
Issue Brief for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Renewable Energy: Tax Credit, Budget, and Electricity Production Issues

Updated July 26, 2002

Fred Sissine
Resources, Science, and Industry Division

CONTENTS

SUMMARY

MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Renewable Energy Concept

Contribution to National Energy Supply

Role in Long-Term Energy Supply

History

- Tax Credits

- Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act

DOE's Strategic and Performance Goals

Renewables Provisions in Omnibus Energy Bills

- Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS)

- Renewable Energy Fuel Standard

- Other Renewables Provisions

Tax Credits and Incentives

- Production Tax Credit

- Residential Tax Credit

- Other Incentives and Credits

FY2003 DOE Budget

Electricity from Renewable Energy

- Renewables Under Electric Industry Restructuring

- Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS)

- Green Power

- Distributed Generation

- Net Metering

Climate Change

Legislative Activity in the 107th Congress

LEGISLATION

CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS, REPORTS, AND DOCUMENTS

- CRS Reports

FOR ADDITIONAL READING

- Web Sites

Renewable Energy: Tax Credit, Budget, and Electricity Restructuring Issues

SUMMARY

Energy security, a major driver of federal renewable energy programs in the past, came back into play as oil and gas prices rose late in the year 2000. The terrorist attack of September 11, 2001, heightened concern for energy security and raised additional concerns about the vulnerability of energy infrastructure. Further, the 2001 electricity shortages in California have brought a new emphasis to the role that renewable energy may play in electricity supply.

In the 107th Congress, debate over renewable energy programs has focused on tax credits, incentives, and the omnibus energy policy bill, H.R. 4.

Also, worldwide emphasis on environmental problems of air and water pollution and global climate change, and the related development of clean energy technologies in western Europe and Japan may remain important influences on renewable energy policymaking. Concern about technology competitiveness may also remain a factor in debate.

For DOE's FY2003 Renewable Energy Program, the Administration seeks \$407.0 million, an \$11.3 million (3%) increase relative to the FY2002 appropriation. The main increases are \$8.9 million for Hydrogen, \$7.4 million for Electric/Storage, \$5.3 million for Renewable American Indian Resources, \$3.5 million for International Renewables, and \$3.0 million for Wind. However, there are major cuts in proposed spending, which include decreases of \$7.4 million for Solar, \$7.0 million for Biomass/Biofuels, and \$3.0 million for Program Direction.

The House Appropriations Committee Chairman's mark seeks \$396.0 million for the FY2003 DOE Renewable Energy Program, which is \$11.0 million less than the request. In contrast, the Senate Appropriations Committee seeks \$448.1 million (excluding \$15.0 million in prior year balances), which is \$52.0 million, or 13%, more than the House Chairman's mark.

An omnibus House energy bill (H.R. 4, Securing America's Future Energy Act), includes many, if not most, of the recommendations from Bush Administration's *National Energy Policy* report. Its renewable energy provisions include R&D funding authorizations, incentives for alternative fuel vehicles, biomass development on federal lands, expedited geothermal leasing, investment and production tax credits, and renewables funding derived from oil development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR).

In response, the Senate version of H.R. 4 (Energy Policy Act, S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517) passed the Senate. It also has provisions for R&D funding and alternative fuels, but differs by including – for example – a federal purchase requirement, net metering, and a renewable energy portfolio standard (RPS).

The Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-147, H.R. 3090) was enacted on March 9, 2002. Section 603 extends the renewable energy production tax credit retrospectively, from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2003. Both the House and Senate versions of H.R. 4 would extend the credit for an additional 2 years, to January 1, 2006.

MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On July 24, the Senate Appropriations Committee reported (S.Rept. 107-220) the FY2003 Energy and Water Appropriations Bill, which recommends \$448.0 million (excluding \$15.0 million in prior year balances) for the FY2003 DOE Renewable Energy Program. Relative to the request, the Senate Appropriations Committee seeks \$14.0 million more for Biomass/Biofuels, \$10.5 million more for Geothermal, \$6.0 million more for Wind, \$5.1 million more for Hydrogen, \$4.1 million more for Concentrating Solar Power, and \$4.0 million more for Program Support. Further, it seeks \$52.0 million, or 13%, more than the House Committee Chairman's mark.

On July 10, 2002, the Chairman of the House Appropriations Committee issued a Chairman's mark which seeks \$396.0 million for this Program. This is the same amount, not accounting for inflation, as the FY2002 appropriation and it is \$11 million, or 3%, less than the Administration's request for \$407.0 million.

Also, as part of a major reorganization of EERE due to be completed by October 2002, DOE has proposed a complete restructuring of the account categories for the Renewable Energy Program. The foregoing discussion of programs is based on the old account structure. A budget crosswalk from the old account structure to the new account structure is expected to be available soon.

On June 27, 2002, the conference committee for the omnibus energy bill, H.R. 4, began work. Both the House and Senate version of H.R. 4 have provisions for R&D funding, alternative fuels, and renewable electricity. Each version would broaden eligibility for the renewable energy production tax credit to other renewable energy sources and extend it for an additional three years. There are key differences in the bills, in particular, the Senate version has provisions for a renewable portfolio standard (RPS) and a renewable energy fuel standard that do not appear in the House version.

(The DOE FY2003 Budget Request is on the DOE web site at <http://www.mbe.doe.gov/budget/03budget/content/es/renewabl.pdf>.)

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Renewable Energy Concept

Renewable energy is derived from resources that are generally not depleted by human use, such as the sun, wind, and water movement. These primary sources of energy can be converted into heat, electricity and mechanical energy in several ways. There are some mature technologies for conversion of renewable energy such as hydropower, biomass, and waste combustion. Other conversion technologies, such as wind turbines and photovoltaics, are already well-developed, but have not achieved the technological efficiency and market penetration which many expect they will ultimately reach. Although geothermal energy is produced from geological rather than solar sources, it is often included as a renewable energy

resource and this brief treats it as one. Commercial nuclear power is not considered to be a renewable energy resource. (For further definitions of renewable energy, see the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's web site information on "Clean Energy 101" [http://www.nrel.gov/clean_energy/].)

Contribution to National Energy Supply

According to the Energy Information Administration's (EIA's) *Annual Energy Outlook 2001*, renewable energy resources supplied about 6.6 Q (quadrillion Btu's or quads) of the 96.1 Q the nation used in 1999, or about 6.9% of national energy demand. More than half of renewable energy production takes the form of electricity supply. Of this, most is provided by large hydropower. However, in 1998 and 1999, declining hydroelectric availability led to a slight drop in national renewable energy use. Industrial use of renewables, supplied primarily by biofuels, accounts for most of the remaining contribution.

After more than 20 years of federal support, some note that renewable energy has neither achieved a high level of market penetration nor a growing market share among other energy sources. A recent review of renewable energy studies by Resources for the Future, *Renewable Energy: Winner, Loser, or Innocent Victim?*, concludes that the lower-than-projected market penetration and flat market share are due primarily to declining fossil fuel and electricity prices during this period. In contrast, however, it notes that the costs for renewable energy technologies have declined by amounts equal to or exceeding those of earlier projections.

EIA's *Annual Energy Outlook 2001* projects that current policies would yield an 1.1% average annual increase in renewable energy production through 2020, resulting in a 26% total increase. This would amount to about 6.5% of the projected 127 Q total demand in 2020. (Detailed breakdowns of renewable energy use appear in EIA's *Renewable Energy Annual 2000* and *Renewable Energy 2000: Issues and Trends*.)

Role in Long-Term Energy Supply

Our Common Future, the 1987 report of the World Commission on Environment and Development, found that "energy efficiency can only buy time for the world to develop 'low-energy paths' based on renewable sources..." Although many renewable energy systems are in a relatively early stage of development, they offer the world "a potentially huge primary energy source, sustainable in perpetuity and available in various forms to every nation on Earth." It suggested that a Research, Development, and Demonstration (R,D&D) program of renewable energy projects is required to attain the same level of primary energy that is now obtained from a mix of fossil, nuclear, and renewable energy resources.

The *Agenda 21* adopted at the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) concluded that mitigating urban air pollution and the adverse impact of energy use on the atmosphere — such as acid rain, global warming, and climate change — requires an emphasis on "clean and renewable energy sources."

History

The oil embargo of 1973 sparked a quadrupling of energy prices, major economic shock, and the establishment of a comprehensive federal energy program to help with the nation's immediate and long-term energy needs. During the 1970s, the federal renewable energy program grew rapidly to include basic and applied R&D, and joint federal participation with the private sector in demonstration projects, commercialization, and information dissemination. In addition, the federal government instituted market incentives, such as business and residential tax credits, and created a utility market for non-utility produced electric power through the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (P.L. 95-617).

The subsequent failure of the oil cartel and the return of low oil and gas prices in the early 1980s slowed the federal program. Despite Congress's consistent support for a broader, more aggressive renewable energy program than any Administration, federal spending for these programs fell steadily through 1990. Until 1994, Congress led policy development and funding through legislative initiatives and close reviews of annual budget submissions. FY1995 marked a noteworthy shift, with the 103rd Congress for the first time approving less funding than the Administration had requested. The 104th Congress approved 23% less than the Clinton Administration request for FY1996 and 8% less for FY1997. However, funding turned upward again during the 105th Congress and in the 106th Congress. (A detailed description of DOE programs appears in DOE's *FY2003 Congressional Budget Request*, DOE/ME-0003, v. 3, February 2002.)

From FY1973 through FY1998, the federal government spent about \$11.7 billion (in 1999 constant dollars) for renewable energy R&D. Renewable energy R&D funding grew from less than \$1 million per year in the early 1970s to over \$1.3 billion in FY1979 and FY1980, then declined steadily to \$136 million in FY1990. Spending rose from FY1991 to FY1995, declined in FY1996 and FY1997, then rose again in FY1998, reaching \$275 million in 1999 constant dollars.

This spending history can be viewed within the context of DOE spending for the three other major energy R&D programs: nuclear, fossil, and energy efficiency R&D. From FY1948 through FY1972, in 1999 constant dollars, the federal government spent about \$22.4 billion for nuclear (fission and fusion) energy R&D and about \$5.1 billion for fossil energy R&D. From FY1973 through FY1998, in 1999 constant dollars, the federal government spent \$43.2 billion for nuclear, \$21.1 billion for fossil, \$11.7 billion for renewables, and \$8 billion for energy efficiency. Total energy R&D spending from FY1948-FY1998 reached \$111.5 billion, including \$66 billion, or 59% for nuclear, \$26 billion, or 23%, for fossil, \$12 billion, or 11%, for renewables, and \$8 billion, or 7%, for energy efficiency.

Tax Credits. The Energy Tax Act of 1978 (P.L. 95-618) created residential solar credits and the residential and business credits for wind energy installations; it expired on December 31, 1985. However, business investment credits were extended repeatedly through the 1980s. Section 1916 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPACT, P.L. 102-486) extended the 10% business tax credits for solar and geothermal equipment indefinitely. Also, EPACT Section 1914 created an income tax "production" credit of 1.5 cents/kwh for electricity produced by wind and closed-loop biomass systems. P.L. 106-170 expanded this credit to include poultry waste. On March 9, 2002, the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-147, H.R. 3090) was signed into law. Section 603 extends the

production tax credit for wind, closed-loop biomass, and poultry waste, retrospectively, from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2003.

Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act. The Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act (P.L. 96-917) required electric utilities to purchase power produced by qualified renewable power facilities. Under PURPA, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) established rules requiring that electric utilities purchase power from windfarms and other small power producers at an “avoided cost” price based on energy and capacity costs that the utility would otherwise incur by generating the power itself or purchasing it elsewhere. However, to receive avoided cost payments, each renewables facility must file for, and obtain, qualifying facility (QF) status from FERC. EIA’s *Renewable Energy 2000: Issues* reports that, in 1998, QF renewable power capacity reached 12,700 MW generation reached 64 billion kwh. Thus, QFs provided about 1.6% of national electric capacity and about 1.7% of national electricity generation. In comparison, the capacity of all renewables reached 94,800 MW, or about 12% of national capacity; and generation for all renewables stood at 418,000, which is about 11.5% of national generation.

DOE’s Strategic and Performance Goals

In August 2001, *The President’s Management Agenda* was released, setting out the Bush Administration’s framework for performance management based on human capital, competitive sourcing, financial performance, electronic government, and integration of budget with performance. The Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA, P.L. 103-62) requires each federal agency to produce and update a strategic plan linked to annual performance plans. In DOE’s *Strategic Plan of September 2000*, renewable energy objectives and strategies appear under general goal #1 “Energy Resources.” In its *FY2001 Annual Performance and Accountability Report*, DOE assesses the results of its performance goals for FY2001. In the *DOE Annual Performance Plan for FY2003*, strategic objective ER2 aims to “... increase the share of renewable-generated electricity [from 8%] to 12% ... by 2020 ...” Also, in 2000, the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) released a strategic plan, *Clean Energy for the 21st Century*; the National Academy of Public Administration issued *A Review of Management in the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy*; and the National Research Council issued *Renewable Power Pathways: A Review of the U.S. Department of Energy’s Renewable Energy Programs*.

Renewables Provisions in Omnibus Energy Bills

Much of the legislative action on renewables is focused on the House and Senate versions of the omnibus energy policy bill, H.R. 4. The House version of H.R. 4 includes renewables provisions that are derived primarily from H.R. 2436, H.R. 2460, H.R. 2511, and H.R. 2587 and contain many, if not most, of the renewable energy recommendations in the Administration’s *National Energy Policy* report. The Senate version of H.R. 4 incorporates S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 which, in turn, replaces S. 1766. As with the House version, many renewables provisions of the Senate version are derived primarily from S. 388, S. 389, S. 596, and S. 597. Both versions of H.R. 4 have provisions for R&D funding, distributed power generation, and alternative fuels. However, there are major differences; for example,

House version would fund renewables with income from oil development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR), reduces geothermal royalties, and establishes an “Energy Sun” label, while the Senate version would establish federal agency power purchases, net metering, and a renewable energy portfolio standard (RPS).

Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS). Section 264 of the Senate version of H.R. 4 (S. 517) proposes that retail electricity suppliers (utilities, except for municipal and cooperative utilities) be required to obtain a minimum percentage of their power production from a portfolio of new renewable energy resources. The minimum energy target or “standard” would start at 1% in 2005, rise at a rate of about 1.2% every two years, and peak at 10% in 2019.

Eligible resources include solar, wind, ocean, and geothermal energy, most forms of biomass, landfill gas, and incremental hydropower. A generation offset from renewables used on site to reduce the measured demand from the grid is also eligible. The base for calculating the target production level excludes power from eligible renewables, hydropower, and municipal solid waste. Thus, states with a large amount of existing biomass, hydro, or other renewable power generation will have a proportionately lower target for new generation.

Tradable credits are created, which can be purchased in place of power from other suppliers, to help retailers meet the target at the lowest cost. The credits would function like the Clean Air Act emission allowance trading system, which has lowered compliance cost for air pollution regulations. The bill’s credit trading provision is made flexible by allowing a supplier to “borrow” from expected future credits to fill a present shortfall or to “carry forward” surplus credits to future years.

A cost cap for the credits is set as the lesser of 1.5 cents/kwh (Section 271) or 200% of the average market value of the credits. The lower the cost cap, the more it may restrict portfolio diversity and deter generation from solar and other higher-cost renewable resources. Utilities sought a cost cap near 1 cent/kwh, while environmental groups sought a cap near 4 to 5 cents/kwh. State experience suggests that a cost cap is key to compliance cost control and may also allow compliance cost to flow through as a business cost.

Some see a federal RPS as a way to substitute a more market-oriented mechanism for the PURPA Section 210 requirement that utilities purchase power from renewables at an administratively-determined “avoided cost.” Ten states, including Texas, and a few foreign governments, have an RPS that provides a base of experience for the federal proposal.

(For more on RPS, see CRS Memorandum on *Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard*.)

Renewable Energy Fuel Standard. Section 820 of the Senate version proposes to increase the renewable energy content of motor fuel. Starting in 2003, it would require that motor gasoline contain a certain amount of renewable fuel. There is no comparable provision in the House version. (For more on the Renewable Energy Fuel Standard, see CRS Report RL31276.)

Other Renewables Provisions. Both the House version of H.R. 4 (Section 3102) and the Senate version (Section 1901-1906) would expand and further extend the renewable

energy production tax credit that was recently extended by P.L. 107-147 (H.R. 3090). Also, both versions (House, Section 602; Senate, Section 261) would expand and extend a parallel renewable energy production “incentive” for state and local governments. Further, the House version (Section 141A) would create an “Energy Sun” label for renewable energy equipment that could serve in a role parallel to that for the “Energy Star” label for energy-efficient equipment. (The renewables provisions in the House version are summarized in CRS Report RL31153 and the provisions of the Senate version are summarized in CRS Report RL31276.)

Tax Credits and Incentives

In *A Blueprint for New Beginnings*, the Bush Administration calls for tax credits for renewables and alternative fuels to help open markets. Several renewable energy tax credit bills have been introduced in the 107th Congress. The sections below describe the credits that have been proposed in the House and Senate versions of H.R. 4. (A comprehensive list of tax credit, incentives, and other renewable energy bills appears in CRS Report RL31044.)

Production Tax Credit. This 1.5 cent/kwh production tax credit (PTC) was created by Section 1914 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAAct). It is currently available for wind, closed-loop biomass, and poultry waste. The 106th Congress extended the credit through December 31, 2001. In March 2002, Section 603 of the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act (P.L. 107-147) extended the credit retroactively from December 31, 2001, to December 31, 2003.

Residential Tax Credit. Section 2103 of the Senate version of H.R. 4 amends the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to create a 15% residential tax credit worth up to \$2,000 for homeowners who purchase photovoltaics equipment and a 30% credit worth up to \$1,000 for solar thermal equipment.

Other Incentives and Credits. A 1.5 cent/kwh renewable energy production incentive (REPI) was created by EPAAct Section 1212. It is available to state and local government agencies and non-profit electrical cooperatives. Both versions of H.R. 4 would extend it. In the House version of H.R. 4, Section 2101-2105 calls for grants to support alternative fuels and fueling stations. Title XX of the Senate version has tax credits for alternative fuels, vehicles, and fueling stations. a credit for hydropower facilities.

FY2003 DOE Budget

On March 18, 2002, the House Appropriations Committee issued revised figures for the FY2003 DOE budget request for Renewable Energy. The main increases are \$8.9 million for Hydrogen, \$7.4 million for Electric/Storage, \$5.3 million for Renewable American Indian Resources, \$3.5 million for International Renewables, and \$3.0 million for Wind. However, there are major cuts in proposed spending, which include decreases of \$7.4 million for Solar, \$7.0 million for Biomass/Biofuels, and \$3.0 million for Program Direction.

The FY2003 request for DOE’s Renewable Energy Program seeks “to meet the growing need for clean and affordable energy,” according to the Appendix to the U.S. Government’s FY2003 Budget (p. 397). In accordance with this policy, DOE proposes to increase solar and

renewables funding under DOE's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) from \$386.4 million in FY2002 to \$407.7 million in FY2003 (excluding funding for programs under the Office of Science) — an increase of \$21.3 million (6%) above the FY2002 level. Overall, this is a relatively flat budget request. However, some programs would get either a significant increase or decrease. The major cuts in proposed spending include decreases of \$15.7 million for Distributed Energy, \$11.3 million for Concentrated Solar, \$6.2 million for Biopower, and \$2.6 million for Program Direction.

According to DOE, the cut for Distributed Energy has several parts, including two big parts. First, two one-time Transmission Reliability projects funded in FY2002 for a total of \$14.0 million did not need further funding in FY2003. However, the cut would be partially offset by a \$3.4 million in increase for reliability compliance, real time monitoring, and load research. Second, \$6.3 million in FY2002 funding for DER Systems Integration was not carried into FY2003. However, this cut would be partially offset by a \$2.7 million increase to develop a national standard for DER grid interconnection.

DOE says the 85% cut for Concentrating Solar includes a \$3.3 million cut for Distributed Power System Development. Also, it would terminate four subprograms, including cuts of \$3.7 million for Dispatchable Systems, \$3.4 million for Advanced Components, \$0.5 million for the Southwest Resource Opportunity (technical study and assistance), and \$0.4 million for the Navajo Electrification Project.

Under Biomass Systems Development, DOE proposes to cut Biopower for Rural Development by \$8.4 million, primarily by not extending a variety of earmark projects funded in FY2002. This would be partially offset by a \$1 million increase for Small Modular Biopower and a \$2 million increase for Gasification R&D. Also, the Regional Biomass Energy Program would be terminated by cutting \$0.8 million.

Offsetting the above net reductions, the primary increases are \$15.5 million for Superconductivity, \$10.7 million for Hydrogen, \$7.3 for Solar Buildings, \$5.5 million for Renewable American Indian Resources, \$5.4 million for Wind, \$4.2 million for Biofuels, and \$3.7 million for International Renewables.

P.L. 107-66 (Energy and Water Appropriations Bill) appropriated \$386.4 million in FY2002 for DOE's Renewable Energy Program.

Electricity from Renewable Energy

The Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA) has been key to the growth of electric power production from renewable energy facilities. Since 1994, state actions to restructure the electric utility industry have dampened PURPA's effect. As part of federal restructuring proposals, some have included a repeal of the mandatory renewables purchase requirement in Section 210 of PURPA. In the 107th Congress, H.R. 381/S. 552 would repeal this section of PURPA.

Renewables Under Electric Industry Restructuring. To encourage a continued role for renewable energy under restructuring, some states and utilities have enacted measures such as a renewable energy portfolio standard (RPS), public benefits fund (PBF),

and/or “green” pricing and marketing of renewable power. Also, some restructuring legislation in the 106th Congress included such provisions for renewables. (For a discussion of broader electricity restructuring issues, see the CRS Electronic Briefing Book on *Electricity Restructuring* at [<http://www.congress.gov/brbk/html/ebele1.shtml>].)

Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS). The RPS is a market-based policy to encourage new power generation from renewables in a setting where renewables cost more than conventional power. (For more on RPS, see CRS Memorandum on *Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard*.)

Green Power. The spread of competition in the electric industry has been accompanied by growth in the market for green power services. The term “green power” generally refers to electricity supplied in whole or in part from renewable energy sources. Green pricing is an optional utility service that allows electricity customers who are willing to pay a premium for the environmental benefits of renewable energy to purchase green power instead of conventional power. More than 80 utilities have implemented green pricing programs that can reach more than one-third of the nation’s consumers. Green power marketing, the selling of green power in either the retail or wholesale competitive marketplace, is underway in the newly competitive electricity markets of California, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Texas. The growth of green power has led to market information needs for disclosure and certification, which are discussed in CRS Report RS20270 on *Renewable Energy and Electricity Restructuring*. (For more on green power see the web site [<http://www.eren.doe.gov/greenpower/home.shtml>].)

Distributed Generation. Distributed generation involves the use of small, modular electricity generators sited close to the customer load that can enable utilities to defer or eliminate costly investments in transmission and distribution (T&D) system upgrades, and provide customers with quality, reliable energy supplies that may have less environmental impact than traditional fossil fuel generators. Technologies for distributed electricity generation use wind, solar, bioenergy, fuel cells, gas microturbines, hydrogen, combined heat and power, and hybrid power systems. For example, DOE’s R&D program is developing systems under five megawatts in size that would primarily use agricultural or industrial biomass wastes to supply on-site energy or to sell to the grid. As another example, photovoltaic (PV) systems ranging from one kilowatt to one megawatt are commercially available. PV has the advantages of being modular and easy to site near the use, it has low operating and maintenance costs, and its power output curve follows the peak electrical demand. Its main disadvantage is its initial capital cost. (More information about DOE’s Distributed Power Program is available at [<http://www.eren.doe.gov/distributedpower/>].)

In March, to help increase electricity supplies in the Western states, FERC waived (EL01-47/000, [<http://www.ferc.fed.us/electric/bulkpower/el01-47-000.pdf>]) its prior notice requirements for businesses with on-site power generators that sell wholesale power to the grid. This action tends to encourage more generation from distributed renewable energy power sources. Also, H.R. 4 (Sections 2121-2128), S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 (Sections 102, 242, 1211), H.R. 1045, and H.R. 2496 have provisions for distributed generation.

Net Metering. Net metering allows customers with generating facilities to “turn their electric meters backwards” when they are feeding power into the grid, so that they receive

retail prices for the excess electricity they generate. This encourages customer investment in distributed generation, which includes renewable energy equipment. In April 2001, California enacted a law (ABX129) that raised the size limit for net-metered systems from 10 kw to 1 Mw. Further, the California Public Utility Commission approved \$138 million annually over four years for programs that reduce peak demand, including a provision for up to 50% of system cost to customers that install PV, wind, or fuel cells that use renewable fuels ranging in size from 30 kw to 1 MW. Also, S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 (Section 245), H.R. 954, H.R. 3037, H.R. 3089, H.R. 3406, S. 597, and S. 1333 would provide for net metering.

Climate Change

Since 1988, the federal government has accelerated programs that study the science of global climate change and created programs aimed at mitigating fossil fuel-generated carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other human-generated emissions. (For more details, see the CRS electronic briefing book on Global Climate Change at [<http://www.congress.gov/brbk/html/ebgcc1.html>].)

The federal government funds programs for renewable energy as a mitigation measure at DOE, EPA, the Agency for International Development (AID), and the World Bank. The latter two agencies have received funding for renewable energy-related climate actions through Foreign Operations appropriations bills.

Because CO₂ contributes the largest share of greenhouse gas emission impact, it has been the focus of studies of the potential for reducing emissions through renewable energy and other means. Except for biofuels and biopower, wherever renewable energy equipment displaces fossil fuel use, it will also reduce carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, as well as pollutants that contribute to water pollution, acid rain, and urban smog. In general, the combustion of biomass for fuel and power production releases CO₂ at an intensity that may rival or exceed that for natural gas. However, the growth of biomass material offsets this release. Hence, net emissions occur only when combustion is based on deforestation. In a “closed loop” system, biomass combustion is based on rotating energy crops, there is no net release, and its displacement of any fossil fuel, including natural gas, reduces CO₂ emissions.

Legislative Activity in the 107th Congress

Much of the action on renewables has focused on two omnibus energy policy bills, H.R. 4 and S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 (which replaces S. 1766). More than 100 renewable energy bills have been introduced during the 107th Congress. These bills cover policy issue areas that include tax credits, regulation, funding, goals, education, farms, and environment; and a range of resources and technologies that include alcohol fuels and biofuels, biopower, geothermal, hydrogen, hydropower, solar, and wind. Some key renewable energy bills are listed in the Legislation section below. A detailed, comprehensive list of bills appears in CRS Report RL31044, *Renewable Energy Legislation in the 107th Congress*.

LEGISLATION

P.L. 107-66 (H.R. 2311)

Energy and Water Appropriations Bill, FY2002. Makes appropriations for DOE's Renewable Energy Program. Reported (H.Rept. 107-112) June 26, 2001. Passed House without amendments for renewable energy on June 28. Senate Appropriations Committee reported a Senate bill (S. 1171, S.Rept. 107-39) July 13, 2001. Passed Senate without amendments to renewables, July 19. Conference Committee reported (H. Rept. 107-258) October 30. Signed into law November 12, 2001.

P.L. 107-115 (H.R. 2506)

Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Bill, FY2002. Appropriates funding for renewable energy and energy efficiency under programs of the Global Environment Facility (GEF), U.S. Agency for International Development (AID), Overseas Private Investment Council (OPIC), and other bilateral and multilateral programs. House Appropriations Committee reported (H.Rept. 107-142) July 17, 2001. Passed House July 24. Senate Appropriations Committee reported (S.Rept. 107-58) September 4, 2001. Conference held November 14. Conference reported (H.Rept. 107-345) December 19, 2001. Signed into law January 10, 2002.

P.L. 107-147 (H.R. 3090)

Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002. Section 603 extends the renewable energy production tax credit for 2 years, retrospectively from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2003. Also, Section 602 extends a credit for electric vehicles and Section 606 extends a deduction for clean fuel vehicle property. House Committee on Ways and Means reported (H.Rept. 107-251) bill on October 17, 2001, with two-year extension of renewables production tax credit. Passed House October 24. Senate Finance Committee reported (Committee Print 107-49) an amendment in the nature of a substitute with an amendment to the title on November 9. Section 404 of the Senate version proposed one-year extension of renewables production tax credit. Brought to the floor November 13. Amended in Senate (S.Amdt. 2896) and passed Senate February 14, 2002. House approved agreement with Senate Amendment March 7, 2002. Signed into law March 9, 2002.

P.L. 107-171 (H.R. 2646)

Farm Security Act. Section 6013 provides loan guarantees for renewable energy equipment and broadens the range of renewable energy equipment available for loans. Title IX (p. 347-358) has several renewable energy provisions. Section 902 requires federal purchases of biobased products, biofuels development, and biodiesel education. It also provides renewable energy loans (up to \$10 million) and grants (up to \$200,000). Section 903 extends the Biomass R&D Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-224) through FY2006 and mandates a \$15 million per year appropriation for each year from FY2002 through FY2006. Section 904 provides technical and financial assistance, loans, and loan guarantees for renewable energy development by rural electric cooperatives. Section 905 addresses measures to sequester carbon and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Section 906 directs the Department of Agriculture to promote renewable fuels production. Section 907 continues and expands the bioenergy program at the Dept. of Agriculture. House bill introduced July 26; referred to Committee on Agriculture. Reported (H.Rept. 107-191, Parts I, II, and III) August 2. Passed House October 5. Senate bill reported in lieu of S. 1628 on November 27, 2001.

Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry filed written report (S.Rept. 107-117) on December 7. Amended, incorporated into H.R. 2646 as an amendment in the nature of a substitute, and passed Senate, February 13, 2002. Conference report (H.R. 107-424) issued May 2. Signed into law May 13, 2002.

H.R. 4 (House Version)

Securing America's Future Energy (SAFE) Act of 2001. Incorporates certain renewable energy provisions from H.R. 2436, H.R. 2460, H.R. 2511, and H.R. 2587. It provides for biomass on federal lands and geothermal leasing; authorizes R&D funding; creates tax credits for residential solar and alternative fuel vehicles, and renewable power production; and for hydropower development and licensing. Introduced July 27, 2001; referred to Committee on Energy and Commerce, and to the Committees on Science, Ways and Means, Resources, Education and the Workforce, Transportation and Infrastructure, the Budget, and Financial Services. Passed House, amended, August 2.

H.R. 4 (Senate Version)

Energy Policy Act. There are several renewable energy provisions in this bill. S. 1766 was replaced by S. 517 which, in turn, was incorporated into the Senate version of H.R. 4 as an amendment in the nature of a substitute and passed the Senate April 25, 2002.

S. 1766 (Daschle-Bingaman)

Energy Policy Act of 2002. There are many provisions for renewables throughout the bill. The provisions of S. 1766 were incorporated in S. Amdt. 2917, as proposed for consideration in the Senate. S. Amdt. 2917 was amended on the floor and agreed to as a substitute amendment to S. 517, formerly the National Laboratories Partnership Improvement Act. S. 517, the Energy Policy Act, as amended, was subsequently incorporated in H.R. 4 and H.R. 4 passed the Senate in lieu of S. 517.

S. 1979 (Baucus)

Energy Tax Incentives Act of 2001. Expands and extends the renewable energy production tax credit. Reported (S.Rept. 107-140) March 1, 2002. S. Amdt 3286 incorporated this bill into S. 517 (S. Amdt 2917) April 23, 2002.

S. 2784 (Reid)

Energy and Water Development Appropriations Bill, FY2003. Makes appropriations for DOE's Renewable Energy Program. Reported (S.Rept. 107-220) July 24, 2002. Assigned calendar number 514.

CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS, REPORTS, AND DOCUMENTS

U.S. Congress. Senate. Committee on Appropriations. Subcommittee on Energy and Water. DOE FY2003 Budget Request for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. Hearing held April 18, 2002.

U.S. Congress. House. Committee on Appropriations. Subcommittee on Energy and Water. DOE FY2003 Renewable Energy Budget Request. Hearing held March 13, 2002.

U.S. Congress. House. Committee on Small Business. Subcommittee on Rural Enterprises, Agriculture, and Technology. Renewable Fuels. Hearing held July 24, 2001. [<http://www.house.gov/smbiz/hearings/107th/2001/010724a/index.html>]

U.S. Congress. Senate. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. S. 1006, Renewable Fuels for Energy Security Act of 2001. Field hearing held July 6, 2001. [<http://www.senate.gov/~energy/>]

U.S. Congress. House. Committee on Energy and Commerce. Subcommittee on Energy and Air Quality. The National Energy Policy Report of the National Energy Policy Development Group. Hearing held June 13, 2001. [<http://energycommerce.house.gov/107/hearings/06132001Hearing271/hearing.htm>]

U.S. Congress. House. Committee on Science. The Nation's Energy Future: Role of Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency. Hearing held February 28, 2001.

CRS Reports

CRS Memorandum. *Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS)*, by Fred Sissine.

CRS Report RL31044 . *Renewable energy legislation in the 107th Congress*, by Fred Sissine.

CRS Report RL31033. *Energy efficiency and renewable energy fuel equivalents to potential oil production from the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR)*, by Fred Sissine.

CRS Report RS20270 . *Renewable energy and electricity restructuring*, by Fred Sissine.

CRS Electronic Briefing Book. Electric utility restructuring and reliability, by Amy Abel. [<http://www.congress.gov/brbk/html/ebele1.shtml>]

CRS Issue Brief IB10054. *Energy tax policy*, by Salvatore Lazzari.

CRS Report RL30953. *Energy tax incentives: a comparison of the National Energy Security Act of 2001 (S. 389) and the Democratic Alternative (S. 596)*, by Salvatore Lazzari.

CRS Report RL30369. *Fuel ethanol: background and public policy issues*, by Brent Yacobucci.

FOR ADDITIONAL READING

Tables showing DOE Renewable Energy R&D Funding (current and constant) trends back to FY1974 are available from the author of this issue brief.

Cato Institute. Policy Analysis. *Evaluating the case for renewable energy: is government support warranted?* January 10, 2002. 16 p.

Edison Electric Institute. Various articles on renewable energy and distributed power. Electric Perspectives Online.

Electric Power Research Institute. Various articles on renewable energy technologies. EPRI Journal Online.[<http://www.epri.com/journal/default.asp>].

——— *Renewable power industry status overview*. EPRI December 1998. 1 vol. (EPRI TR-111893).

——— *Renewable energy technology characterizations*. Dec. 1997. 266 p.

——— *Utility customers go for the green*. EPRI Journal, v. 22, March/April 1997: 6-15.

Holt, Edward A. *Disclosure and certification: truth and labeling for electric power*. Renewable Energy Policy Project. January 1997. 12 p.

Loiter, Jeffrey M. and Norberg-Bohm, Vicki. *Technology policy and renewable energy: public roles in the development of new energy technologies*. Energy Policy, v. 27, 1999. p. 85-97.

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. International Energy Agency (IEA). *Renewable energy policy in IEA countries*. OECD/IEA, Paris, 1998. 253 p.

——— *Benign energy? The environmental implications of renewables*. 1998. 122 p.

U.S. Department of Interior. Bureau of Land Management. *Assessing the potential for renewable energy on federal lands*. Draft, May 2002. [<http://www.blm.gov/nhp/spotlight/energy/>]

U.S. Department of Energy. Interlaboratory Working Group. *Scenarios for a Clean Energy Future*. (ORNL/CON-476) November 2000. 350 p. [http://www.ornl.gov/ORNL/Energy_Eff/CEF.htm]

——— Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. *Making connections: case studies of interconnection barriers and their impacts on distributed power projects*. June 2000. [<http://www.eren.doe.gov/distributedpower/barriersreport/>]

——— Energy Information Administration. *Federal financial interventions and subsidies in energy markets 1999: primary energy*. (SR/OIAF/99-03). September 1999.

——— Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and National Renewable Energy Laboratory. *Forecasting the growth of green power markets in the United States*. October 2001.

——— Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and National Renewable Energy Laboratory. *Green power marketing in retail competition: an early assessment*. May 1999.

—— National Renewable Energy Laboratory. *The Clean Air Act and renewable energy: opportunities, barriers, and options*. (NREL/CP-620-29654). February 2001.

—— *Enhancing homeland security through renewable energy – Richard Truly’s remarks to the National Press Club*. March 14, 2002. 7 p.
[<http://www.nrel.gov/news/news.html>]

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Climate action report: The United States of America's third national communication under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. June 2002. 260 p.
[<http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming/publications/car/>]

U.S. Executive Office of the President. *Federal energy research and development for the challenges of the twenty-first century*. November 5, 1997. 200 p.

U.S. Executive Office of the President. *Powerful partnerships: the federal role in international cooperation on energy innovation*. June 1999. 260 p.

U.S. Executive Office of the President. *The President’s Management Agenda, Fiscal Year 2002*. August 2001. 64 p.

U.S. Executive Office of the President. *National Energy Policy Report*. May 2001. 170 p.
[<http://www.whitehouse.gov/energy/National-Energy-Policy.pdf>]

U.S. General Accounting Office. *Renewable energy: DOE’s funding and markets for wind energy and solar cell technologies*. (GAO/RCED-99-130) May 1999. 38 p.
[<http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/multidb.cgi>]

—— *Solar and renewable resources technologies program*. (GAO/RCED-97-188). July 1997. 69 p.

U.S. Office of Technology Assessment. *Renewing our energy future*. OTA-ETI-614. September 1995. 269 p.

Wiser, Ryan et al. *Renewable energy policy and electricity restructuring: a California case study*. *Energy Policy*, v. 26, 1998. p. 465-475.

Web Sites

American Solar Energy Society. [<http://www.ases.org/index.html>]

American Wind Energy Association (AWEA). [<http://www.awea.org/>]

California Energy Commission. [<http://www.energy.ca.gov/renewables/index.html>]

Center for Renewable Energy and Sustainable Technology (CREST). [<http://solstice.crest.org/index.shtml>]

International Solar Energy Society (ISES). [<http://www.electricnet.com/orgs/intsolar.htm>]

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. [<http://www.naruc.org/>]

National Association of State Energy Offices. [<http://www.naseo.org/>]

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). International Energy Agency. Renewable Energy Newsletter. [<http://www.caddet-re.org>]

The Hague Climate Change Conference (COP-6, Part 1). November 2000.
[<http://cop6.unfccc.int/>]

The Bonn Climate Change Conference (COP-6, Part 2). July 2001.
[http://www.unfccc.de/cop6_2/]

U.S. Department of Energy. Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Network.
[<http://www.eren.doe.gov/>]

U.S. Department of Energy. Green Power Network Clearinghouse.
[<http://www.eren.doe.gov/greenpower/home.shtml>]

U.S. Department of Energy. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL).
[<http://www.nrel.gov/>]

U.S. Department of Energy. Alternative Fuels Data Center. [<http://www.afdc.nrel.gov/>]

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Solar Site. [<http://www.epa.gov/solar/>]

Table 3. DOE Renewable Energy Budget for FY2001-FY2003
(\$ millions)

OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY	FY2001 Apprn.	FY2002 Apprn.	FY2003 Request	FY2003 H.Sub.C.	FY2003 S.Appn.C.	House- Senate	Pct. Diff.
Biofuels - Total	87.0	93.0	86.0	-----	100.0	-----	-----
Biofuels/Utility Power	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Biofuels/Transportation	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Geothermal	27.0	29.0	26.5	-----	37.0	-----	-----
Hydrogen	27.0	31.0	39.9	-----	45.0	-----	-----
Small Hydro	5.0	5.3	7.5	-----	7.5	-----	-----
Solar Energy	93.5	95.0	87.6	-----	95.0	-----	-----
Concentrating Solar Power	-----	-----	1.9	-----	6.0	-----	-----
Photovoltaics	-----	-----	73.7	-----	77.0	-----	-----
Solar Buildings	-----	-----	12.0	-----	12.0	-----	-----
Wind	40.0	41.0	44.0	-----	50.0	-----	-----
TECHNOLOGIES SUBTOTAL	279.5	294.3	291.5	-----	334.5	-----	-----
Electric/Storage	52.0	63.0	70.4	-----	75.0	-----	-----
Superconductivity	-----	-----	47.8	-----	50.0	-----	-----
Distributed Energy	-----	-----	22.6	-----	25.0	-----	-----
Renewable Support & Implementation	21.6	14.5	23.9	-----	29.9	-----	-----
Dept. Energy Management	2.0	1.5	3.0	-----	3.0	-----	-----
International Renewables	5.0	3.0	6.5	-----	6.5	-----	-----
Production Incentive	4.0	4.0	4.0	-----	5.0	-----	-----
Renew. Amer. Indian Res.	6.6	3.0	8.3	-----	9.3	-----	-----
Program Support	4.0	3.0	2.1	-----	6.1	-----	-----
NREL (incl. construction)	4.0	5.0	5.0	-----	6.8	-----	-----
Program Direction	18.7	19.2	16.2	-----	16.9	-----	-----
RENEWABLES, Subtotal	375.7	396.0	407.0	396.0	463.1	67.1	17%
Prior Year Balances	-----	-----	-----	-----	-15.0	15.0	-----
RENEWABLES, Total	375.7	396.0	407.0	396.0	448.1	52.1	13%

Source: DOE FY2003 Cong. Budget Request, v. 3; Feb. 2002; House Appropriations Committee Revisions, March 18, 2002; S.Rept. 107-220.