martin's need to reopen the production line) for a total cost of \$4.1 billion (including parts and training) and sought alternatives, such as surplus P-3Cs reduced from the U.S. Navy's fleet. A longer-term option is the Multi-Mission Maritime Aircraft (MMA) under development by Boeing's subsidiary, McDonnell Douglas, for the U.S. Navy. Taiwan's legislature has to pass a Special Budget to include funds for modified P-3C ASW planes (sold as Excess Defense Articles) with possible delivery in 2008-2011. <sup>53</sup> (See discussion on budgets below.)

**PAC-3 Missile Defense.** After U.S. approval in 1992, Taiwan in 1997 acquired three Patriot missile defense fire units with PAC-2 Guidance Enhanced Missiles. After the Bush Administration in 2001 decided to brief Taiwan on the advanced PAC-3 hit-to-kill missile, Taiwan has considered a deal on the PAC-3 system. (The U.S. Army completed developmental testing of the PAC-3 in October 2001 and conducted operational tests in 2002. The PAC-3 has been deployed with the U.S. Army, as seen in Operation Iraqi Freedom during March-April 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, February 6, 2004 and April 30, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> United Daily News (Taipei), June 23, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> As for U.S. counter-espionage concerns, the FBI sent agents to Taipei to investigate alleged compromises of security on the PRC's behalf at Taiwan military's Chungshan Institute of Science and Technology, reported the *Central News Agency*, August 13, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, April 30, 2004.

Raytheon describes its Patriot system as the world's most advanced ground-based system for defense against aircraft, theater ballistic missiles, and cruise missiles.)

In late 2002, the Pentagon reportedly was disappointed with Taiwan's delay in acquiring the PAC-3 system.<sup>54</sup> At a private sector conference on Taiwan's defense in February 2003, Bush Administration officials openly stressed to Taiwan's visiting Deputy Defense Minister Chen Chao-min the imperative of acquiring advanced missile defense systems. (See "Policy Issues for Congress" below.) In March 2003, Mary Tighe, the Director of Asian and Pacific Affairs, led a Defense Department delegation to Taiwan to urge its acquisition of missile defense systems, including the PAC-3.<sup>55</sup> After Chen criticized the Patriot's performance in Operation Iraqi Freedom in 2003, a Pentagon spokesperson, Jeff Davis, publicly corrected Chen to Taiwan's media on March 27, 2003.<sup>56</sup> According to the U.S. Army, the Patriot missile defense system (with Guidance Enhanced Missiles and PAC-3) intercepted nine Iraqi missiles out of nine engagements.<sup>57</sup> In April 2003, Taiwan submitted to the United States a request for pricing and availability data in a step towards a contract, and in May 2004, the new Defense Minister Lee Jye requested six PAC-3 units and upgrade of three PAC-2 Plus units to the PAC-3 standard.<sup>58</sup>

Despite the failure of a referendum to pass in March 2004, Taiwan reportedly is looking to buy PAC-3 units, which would be part of a Special Budget that is under consideration (see discussion on budgets below). Acquisition of missile defense systems has been controversial in Taiwan, with some legislators supporting the development of offensive missiles instead. Missile defense has also become politicized, as shown in President Chen Shui-bian's push for a referendum on buying more missile defense systems that was held on election day on March 20, 2004, and the failure of that referendum to be considered valid when only 45% of eligible voters cast ballots (with 50% needed). (Out of the valid ballots cast, 92% agreed with the proposal.) Meanwhile, China's force of short-range ballistic missiles, believed to be based opposite Taiwan, has grown to approximately 500 missiles (up from about 450 in 2003), and any missiles with "adequate precision guidance" could destroy key leadership facilities, military bases, and communication and transportation nodes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, December 6, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Central News Agency (Taiwan), March 11, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Taipei Times, March 29, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> U.S. Army, 32<sup>nd</sup> Army Air and Missile Defense Command, Fort Bliss, TX, "Operation Iraqi Freedom: Theater Air and Missile Defense," September 2003. For a skeptical view, see Randy Barrett, "Pentagon Releases Candid Glimpse of Missile Defense During Iraq War," *Space News*, November 10, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Far Eastern Economic Review, May 15, 2003; Jane's, July 23, 2003; Taiwan Defense Review, June 15, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Central News Agency, March 3, 2004; China Times, April 13, 2004; Taiwan Defense Review, April 30, 2004.

with "minimal advanced warning," according to the Pentagon's 2004 report to Congress on PRC military power.<sup>60</sup>

**Early Warning Radars.** In 1999, some in Congress encouraged the Clinton Administration to approve a sale of early warning radars (see "106<sup>th</sup> Congress" below). Taiwan has not concluded a contract for this procurement, while the Pentagon has stressed the importance of long-range early warning and tracking of ballistic and cruise missile attacks against Taiwan. Taiwan reportedly has considered two options: a radar similar to AN/FPS-115 Pave Paws sold by Raytheon and the LM Digital UHF Radar proposed by Lockheed Martin. <sup>61</sup> Despite divided opinions among lawmakers, in November 2003, Taiwan's legislature approved the Defense Ministry's request for about \$800 million to fund one radar site (rather than an option for two). <sup>62</sup> Nonetheless, on March 30, 2004, the Defense Department notified Congress of the potential sale of two ultra high frequency long range early warning radars, with the potential value of \$1.8 billion, that would enhance Taiwan's ability to identify and detect ballistic missiles as well as cruise missiles, and other threats from the air, and improve the early warning capability of Taiwan's C4ISR architecture. The notification pointed out that there will not be U.S. personnel assigned to the radar(s).

**C4ISR.** In addition, after approval in 1999, the United States reportedly has assisted Taiwan's C4ISR program (named Po Sheng), involving sales of datalink systems and integration of the services into a joint command and control system. <sup>63</sup> In July 2001, the Bush Administration notified Congress of a proposed sale of Joint Tactical Information Distribution Systems (JTIDS)/Link 16 terminals, a basis for an expanded program. In early 2003, the Administration signaled to Taiwan that this FMS program (managed by the U.S. Navy's SPAWAR command) should be given top priority. Taiwan opted for a program costing a total of about \$1.4 billion, rather than a more comprehensive option costing about \$3.9 billion. <sup>64</sup> In September 2003, Lockheed Martin obtained a contract with the initial value of \$27.6 million. <sup>65</sup> The notification to Congress submitted on September 24, 2003, indicated that the total value could reach \$775 million.

**AMRAAM and SLAMRAAM.** In April 2000, the Clinton Administration approved the sale of AIM-120 Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missiles (AMRAAMs) to Taiwan, with the understanding that the missiles would be kept in storage on U.S. territory and transferred later to Taiwan, if/when the People's Liberation Army (PLA) acquires a similar Russian missile, like the R-77 (AA-12)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Department of Defense, "Annual Report on the Military Power of the People's Republic of China," May 29, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Jane's Defense Weekly, March 26, 2003 and February 11, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, November 26, 2003; Jane's Defense Review, December 3, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Chung-Kuo Shih-Pao [China Times] (Taiwan), July 18, 2001; Defense and Aerospace (U.S.-Taiwan Business Council), 2001; Taiwan Defense Review, August 27, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> SPAWAR briefing at U.S.-Taiwan Defense Industry Conference, February 12-14, 2003; *Taiwan Defense Review*, July 17, 2003; *Tzu-Yu Shih Pao* [Liberty Times], July 14, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, September 17, 2003; Jane's Defense Weekly, October 1, 2003.

air-to-air missile, or threatens to attack Taiwan. On July 1, 2002, the *Washington Times* reported that, in June, two SU-30 fighters of the PLA Air Force test-fired AA-12 medium-range air-to-air missiles acquired from Russia. The report raised questions as to whether the PLA already deployed the missiles. According to *Reuters* (July 10, 2002), Raytheon planned to finalize production of the AMRAAMs for Taiwan by the fall of 2003. Some in Congress urged the Bush Administration to transfer the AMRAAMs to Taiwan after production. (See **107**<sup>th</sup> **Congress**.)

By the end of 2002, the Bush Administration authorized delivery of the AMRAAMs to Taiwan and briefed its air force on ground-launched AMRAAMs.<sup>66</sup> (The U.S. Army has developed the Surface Launched Advanced Medium Range Airto-Air Missile, or SLAMRAAM, for cruise missile defense.) By November 2003, Taiwan received its first delivery of AMRAAMs, and a pilot of Taiwan's air force test-fired an AMRAAM at Tyndall Air Force Base in Florida on November 10, 2003.<sup>67</sup> By April 2004, the Defense Department reportedly encouraged Taiwan to acquire the SLAMRAAM to help counter the PLA's expected deployment of land attack cruise missiles.<sup>68</sup>

**Taiwan's Decisions.** Since the U.S. response to Taiwan's requests in 2001, attention has turned to Taiwan, where the military, civilian leadership, a newly assertive legislature (Lifa Yuan), and competing political parties have debated contentious issues. These issues include the urgency of a possible PLA attack, how much to spend on defense, which U.S. weapons systems to buy, whether to respond to perceived U.S. pressure, and what the defense strategy should be. The debate has taken place as the Pentagon has warned of the PLA's accelerated buildup in a coercive strategy targeting Taiwan. In early 2003, the Bush Administration stressed to Taiwan the imperatives of missile defense, C4ISR, and anti-submarine warfare. In March 2003, Taiwan's Ministry of Defense issued a new procurement plan emphasizing those priorities.<sup>69</sup> However, getting a political consensus and funding from the legislature has remained questionable in Taiwan's debate over defense.

**Other Possible Future Sales.** In addition to the major weapon systems discussed above, possible future arms sales to Taiwan's military include:

- signals intelligence (SIGINT) aircraft (perhaps sold by Gulfstream, Raytheon, or Cessna) for which Taiwan reportedly requested price and availability data in 2002;
- medium transport aircraft (perhaps the C-27J Spartan sold by Lockheed Martin);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Lien-Ho Pao [United Daily News] (Taiwan), January 5, 2003; Remarks of Deputy Under Secretary of the Air Force Willard Mitchell at the U.S.-Taiwan Business Council's conference in February 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, November 15, 2003; Central News Agency, November 18, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Jane's Defense Weekly, April 7, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, March 12, 2003.

- F-35 Joint Strike Fighters (JSF), particularly the short takeoff/vertical landing (STOVL) version, under development by Lockheed Martin and foreign partners;
- Stryker armored wheeled vehicles (sold by General Dynamics)
- upgraded engines for F-16 fighters (sold by Pratt & Whitney or General Electric).<sup>70</sup>

## **Policy Issues for Congress**

Since the early 1990s, and accelerated after 1999,<sup>71</sup> the PLA has modernized with a missile buildup and foreign arms acquisitions, primarily from Russia.<sup>72</sup> As a result of the PLA's provocative exercises and missile test-firings in 1995 and 1996 that were directed against Taiwan, Congress has increasingly asserted its role visavis the Administration in determining security assistance for Taiwan, as stipulated by Section 3(b) of the TRA, as well as in exercising its oversight of Section 2(b)(6) of the TRA on the U.S. capacity to resist any resort to force or other forms of coercion against Taiwan. Congress has increasingly asserted its role in determining arms sales to Taiwan *before* sales are announced.<sup>73</sup> Policy issues center on how effectively the Administration is helping Taiwan's self-defense, the role of Congress in determining arms sales to Taiwan, and whether aspects of U.S. security assistance are stabilizing or destabilizing, and should be adjusted based on changed situations.

**Extent of U.S. Commitment.** Although the TRA is not a defense treaty, some have called for a clear commitment to shore up deterrence and help Taiwan's self-defense, advanced arms sales, interoperability with Taiwan's military, combined operational training, high-level exchanges, and visits by U.S. flag and general officers to Taiwan. Others have argued that the United States needs a cooperative China, that trends in the Taiwan Strait are destabilizing, and that the United States should limit security assistance as leverage to prevent provocative moves by Taiwan's leaders.

In March 1996, President Clinton deployed two aircraft carrier battle groups near Taiwan in response to the PLA's provocative missile test-firings and exercises.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Flight International, November 25-December 1, 2003; Jane's Defense Review, January 14, 2004; U.S.-Taiwan Business Council, Defense and Aerospace Report, First Quarter 2004; Taiwan Defense Review, May 7, 2004; Central News Agency, June 21, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> 1999 as an important decision point for PRC leaders is cited in the testimony of Assistant Secretary of State James Kelly, at the hearing of the House International Relations Committee, "The Taiwan Relations Act: The Next 25 Years," April 21, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> See the Defense Department's reports to Congress on PRC military power; CRS Report 97-391, *China: Ballistic and Cruise Missiles*, by Shirley Kan, and CRS Report RL30700, *China's Foreign Conventional Arms Acquisitions*, by Shirley Kan, Christopher Bolkcom, and Ronald O'Rourke.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> As for all U.S. arms sales, months or years *after* the President's decision on Taiwan's requests and Taiwan's subsequent decisions on which sales to pursue, the role of Congress includes review of major proposed FMS deals notified to Congress (during which Congress may enact a joint resolution of disapproval) as stipulated under Section 36(b) of the Arms Export Control Act (AECA) (P.L. 90-629). See CRS Report RL31675, *Arms Sales: Congressional Review Process*, December 20, 2002, by Richard Grimmett.

Another question arose in April 2001 when President Bush stated a commitment to do "whatever it took to help Taiwan defend herself." Supporters have viewed such clarity as needed to prevent miscalculations in Beijing and deter attacks against Taiwan. However, critics have argued that Bush encouraged provocations from Taipei and weakened willingness in Taiwan to strengthen its own defense. Later, when Taiwan's President Chen Shui-bian advocated referendums and a new constitution, President Bush said that "the comments and actions made by the leader of Taiwan indicate that he may be willing to make decisions unilaterally to change the status quo, which we oppose," in appearing with PRC Premier Wen Jiabao in the Oval Office on December 9, 2003.

At a hearing in April 2004, in answer to Representative Gary Ackerman's questions about whether President Bush's phrase on "whatever it took to help Taiwan defend herself" means that the United States would go to war with China if Taiwan makes unilateral moves toward independence, Assistant Secretary James Kelly stated that what the president said has a meaning "at the time he says it to those listeners," we intend to fulfill the defense responsibilities under the TRA "to the extent necessary," "we oppose actions that would unilaterally alter Taiwan's status," leaders in Taiwan "misunderstood" if they believe that President Bush supports whatever they do, and "decisions of war and peace are made by the president with consultation with Congress." Assistant Secretary of Defense Peter Rodman replied that President Bush's phrase was a reaffirmation of the TRA, which leaves a certain ambiguity. Rodman also warned Beijing that its use of force would "inevitably" involve the United States.<sup>74</sup>

**Jiang Zemin's Offer on Missiles.** Moreover, there has been much interest among U.S. academic circles and think tanks for Washington to pursue talks with Beijing on its military buildup and U.S. arms sales to Taiwan (instead of simply enhancing security assistance to Taiwan). A catalyst for this debate among policymakers arose out of the U.S.-PRC summit in Crawford, TX, on October 25, 2002. As confirmed to Taiwan's legislature by its envoy to Washington, C.J. Chen, and reported in Taiwan's media, PRC leader Jiang Zemin offered in vague terms a freeze or reduction in China's deployment of missiles targeted at Taiwan, in return for restraint in U.S. arms sales to Taiwan. President Bush reportedly did not respond to Jiang's linkage. Editorials in Taiwan were divided on whether to pursue Jiang's offer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> House International Relations Committee, hearing on "The Taiwan Relations Act: The Next 25 Years," April 21, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> See David Lampton and Richard Daniel Ewing, "U.S.-China Relations in a Post-September 11<sup>th</sup> World," Nixon Center, August 2002; David Shambaugh's arguments at conference by Carnegie Endowment, Stanford University, Center for Strategic and International Studies, and National Committee on U.S.-China Relations, on "Taiwan and U.S. Policy: Toward Stability or Crisis?," October 9, 2002; Michael Swaine, "Reverse Course? The Fragile Turnaround in U.S.-China Relations," Carnegie Endowment Policy Brief, February 2003; and David Lampton, "The Stealth Normalization of U.S.-China Relations," *National Interest*, Fall 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Chung-Kuo Shih-Pao [China Times], Taipei, November 22, 2002; Taipei Times, November 23, 2002.

Some argued that confidence building measures, such as a freeze or reduction in PLA missile and other military deployments, would improve the chances for cross-strait political dialogue and lead to greater stability. They said that the United States could explore how the PRC might reduce the threat against Taiwan, such as dismantling missile brigades in a verifiable manner, since sales of U.S. systems are based on Taiwan's defense needs. They argued that Jiang's offer represented the first time that the PRC offered meaningfully to discuss its forces opposite Taiwan. Others said that a freeze or redeployment of missiles would not eliminate the PRC's continuing and broader military threat against Taiwan (including mobile missiles) and that the PRC still refused to hold talks with leaders in Taipei. They argued that Jiang did not seek to reduce the PLA's coercive threat but to undermine the relationship between Washington and Taipei, including sales and deliveries of weapons systems which take years to complete. They pointed out that the PLA's missile buildup has continued.

One issue for congressional oversight has concerned whether and how the Administration might deal with Beijing on the question of U.S. arms sales to Taiwan. Policy considerations include the TRA, the 1982 Joint Communique (which discussed reductions in U.S. arms sales to Taiwan premised on the PRC's peaceful unification policy), and the 1982 "Six Assurances" to Taiwan (including one of not holding prior consultations with the PRC on U.S. arms sales to Taiwan). At a hearing in March 2001, Secretary of State Colin Powell assured Senator Helms that the "Six Assurances" would remain U.S. policy and that the Administration would not favor consulting the PRC on arms sales to Taiwan.<sup>77</sup> The Bush Administration reportedly did not respond to Jiang's verbal offer, noting the accelerated missile buildup, continued military threats against Taiwan, the need for the PRC to talk directly to Taiwan, the TRA, and the "Six Assurances" to Taiwan. In April 2004, Assistant Secretary of State James Kelly testified that if the PRC meets its stated obligations to pursue a peaceful resolution of the Taiwan issue and matches its rhetoric with a military posture that bolsters and supports peaceful approaches to Taiwan, "it follows logically that Taiwan's defense requirements will change."<sup>78</sup>

Taiwan's Commitment to Self-Defense and Budgets. Since 2002, some have expressed increasing concerns about Taiwan's commitment to its self-defense and lack of national consensus on national security. The Pentagon's report on PRC Military Power submitted to Congress in July 2002 said that reforms in Taiwan's military were needed to achieve a joint service capability to meet the growing challenge from the PLA's modernizing air, naval, and missile forces, but warned that "the defense budget's steady decline as a percentage of total government spending will challenge Taiwan's force modernization." The Pentagon's report issued in July 2003 further stressed that the relative decline in Taiwan's defense

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Hearing on U.S. Foreign Policy, March 8, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> House International Relations Committee, Hearing on "The Taiwan Relations Act: The Next 25 Years," April 21, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Department of Defense, "Annual Report on the Military Power of the People's Republic of China," July 12, 2002.

budget "increasingly" will challenge its force modernization. <sup>80</sup> In 2003, supporters of Taiwan at the Heritage Foundation urged civilian and military leaders in Taiwan to be "serious" about its own defense. <sup>81</sup>

Taiwan's defense budget for 2003 was about US\$7.5 billion, which accounted for 2.6% of GDP and 16.6% of the total government budget, compared with 4.0% of GDP and 24.3% of total spending 10 years earlier. Still, the defense budget as a share of total spending remained at about 16.5% for the 2001-2003 period. These relative declines took place as the Pentagon has reported an increased threat posed by the PLA to Taiwan, the PLA has obtained higher budgets, and U.S. support for Taiwan has increased after the 1995-1996 crisis. Some leaders in Taiwan have argued that Taiwan's defense spending is still significant, that the legislature in the newly consolidated democracy has the right to scrutinize the defense budget, and that economic challenges have constrained defense spending.

Also, Taiwan's legislators have pointed out that Taiwan has funded defense out of separate Special Budgets. Taiwan's Special Budgets for defense in 1994-2003 totaled US\$22.6 billion and funded procurement of fighter aircraft and military housing construction. By 2003, anti-American complaints appeared in news articles in Taiwan about perceived U.S. "pressure," "extortion," and untrustworthiness. In June 2003, Deputy Defense Minister Lin Chong-pin and a Defense Committee delegation led by Legislative Yuan President Wang Jin-pyng visited Washington to reassure the Bush Administration and Congress that the government in Taipei remained committed to defense. In November 2003, Taiwan's legislature passed a defense budget of about NT\$265 billion (about US\$8.0 billion) for 2004, after political parties showed greater unified support for defense spending.

In 2002, Taiwan's defense ministry said that it needed the legislature to approve NT\$700 billion (about US\$21 billion) over the next 10 years for arms procurement. Taiwan's defense ministry has considered asking for a Special Budget of \$15-20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Department of Defense, "Report on PRC Military Power," July 30, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Peter Brookes, "The Challenges and Imperatives in Taiwan's Defense," Heritage Lectures, January 9, 2003; John Tkacik, "Taiwan Must Get Serious About Defense," *Defense News*, January 27, 2003; John Tkacik, "Taiwan Must Grasp on True Defense Needs," *Defense News*, December 1, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Taiwan's official defense budgets and special budgets were provided by Taiwan's representative office in Washington, DC.

<sup>83</sup> United Daily News, April 21, 2003; China Times, May 8, 2003 and August 18, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Meeting at CRS with Lin Chong-pin and congressional staff, June 5, 2003; Luncheon at the Heritage Foundation with Taiwan's legislative delegation led by Wang Jin-pyng, June 24, 2003; TECRO, *Taipei Update*, July 22, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> The Executive Yuan requested NT\$265 billion (author's interview at the Ministry of Defense, Taipei, December 2003); Lin Yu-fang, *Chuan-Chiu Fang-Wei Tsa-Chih*, November 1, 2003 (translated by FBIS); FBIS report, January 5, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Chung-Kuo Shih-Pao [China Times] (Taipei), May 17, 2002; Taiwan Defense Review, August 30, 2002.

billion to procure the PAC-3 missile defense system, submarines, and P-3 ASW aircraft over the next 10 years or more.

In April 2004, Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs Peter Rodman testified to Congress that "we have made clear to our friends on Taiwan that we expect them to reverse this budget decline. Though our commitments to Taiwan are enduring, the American people and both the Executive Branch and Congress expect the people of Taiwan to make their own appropriate commitment to their freedom and security." Rodman also stressed that "we expect Taiwan to go forward with its plan to pass a Special Budget this summer to fund essential missile defense and anti-submarine warfare systems and programs.<sup>87</sup> On May 29, 2004, the Defense Department issued the 2004 report to Congress on PRC military power, stressing that "the principal indicator of Taiwan's commitment to addressing its shortfalls will be the fate of its annual defense budget" and that "the island's apparent lack of political consensus over addressing [its military challenges] with substantially increased defense spending is undoubtedly seen as an encouraging trend in Beijing." U.S. statements appeared as Taiwan considered a Special Budget.

On May 21, 2004, Taiwan's Defense Minister Lee Jye submitted to the Executive Yuan (Cabinet) a request for a Special Budget for defense totaling about US\$20 billion.<sup>88</sup> On June 2, the Executive Yuan then passed a Special Budget of NT\$610.8 billion (about US\$18.2 billion), with about \$4.3 billion for PAC-3 missile defense systems, \$12.3 billion for submarines, and \$1.6 billion for P-3 aircraft.<sup>89</sup> Meanwhile, Taiwan's political leaders have considered another Special Budget of about \$15 billion for "Ten Major Construction Projects" for the 2004-2008 period, as proposed by the Cabinet in October 2003.

It remains unclear when the Legislative Yuan (LY) will vote on the Special Budget in 2004. The LY's president, Wang Jin-pyng, led a legislative delegation to the United States on June 17-27, 2004, to gain information on the weapons programs. Under Wang's leadership, legislators from different political parties reached a preliminary consensus during their visit that they would seek a new cost estimate for the submarines, with the options of a construction or maintenance role for Taiwan's shipbuilding industry and delivery in ten (not 15) years (after Deputy Secretary of Defense Wolfowitz expressed U.S. opposition to Taiwan's proposal to build submarines domestically); and that they would consider splitting up the Special Budget to approve funds for the P-3C aircraft and PAC-3 missile defense systems, ahead of voting for subs.<sup>90</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Statement before the House International Relations Committee, hearing on "The Taiwan Relations Act: the Next 25 Years," April 21, 2004.

<sup>88</sup> Central News Agency, Taipei, May 26, 2004; China Times, Taipei, May 27, 2004.

<sup>89</sup> Central News Agency, Taipei, June 2, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Discussion with CRS and Congress on June 22, 2004; *United Daily News*, June 23, 2004.

In comparison, the PRC's announced military budget for 2004 is about US\$25 billion, while based on Defense Department estimates, it is about \$52-\$75 billion. China has announced double-digit increases in its nominal defense budget every year since 1989. After 1996 (the second year of the Taiwan Strait Crisis of 1995-1996), China's announced military budget has increased in real terms (accounting for inflation) every year, including real double-digit increases in consecutive years since 1998. The PLA's announced budget for 2004 increased in real terms by 11%.

**Taiwan's Defense Budget** 

Taiwaii S Deletise Budget					
Fiscal Year	Military Budget (NT\$ bil.)	Military Budget (US\$ bil.)	GDP (US\$ bil.)	% of GDP	% of total government spending
1994	258.5	9.8	244.5	4.0	24.3
1995	252.3	9.5	264.4	3.6	24.5
1996	258.3	9.5	281.7	3.4	22.8
1997	268.8	9.4	290.2	3.2	22.5
1998	274.8	8.2	267.4	3.1	22.4
1999	284.5	8.8	287.9	3.1	21.6
2000	402.9	12.9	309.5	NA	17.4
2001	269.8	8.0	281.3	2.8	16.5
2002	260.4	7.5	282.2	2.7	16.4
2003	257.2	7.5	295.1	2.6	16.6

**Note:** This table was compiled based on official defense budget numbers as provided by Taiwan's representative office in Washington and GDP data and exchange rates as reported by Global Insight. The FY2000 budget covered the 18-month period from July 1999 to December 2000. Taiwan's currency is the New Taiwan Dollar (NT\$).

**Policy Reviews and U.S. Objectives.** Congress has a role in oversight of any reviews of policy toward Taiwan. In September 1994, the Clinton Administration explicitly and publicly testified to Congress about a major Taiwan Policy Review. <sup>92</sup> Defense ties would likely be included in any policy reviews of how to enhance leverage over Taiwan and affect the cross-strait situation, including whether to limit defense ties, apply conditions, or strengthen ties. Policy seeks to achieve the U.S. objectives of assisting Taiwan's self-defense capability, preventing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> The PRC's announced budget of RMB 207 billion for 2004 is converted to U.S. dollars using the exchange rate. The Defense Department has estimated the PRC's actual military expenditures at about 3.5-5% of GDP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Testimony of Assistant Secretary of State for East Asian and Pacific Affairs Winston Lord, "Taiwan Policy Review," before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on September 27, 1994. See CRS Report RL30341, *China/Taiwan: Evolution of the "One China" Policy — Key Statements from Washington, Beijing, and Taipei*, by Shirley Kan.

conflict, minimizing the chance of U.S. intervention, and promoting cross-strait dialogue. While U.S. objectives have been consistent, developments in China and Taiwan since the 1970s have required U.S. re-assessments and responses.

In late 2002, the Pentagon reportedly conducted a policy review of cooperation with Taiwan that examined whether its leaders have taken defense seriously, whether defense cooperation with Taiwan has been effective, and whether U.S. policy should change. (The NSC, State Department, and AIT would have input into any review by the Administration of policy toward Taiwan. At the U.S.-Taiwan Business Council's conference on Taiwan's defense in February 2003, in San Antonio, TX, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense Richard Lawless told Taiwan's Vice Defense Minister Chen Chao-min and others that, while the President said that we will do whatever it takes to help Taiwan defend itself, Taiwan "should not view America's resolute commitment to peace and stability in the Taiwan Strait as a substitute for investing the necessary resources in its own defense." At the same occasion, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State Randall Schriver indicated a new proactive U.S. approach to Taiwan's defense modernization, pointing Taiwan to three priorities: missile defense, C4ISR, and ASW.

Taiwan's election in March 2004 brought the re-election of President Chen Shui-bian (with disputed results) and his continued advocacy of a new constitution for Taiwan by 2008. In April 2004, the Departments of State and Defense testified to the House International Relations Committee, indicating a readjustment in the Bush Administration's policy toward Taiwan. Assistant Secretary of State James Kelly clarified U.S. policy by stating that:

- The United States "does not support" independence for Taiwan or unilateral moves that would change the status quo "as we define it" and opposes statements or actions from either side that would unilaterally alter Taiwan's "status."
- U.S. efforts at deterring PRC coercion "might fail" if Beijing ever becomes convinced Taiwan is embarked upon a course toward independence and permanent separation from China, and concludes that Taiwan must be stopped.
- It would be "irresponsible" of us or of Taiwan's leaders to treat the PRC's statements as "empty threats."
- The United States looks to President Chen to exercise the kind of responsible, democratic, and restrained leadership that will be necessary to ensure a peaceful and prosperous future for Taiwan.
- There are "limitations" with respect to what the United States will support as Taiwan considers possible changes to its constitution.
- We urge Beijing and Taipei to pursue dialogue "as soon as possible" through any available channels "without preconditions."

<sup>93</sup> Taiwan Defense Review, January 18, 2003.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> *The Nelson Report* (January 31, 2003) reported there was an interagency East Asia Policy Review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> House International Relations Committee, hearing on "The Taiwan Relations Act: The Next 25 Years," April 21, 2004.

At that same hearing, Assistant Secretary of Defense Peter Rodman also warned PRC leaders that any attempt by China to use force against Taiwan would "inevitably" involve the United States.

Moreover, Assistant Secretary of State Kelly argued that a premise of arms sales to Taiwan has been that "a secure and self-confident Taiwan is a Taiwan that is more capable of engaging in political interaction and dialogue with the PRC, and we expect Taiwan will not interpret our support as a blank check to resist such dialogue." However, some observers have begun to question the continued validity of this premise. James Lilley, former ambassador in Beijing and representative in Taipei, warned in April 2004 that:

The implicit American premise was that a secure and stable Taiwan would be a more willing and successful partner in dealing with China. Judicious arms sales to Taiwan were part of this formula and in the past it has worked. ... If elements of this broader formula are disregarded by the current Taiwan authorities, however, then the successful historic pattern has been broken. U.S. military support and arms sales cannot be used by Taiwan to move away from China — they were meant to make Taiwan feel secure enough to move toward accommodation with China. Our support should be conditional on upholding our successful pattern. 96

As for senior-level contacts, the United States and Taiwan have held high-level defense-related meetings in the United States. U.S. policy previously restricted high-level military contacts but changed to welcome Taiwan's senior military officers and defense officials to visit the United States, while not sending to Taiwan U.S. flag and general officers or officials at or above the level of deputy assistant secretary of defense. The Pentagon and some in Congress have sought to lift this restriction in order to advance U.S. interests in boosting Taiwan's deterrence capability and U.S. leverage in Taiwan. Some have cited the NSC's record of sending senior officials to Taipei. The NSC, State Department, and some in Congress have opposed sending senior military officers and defense officials to Taiwan as an unnecessary change to a potentially dangerous situation in the Taiwan Strait. (See 108th Congress below.)

## **Congressional Action**

**105**<sup>th</sup> **Congress.** In the 105<sup>th</sup> Congress, the FY1999 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 105-261) required the Secretary of Defense to study the U.S. missile defense systems that could protect and could be transferred to "key regional allies," defined as Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan.<sup>98</sup> In addition, the conference

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> James Lilley, "Strait Talk," Wall Street Journal, April 19, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> The National Security Council has sent the Senior Director for Asian Affairs (James Moriarty and Michael Green) to Taiwan (*Far Eastern Economic Review*, May 20, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Department of Defense, "Report to Congress on Theater Missile Defense Architecture Options for the Asia-Pacific Region," unclassified version, May 1999; CRS Report (continued...)

report (H.Rept. 105-746 of the FY1999 Defense Appropriations Act, P.L. 105-262) required a report from the Pentagon on the security situation in the Taiwan Strait, in both classified and unclassified forms.<sup>99</sup>

**106**<sup>th</sup> **Congress.** In the 106<sup>th</sup> Congress, Representative Gilman, Chairman of the House International Relations Committee, wrote President Clinton on April 19, 1999, urging approval for the sale of long-range early warning radars to Taiwan. He also wrote Secretary of State Madeleine Albright on April 22, 1999, saying that if the Administration did not approve the sale, he would introduce legislation to do so. In the end, the Clinton Administration decided in principle to sell early warning radars to Taiwan. The State Department spokesperson confirmed that the United States agreed on the request in principle and acknowledged that under the TRA, "the President and Congress determined which defense articles and services Taiwan needs." The Pentagon spokesperson also confirmed that the United States "agreed to work with the Taiwanese to evaluate their early warning radar needs, and that will take place over the next year or so, but there is no specific agreement on a specific type of radar, specific sale, or specific terms of sale at this time." <sup>101</sup>

Also, Members debated whether the "Taiwan Security Enhancement Act (TSEA)" (S. 693, Helms; H.R. 1838, DeLay) was needed to better assist Taiwan or was unnecessary and counterproductive in a delicate situation, as the Clinton Administration maintained. The TSEA also increased attention to U.S.-Taiwan military exchanges, including that on communication and training. The Pentagon was said to have supported the spirit of the bill, although not its passage. <sup>102</sup> The TSEA was not enacted, although the House passed H.R. 1838 on February 1, 2000, by 341-70.

Seeking more information from the Pentagon on which to base its considerations, Congress passed the FY2000 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 106-65), requiring annual reports on PRC military power and the security situation in the Taiwan Strait. Also, in consolidated appropriations legislation for

RL30379, Missile Defense Options for Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan: A Review of the Defense Department Report to Congress, November 30, 1999, by Robert D. Shuey and Shirley A. Kan.

<sup>98 (...</sup>continued)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Department of Defense, "Report to Congress Pursuant to the FY99 Appropriations Bill, The Security Situation in the Taiwan Strait," unclassified version, February 1, 1999; CRS Report RS20187, *Taiwan's Defense: Assessing the U.S. Department of Defense Report,* "The Security Situation in the Taiwan Strait," April 30, 1999, by Robert Sutter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> Shenon, Philip, "U.S. Plans to Sell Radar to Taiwan to Monitor China," *New York Times*, April 30, 1999; Department of State, Daily Press Briefing, April 29, 1999.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Defense Department News Briefing, April 30, 1999.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Steven M. Goldstein and Randall Schriver (former official in the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs), "An Uncertain Relationship: The United States, Taiwan, and the Taiwan Relations Act," *China Quarterly*, March 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> Department of Defense, "Annual Report on the Military Power of the People's Republic (continued...)

FY2000 (P.L. 106-113), Congress required a report on the operational planning of the Department of Defense to implement the TRA and any gaps in knowledge about PRC capabilities and intentions affecting the military balance in the Taiwan Strait.<sup>104</sup>

Concerning Congress' role before the Administration's decisions on arms sales, the 106<sup>th</sup> Congress passed language, introduced by Senator Lott, in the FY2000 Foreign Operations Appropriations Act (in Div. B of P.L. 106-113), requiring the Secretary of State to consult with Congress to devise a mechanism for congressional input in determining arms sales to Taiwan. Again, in the FY2001 Foreign Operations Appropriations Act (P.L. 106-429), Congress passed the Taiwan Reporting Requirement, requiring the President to consult on a classified basis with Congress 30 days prior to the next round of arms sales talks. (Those required consultations took place on March 16, 2001.)

**107**<sup>th</sup> **Congress.** In the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress, some Members opposed the sale of Aegis-equipped destroyers, because they could be interpreted as offensive rather than defensive sales and could involve significant interaction with the U.S. military, as Senators Feinstein and Thomas (chairman of the Foreign Relations Subcommittee on East Asian and Pacific Affairs) wrote in the *Washington Times* on March 28, 2001. Other Members — 83 in the House (led by Representatives Cox and Wu) and 20 in the Senate (led by Senators Helms and Torricelli) — wrote letters to President Bush on April 3, 2001, urging approval of the sale of those destroyers. A March 2001 staff report to Senator Helms of the Foreign Relations Committee called for meeting Taiwan's defense needs, particularly for submarines and destroyers.

In addition, some in Congress urged the Administration to deliver AMRAAMs to Taiwan after the *Washington Times* on July 1, 2002, reported that, in June, two SU-30 fighters of the PLA Air Force test-fired AA-12 medium-range air-to-air missiles acquired from Russia. The report raised questions as to whether the PLA already deployed the missiles, meeting one of the conditions by which the United States would deliver the AMRAAMs to Taiwan — rather than keep them in storage — as approved for sale by the Clinton Administration in 2000. On July 16, 2002, Senators Kyl, Helms, Bob Smith, and Torricelli wrote Secretary of State Colin Powell, urging the Bush Administration to allow the transfer of AMRAAMS to Taiwan "as soon as they are produced" rather than "quibble over whether the AA-12 tests mean that China has an 'operational' capability."

The National Defense Authorization Act for FY2002 (P.L. 107-107), enacted on December 28, 2001, authorized the President to transfer (by sale) the four Kiddclass destroyers to Taiwan (Sec. 1011), under Section 21 of the AECA. Also, Section 1221 of the act required a new section in the annual report on PRC military

<sup>103 (...</sup>continued)

of China," unclassified version, June 2000 and July 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Department of Defense, "Report to Congress on Implementation of the Taiwan Relations Act," unclassified version, December 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> Senate Foreign Relations Committee, "U.S. Defense Policy Toward Taiwan: In Need of an Overhaul," a Staff Trip Report to the Committee on Foreign Relations, S. Prt. 107-26, by James Doran, printed April 2001.

power (as required by P.L. 106-65) to assess the PLA's military acquisitions and any implications for the security of the United States and its friends and allies. The scope of arms transfers to be covered was not limited to those from Russia and other former Soviet states, as in the original House language (H.R. 2586). 106

The Foreign Operations Appropriations Act for FY2002 (P.L. 107-115), enacted on January 10, 2002, brought unprecedented close coordination between the Executive and Legislative branches on arms sales to Taiwan. Section 573 required the Departments of State and Defense to provide detailed briefings (not specified as classified) to congressional committees (including those on appropriations) within 90 days of enactment and not later than every 120 days thereafter during FY2002. The briefings were required to report on U.S.-Taiwan discussions on potential sales of defense articles or services to Taiwan.

Some Members called for ensuring regular and high-level consultations with Taiwan and a role for Congress in determining arms sales to Taiwan, after President Bush announced on April 24, 2001 (the day of the last annual arms sales talks), that he would drop the annual arms talks process with Taiwan in favor of normal, routine considerations on an "as-needed" basis. <sup>107</sup> Due to the absence of diplomatic relations, successive administrations used a process in determining arms sales to Taiwan that was institutionalized in the early 1980s as annual rounds of talks with Taiwan defense authorities consisting of several phases leading up to final meetings usually in April. <sup>108</sup> In overseeing the new process, factors or implications to consider included the following:

- Congress' role in decision-making and ability to exercise oversight
- role of arms sales talks in the broader long-range and joint defense strategy for Taiwan (vs. a narrower focus on specific requests)
- role of arms sales in U.S. diplomatic and defense policies (including various elements of the "one China" policy)
- U.S. objectives for the Taiwan military
- nature of the U.S.-Taiwan military relationship
- extent of high-level U.S.-Taiwan military exchanges
- effect of an annual high-profile controversy on U.S. interests
- usefulness to Congress and Taiwan of a deadline for decisions
- influence of various interest groups in a more defused process
- changes in high-level, intensive attention given by the White House and its coordination of the inter-agency debates
- changes in the Pentagon's basis for recommendations
- Taiwan's desire to receive similar treatment given to others
- consultations with allies, including Japan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> Still, the Pentagon's report, issued on July 12, 2002, discussed China's military acquisitions from states of the former Soviet Union, and not other countries (e.g., Israel).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Milbank, Dana and Mike Allen, "Bush to Drop Annual Review of Weapons Sales to Taiwan," *Washington Post*, April 25, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> See CRS Report RS20365, *Taiwan: Annual Arms Sales Process*, October 21, 1999, updated June 5, 2001, by Shirley Kan.

The Foreign Relations Authorization Act for FYs 2002 and 2003 (H.R. 1646), passed in the House on May 16, 2001, contained provisions on arms sales to Taiwan. First, H.R. 1646 included authority (in Section 851) for the President to sell the four Kidd-class destroyers to Taiwan. Second, as proposed by Representative Brad Sherman in the House International Relations Committee, Section 813 sought to require that Taiwan be treated as the "equivalent of a major non-NATO ally" for defense transfers under the AECA or the Foreign Assistance Act, while the language stopped short of designating Taiwan as a major non-NATO ally. According to the Member's office, the provision would show tangible support for Taiwan's defense, provide it with status similar to that given to Australia, New Zealand, and Argentina, offer it the "right of first refusal" for EDA, and treat it with enhanced status for antiterrorism assistance, cooperative research and development projects in the defense area, and expedited review in satellite licensing. Third, Representative Gary Ackerman introduced Section 814 to require the President to consult annually with Congress and Taiwan about the availability of defense articles and services for Taiwan. The consultations with Taiwan would occur at a level not lower than that of the Vice Chief of General Staff and in Washington, DC — as has been the case.

Finally enacted as P.L. 107-228 on September 30, 2002, the Foreign Relations Authorization Act for FY2003 authorized — at the Bush Administration's request — the Department of State and other departments or agencies (including the Department of Defense) to detail employees to AIT (Section 326); required that Taiwan be "treated as though it were designated a major non-NATO ally" (Section 1206); required consultations with Congress on U.S. security assistance to Taiwan every 180 days (Section 1263); and authorized the sale to Taiwan of the four Kidd-class destroyers (Section 1701). Section 326, amending the Foreign Service Act of 1980, has significant implications for the assignment of government officials to AIT, including active-duty military personnel for the first time since 1979. (Employees have been separated from government service for a period of time in the name of "unofficial" relations, but personnel issues have affected AIT and its contractors. Defense Department personnel, including those supporting security assistance, have been civilian staff and retired or resigned military personnel.)

In signing the bill into law on September 30, 2002, President Bush issued a statement that included criticism of Section 1206 ("major non-NATO ally"). He said that "Section 1206 could be misconstrued to imply a change in the 'one China' policy of the United States when, in fact, that U.S. policy remains unchanged. To the extent that this section could be read to purport to change United States policy, it impermissibly interferes with the President's constitutional authority to conduct the Nation's foreign affairs."

Nonetheless, the Acting Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, Michael Wynne, submitted a letter to Congress on August 29, 2003, that designated Taiwan as a "major non-NATO ally" under Section 1206. The are implications for defense industrial cooperation with Taiwan, under Section 65 of the Arms Export Control Act (P.L. 90-629).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> For more details on proposed House and Senate language, see "Arms Sales to Taiwan," in CRS Report RL31046, *Foreign Relations Authorization, FY2003: An Overview.* 

The FY2003 National Defense Authorization Act, passed in the House on May 10, 2002, contained Section 1202 seeking to require the Secretary of Defense to implement a comprehensive plan to conduct combined training and exchanges of senior officers with Taiwan's military and to "enhance interoperability" with Taiwan's military. The language was similar to that of Section 5(b) in the "Taiwan Security Enhancement Act" proposed in the 106<sup>th</sup> Congress. The Senate's version, passed on June 27, 2002, did not have the language. The *Washington Times* reported on August 9, 2002, that the Department of State opposed the language as unnecessary (given U.S. support under the TRA).

As Members worked out differences in conference, Deputy Defense Secretary Paul Wolfowitz wrote in a letter to the House and Senate Armed Services Committees on September 27, 2002, that "while we welcome Congress' support for the U.S. commitments under the Taiwan Relations Act and for the President's commitment to the defense of Taiwan, we believe that the objectives of Section 1202 are best achieved by preserving the traditional statutory role of the Secretary to exercise authority, direction, and control over the Department of Defense to conduct such activities as are needed to support those commitments, including his authority to preserve the confidentiality of those activities." The Pentagon "strongly recommends that this provision be deleted, although we would not object to language that would call upon the Department to brief the Congress periodically on progress we are making to meet our commitments to Taiwan security," Wolfowitz wrote. As enacted on December 2, 2002, the FY2003 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 107-314) contained a revised section (1210), requiring a Presidential report 180 days after the act's enactment (due May 31, 2003) on the feasibility and advisability of conducting combined operational training and exchanges of senior officers with Taiwan's military. (U.S. policy has allowed Taiwan's senior military officers and defense officials to visit the United States, while not sending U.S. flag and general officers to Taiwan, or senior officials.)

**108**<sup>th</sup> **Congress.** On March 26, 2003, Representative David Vitter introduced H.R. 1454 to establish policy to provide missile defense systems capable of defending Taiwan, Israel, Japan, Republic of Korea, and NATO countries against ballistic missile attacks; and to seek burden-sharing agreements with them. Representative Robert Andrews introduced H.Con.Res. 232 on June 26, 2003, to express the sense of Congress on Taiwan's security. Calling Taiwan an "ally," the legislation would call upon the President to affirm with PRC leaders that there will not be a quid pro quo between any dismantlement of missiles aimed at Taiwan by the PRC and U.S. arms sales to Taiwan. The language also said that if the PRC does not dismantle the missiles, the President should authorize a sale of the Aegis system to Taiwan. On July 15, 2003, Representative Andrews offered the language as an amendment to H.R. 1950, the Foreign Relations Authorization Act for FYs 2004 and 2005, and on July 16, 2003, the House passed the bill with the language on Taiwan's security in Section 737.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> For an argument for enhancing interoperability with Taiwan, see Justin Bernier (staffer for the House Armed Services Committee) and Stuart Gold, "China's Closing Window of Opportunity," *Naval War College Review*, Summer 2003.

On May 20, 2004, the House passed the FY2005 National Defense Authorization Act (**H.R. 4200**) with Sec. 1013 to authorize the sale to Taiwan of a dock landing ship (Anchorage) as an Excess Defense Article and Sec. 1215 to require the Defense Department to send general or flag officers and officials at or above the level of deputy assistant secretary of defense to Taiwan (as proposed by Representative Jim Ryun). After a floor debate about whether Representative Ryun's amendment is necessary or dangerous, the House passed it by 290-132. Supporters cited the Defense Department's support for this policy change and challenges in Taiwan's military in integrating new acquisitions and prioritizing self-defense needs against the PLA. Opponents cited resistance by the NSC and State Department, the TRA as existing authority for security assistance, and the need for caution in a tense area in Asia. On May 19, 2004, Senator Sam Brownback submitted for the record a similar amendment to be proposed to the Senate's bill (**S. 2400**). However, on June 23, 2004, the Senate passed S. 2400 without voting on such an amendment.

Also on May 20, 2004, Representative Dana Rohrabacher (and Representative Ryun as co-sponsor) introduced **H.Con.Res. 437** to urge President Bush to ask Taiwan to deploy its Marines to the war in Iraq. (This bill generated controversy in Taiwan, with President Chen Shui-bian saying he would not send troops to Iraq, and a statement on May 24 by the State Department, clarifying that Taiwan did not offer to send troops to Iraq and that the United States did not ask for such cooperation.)

## Major U.S. Defense Sales

The following table provides information on U.S. sales (not deliveries) of major defense articles and services to Taiwan, as approved by the President, proposed in Letters of Offer and Acceptance, and notified to Congress since 1990. Based on unclassified notices and news reports, this list includes the date of notification, major item or service proposed for sale, and estimated value of the defense package. The list was compiled based on unclassified notifications to Congress or announcements by the Administration as well as press reports. These were primarily government-togovernment FMS. Major FMS are notified to Congress as required by Section 36(b) of the AECA. Not all of these approved sales were necessarily purchased by Taiwan. There have been other transfers of U.S. defense articles and services not included in this list (that amounted to billions of dollars), including sales and technical assistance with smaller individual values not required to be notified to Congress, those with classified notifications, and other direct commercial sales licensed for export by the Department of State and notified to Congress under Section 36(c) of the AECA (but subject to the confidentiality requirements of Section 38(e)). There have also been leases of naval vessels and other equipment. Moreover, each year, hundreds of Taiwan's military personnel at different levels receive training and education at U.S. military colleges, academies, and other institutions or units.

Date of Notification	Major Item or Service as Proposed (usually part of a package)	Value of Package (\$ million)
1990		
07/26	Cooperative Logistics Supply Support	\$108
09/06	(1) C-130H transport aircraft	\$45
1991		
01/07	(100) MK-46 torpedoes	\$28
07/24	(97) SM-1 Standard air defense missiles	\$55
09/13	(110) M60A3 tanks	\$119
11/18	Phase III PIP Mod Kits for HAWK air defense	
	systems	\$170
1992		
05/27	Weapons, ammunition, support for 3 leased ships	\$212
05/27	Supply support arrangement	\$107
08/04	(207) SM-1 Standard air defense missiles	\$126
09/14	(150) F-16A/B fighters	\$5,800
09/14	(3) Patriot-derived Modified Air Defense System (MADS) fire units <sup>111</sup>	\$1,300
09/18	(12) SH-2F LAMPS anti-submarine helicopters	\$161
1993		
06/17	(12) C-130H transport aircraft	\$620
06/25	Supply support arrangement	\$156
07/29	(38) Harpoon anti-ship missiles	\$68
07/30	Logistics support services for 40 leased T-38 trainers	\$70
08/	(4) E-2T Hawkeye airborne early warning aircraft <sup>112</sup>	
09/08	Logistics support services for MADS	\$175
11/04	(150) MK-46 Mod 5 torpedoes	\$54
11/09	Weapons, ammunition, and support for 3 leased frigates	\$238
11/23	MK-41 Mod (short) Vertical Launch Systems for ship-based air defense missiles	\$103

Commercial sale. Opall Barbara and David Silverberg, "Taiwanese May Soon Coproduce Patriot," *Defense News*, February 22-28, 1993; *Military Balance 1999-2000*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Flight International, September 1-7, 1993.

Date of Notification	Major Item or Service as Proposed (usually part of a package)	Value of Package (\$ million)
1994		
08/01	(80) AN/ALQ-184 electronic counter measure	
00,01	(ECM) pods	\$150
09/12	MK-45 Mod 2 gun system	\$21
1995		
03/24	(6) MK-75 shipboard gun systems,	
0.4/0.	(6) Phalanx Close-In Weapon Systems	\$75
06/07	Supply support arrangement	\$192
1996		
05/10	Improved Mobile Subscriber Equipment communications system	\$188
05/10	<ul><li>(30) TH-67 training helicopters,</li><li>(30) sets of AN/AVS-6 night vision goggles</li></ul>	\$53
05/23	<ul><li>(465) Stinger missiles,</li><li>(55) dual-mounted Stinger launcher systems</li></ul>	\$84
06/24	(300) M60A3TTS tanks	\$223
08/23	<ul><li>(1,299) Stinger surface-to-air missiles,</li><li>(74) Avenger vehicle mounted guided missile launchers,</li><li>(96) HMMWVs (high-mobility multi-purpose wheeled vehicle)</li></ul>	\$420
09/05	(110) MK-46 MOD 5 anti-submarine torpedoes	\$66
1997		
02/14	(54) Harpoon anti-ship missiles	\$95
05/23	(1,786) TOW 2A anti-armor guided missiles,	ψ <i>)</i> 3
	(114) TOW launchers, (100) HMMWVs	\$81
07/24	(21) AH-1W Super Cobra helicopters <sup>113</sup>	\$479
09/03	(13) OH-58D Kiowa Warrior Armed Scout helicopters	\$172
11/09	Pilot training and logistics support for F-16 fighters	\$280
11/09	Spare parts for various aircraft	\$140

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> Taiwan reportedly ordered 63 AH-1W helicopters, 42 of which were delivered by early 2000, and Taiwan may order an additional 24 helicopters (*Defense News*, March 6, 2000).

Date of Notification	Major Item or Service as Proposed (usually part of a package)	Value of Package (\$ million)
1998		
01/28	(3) Knox-class frigates, 114 (1) MK 15 Phalanx Close-In Weapons System (CIWS)	\$300
06/01	(28) Pathfinder/Sharpshooter navigation and targeting pods for F-16 fighters <sup>115</sup>	\$160
08/27	(58) Harpoon anti-ship missiles	\$101
08/27	(61) Dual-mount Stinger surface-to-air missiles	\$180
08/27	(131) MK 46 Mod 5(A)S anti-submarine torpedoes	\$69
10/09	(9) CH-47SD Chinook helicopters	\$486
1999		
05/26	(240) AGM-114KS Hellfire II air-to-surface missiles	\$23
05/26	(5) AN/VRC-92E SINCGARS radio systems, (5) Intelligence Electronic Warfare systems, (5) HMMWVs	\$64
07/30	Spare parts for F-5E/F, C-130H, F-16A/B, and Indigenous Defense Fighter (IDF) aircraft	\$150
07/30	(2) E-2T Hawkeye 2000E airborne early warning aircraft	\$400
2000		
03/02	Modernization of the TPS-43F air defense radar to TPS-75V configuration	\$96
03/02	(162) HAWK Intercept guided air defense missiles <sup>116</sup>	\$106
06/07	(39) Pathfinder/Sharpshooter navigation and targeting pods for F-16 fighters	\$234
06/07	(48) AN/ALQ-184 ECM pods for F-16s	\$122

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> In 1992, the Bush Administration submitted legislation that Congress passed to lease 3 Knox-class frigates to Taiwan. Reports say that Taiwan leased a total of 6 (and subsequently bought them in 1999) and purchased 2 in 1998 (plus 1 for spares).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> The sale of the navigation/targeting pods excluded the laser designator feature, but the Pentagon notified Congress on May 16, 2000, that 20 sets would be upgraded to include the feature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> On June 23, 2000, the Pentagon notified Congress of a sale of 156 excess HAWK air defense missiles to Taiwan for about \$7 million.

## **CRS-30**

Date of Notification	Major Item or Service as Proposed (usually part of a package)	Value of Package (\$ million)
09/28	(146) M109A5 howitzers, 152 SINCGARS radio systems	\$405
09/28	(200) AIM-120C Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missiles (AMRAAMs) for F-16 fighters	\$150
09/28	(71) RGM-84L Harpoon anti-ship missiles	\$130 \$240
09/28	Improved Mobile Subscriber Equipment (IMSE)	Ψ2 10
	communication system	\$513
2001		
07/18	(50) Joint Tactical Information Distribution Systems (JTIDS) terminals (a version of Link 16) for data links between aircraft, ships, and ground stations	\$725
09/05	(40) AGM-65G Maverick air-to-ground missiles for F-16s	
10/26	(40) Javelin anti-tank missile systems	\$51
10/30	Logistical support for spare parts for F-5E/F, C-130H, F-16A/B, and IDF aircraft	\$288
2002		
06/04	(3) AN/MPN-14 air traffic control radars	\$108
09/04	(54) AAV7A1 assault amphibious vehicles	\$250
09/04	Maintenance of material and spare parts for aircraft, radar systems, AMRAAMS, and other systems	\$174
09/04	(182) AIM-9M-1/2 Sidewinder air-to-air missiles	\$36
09/04	(449) AGM-114M3 Hellfire II anti-armor missiles for use on AH-1W and OH-58D helicopters	\$60
10/11	(290) TOW-2B anti-tank missiles	\$18
11/21	(4) Kidd-class destroyers	\$875
2003		
09/24	Multi-functional Information Distribution Systems (for Po Sheng C4ISR data link upgrades)	\$775
2004		
03/30	(2) Ultra High Frequency Long Range Early Warning Radars	\$1,776