Issue Brief for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

The Budget for Fiscal Year 2003

Updated June 24, 2002

Philip D. Winters Government and Finance Division

CONTENTS

SUMMARY

MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Budget Totals
Budget Proposals and Estimates
Uncertainty in Budget Projections

Budget Action

Outlays

Receipts

Surpluses Or Deficits

The Budget and the Economy

LEGISLATION

CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS, REPORTS, AND DOCUMENTS

FOR ADDITIONAL READING CRS Products

The Budget for Fiscal Year 2002

SUMMARY

The President released his budget proposals for fiscal year (FY) 2003 on February 4, 2002, shortly after the release of the Congressional Budget Office's (CBO) annual budget report (January 31, 2002). The baseline estimates for FY2003 in these reports show a small surplus (\$41 billion) from the Administration and a small deficit (\$14 billion) from CBO. The President's proposals result in an \$80 billion deficit.

If deficits materialize in both FY2002 or FY2003, they would be the first since FY1997. The weak economy, the government's budgetary response to the terrorist attacks of last fall, the tax cut (P.L. 107-16, the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001) adopted in June 2001, and changes in the technical components of budget models reduced or eliminated the surpluses that were expected for this year in last year's budget documents.

The President's budget proposed tax cuts and spending increases to stimulate the economy, rapid increases in defense and homeland security spending, and a selection of other spending increases and decreases in discretionary spending. The stimulus proposal would cost the government \$77 billion in higher spending or reduced revenue in FY2003. The proposed increases for defense and homeland security would increase spending by \$31 billion. All the other Administration proposals combined total another \$23 billion in spending increases or tax cuts.

In early March 2002, CBO released updated baselines and estimates of the President's budget using CBO's economic and technical estimating assumptions. Somewhat better than expected economic conditions and small technical changes result in a surplus of

\$6 billion for FY2003, assuming no policy changes. The CBO estimates of the Administration's proposals produce a deficit of \$121 billion for the year.

A part of the Administration's proposal, the economic stimulus, was superseded by legislation adopted by Congress on March 7, 2002 (The Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002; P.L. 107-147), that would increase the deficit (or reduce the surplus) by \$43 billion in FY2003.

The House Budget Committee (HBC) adopted its version of the budget resolution for FY2003 on March 13, 2003. The House passed the resolution on March 20. The resolution would result in a projected deficit of \$46 billion for the year. Like the President's budget, much of the focus is on waging the war on terrorism and on homeland defense. The resolution provides room for \$28 billion in tax cuts over 5 years and assumes that any change in the sunset dates under the June 2001 tax cut would be paid for.

The Senate Budget Committee reported its version of the FY2003 budget resolution (S.Con.Res. 100) on March 22. Its provisions for defense and homeland security are similar to (but not the same as) those in the House resolution and the President's budget. The Senate has yet to consider the Senate Budget Committee's resolution.

The differences between the congressional proposals plus the close partisan split within the House and Senate has led to speculation that a budget agreement may be very difficult to reach this year. The disagreements have delayed consideration of FY2003 appropriations.



MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

The House passed its version of the concurrent resolution (H.Con.Res. 353; H.Rept. 107-376) on the budget for fiscal year (FY) 2003 on March 20, 2002. The Senate Budget Committee reported its version of the FY2003 budget resolution (S.Con.Res. 100; S.Rept. 107-141) on March 22. Since then, disagreements over the level of discretionary spending, additional tax cuts, and other policy disputes have bogged down the adoption of the budget resolution and, therefore, consideration of appropriations and other legislation for FY2003.

Earlier, the President had presented his FY2003 budget on February 4, 2002 (prepared by the Office of Management and Budget – OMB). It proposed a deficit of \$80 billion, \$2,128 billion in outlays, and \$2,048 billion in receipts.

The recession, the government's response to the terrorist attacks in the fall of 2001, and the tax cut adopted in June 2001, all contributed to the substantial deterioration in the short-and long-term budget outlook since the budget reports from CBO and OMB in August 2001.

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Presidents generally submit their budget proposals for the upcoming fiscal year early in each calendar year. The Bush Administration presented its FY2003 budget documents on February 4, 2002. The budget documents contained extensive and detailed budget related information, including estimates of the budget without the proposed policy changes (current service baseline estimates), historical budget data, detailed outlay and receipt data, selected analysis of specific budget related topics, and the Administration's economic forecast. These detailed budget documents are an annual basic reference source for federal budget information in addition to their use as a transmitter of the Administration's policy proposals.

The Administration's annual budget submission is followed by congressional action on the budget. This usually includes the annual budget resolution, appropriations, and, possibly, a reconciliation bill or bills. During the months of deliberation on budget related legislation, the Administration often modifies its proposals, not only because of interactions with Congress, but because of changing circumstances in the economy and the world.

Budget Totals

The annual budget cycle provides the President and Congress with the opportunity to set policy for the upcoming fiscal year and to partially determine policy in subsequent years. The decisions made for this year can and often do have repercussions for years into the future. Last year's tax cut (the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 – EGTRRA; P.L. 107-16; June 7, 2001) will change federal revenues in each year through 2010, when most of its provisions are scheduled to sunset. Although they are provided each year in appropriations bills, changes in the level of discretionary spending this year can influence future levels of discretionary spending.

Table 1 contains budget estimates and proposals for FY2002 and FY2003 from the CBO, the Administration (OMB), and, as they become available, budget proposals and estimates from Congress. Differences in totals occur because of differing underlying economic, technical, and budget-estimating assumptions and techniques as well as differences in policy proposals. Most *policy* differences between the Administration and various congressional proposals for the upcoming fiscal year are often relatively small in dollars compared to the budget as a whole. These often small changes, reflecting differing policy choices, may have large implications for the shape and content of the budget over extended time periods. As the budget works its way through Congress, budget totals may be expected to change from the amounts originally proposed.

Table 1. Budget Proposals and Estimates for FY2003 (and FY2002)

(in billions of dollars)

| · · | Receipts | Outlays | Deficit(-)/ Surplus |
|---|----------|---------|------------------------|
| Actual for FY2001 | 1,991 | 1,864 | 127 |
| CBO Budget Outlook for FY2002 1/31/02 | 1,983 | 2,003 | -21 |
| President's Budget for FY2002 2/4/02 | 1,946 | 2,052 | -106 |
| President's Budget for FY2002 baseline 2/4/02 | 2,011 | 2,020 | -9 |
| CBO revised baseline for FY2002 3/6/02 | 2,006 | 2,001 | 5 |
| CBO estimate of President's Budget for FY2002 3/6/02 | 1,942 | 2,033 | -90 |
| House budget resolution for FY2002 313/02 | 1,968 | 2,033 | -66 |
| CBO Budget Outlook for FY2003 1/31/02 | 2,070 | 2,085 | -14 |
| President's Budget for FY2003 2/4/02 | 2,048 | 2,128 | -80 |
| President's Budget for FY2003 baseline 2/4/02 | 2,121 | 2,070 | 51 |
| CBO revised baseline for FY2003 ^a 3/6/02 | 2,086 | 2,080 | 6 |
| CBO estimate of President's Budget for FY2003 3/6/02 | 2,013 | 2,134 | -121 |
| House budget resolution for FY2003 3/20/02 | 2,077 | 2,122 | -46 |
| Senate Budget Committee for FY2003 3/22/02 | 2,046 | 2,139 | -92 |

^a These numbers exclude the effects of the economic stimulus law (P.L. 107-147) enacted on March 9, 2001

Budget Proposals and Estimates

Budget proposals and estimates depend on underlying assumptions about the economy, technical components and relationships within the budget estimating models, and assumptions about current and future policies used in the budget proposals and baseline estimates. This year, possibly more so than in other recent years, both the expected underlying economic conditions and the policy choices under consideration appear less settled among the OMB, CBO, and congressional proposals and estimates than usual.

CBO's initial budget report for FY2003, the *Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2003-2012* (January 2002), contained baseline estimates and projections for FY2002 through FY2012.¹ CBO estimated that without any changes from current policy, the FY2003

¹ Baseline estimates provide a foundation from which to measure proposed policy changes. They extrapolate current policies into the future based on expectations of the future economy and other (continued...)

budget would have \$2,070 billion in revenues, \$2,085 billion in outlays, with a (rounded) deficit of \$14 billion. Over the 10-year forecast period (FY2003 - FY2012) CBO's projections produce a cumulative surplus of \$2,263 billion. Of that amount, \$1,078 billion is generated in the last two years of the projection period when the 2001 tax cuts fully sunset as required by current law.² The 5-year (FY2003 - FY2007) cumulative surplus, reflecting the deficits and relatively small surpluses expected over this period, is \$437 billion.

President Bush's FY2003 budget proposes, including policy changes, receipts of \$2,048 billion, outlays of \$2,128 billion, with a resulting deficit of \$80 billion. The Administration's proposals produce a 10-year total cumulative surplus of \$1.0 trillion. Its 5-year cumulative surplus is \$157 billion. (The President's budget provided most data for the 5-year period, FY2003 through FY2007; the budget provided very little data for either the individual years beyond FY2007 or cumulatively for the 10-year period, FY2003 through FY2012.)

The Administration's current services baseline estimates (the Administration's estimate of what the budget numbers would be without policy changes) show receipts of \$2,121 billion, outlays of \$2,080 billion, with a resulting surplus of \$41 billion.³ The differences between these baseline numbers and the proposed amounts measure the cost, in FY2003, of the Administration's proposals. The proposals increase outlays by \$58 billion, reduce receipts by \$73 billion, and move the current services baseline from a \$41 billion surplus to an \$80 billion deficit. Over the FY2003 through FY2007 period, the time period covered by the Administration's baseline estimates, the baseline estimates show a cumulative surplus of \$668 billion, meaning that the Administration's proposals reduce the cumulative baseline surplus by \$511 billion over the 5 years.

CBO's estimate of the Administration's proposals (*An Analysis of the President's Budgetary Proposals for Fiscal Year 2003*, March 2002), using CBO's economic and technical assumptions, raises the estimated deficit for FY2003 (from the Administration's proposed \$80 billion) to \$121 billion. CBO's reestimates reduce revenues by \$35 billion and increase outlays by \$6 billion from the Administration's numbers, producing the \$41 billion difference in the deficit estimate.

The report also included updated CBO baseline estimates that made relatively small changes in the estimates for FY2003. The updated numbers show a surplus of \$6 billion for

factors that affect the budget formulated under fairly explicit rules. They are not meant to predict future budget outcomes.

¹ (...continued)

² CBO estimated that extending the expiring provisions immediately would reduce cumulative revenues over the 10year period by \$735 billion. The implication is that the cumulative surplus over the 10-years would be reduced by at least as much and probably by more if higher interest costs are included.

³ The Administration also produced a variant of the standard baseline. The alternative assumed that the increased (mostly) emergency spending in FY2002 flowing from the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks was a one-time event and would not be repeated. Making this assumption increases the baseline surplus to \$51 billion in FY2003. The Administration measured its policy against this altered baseline. This report uses the standard baseline.

FY2003, instead of the \$14 billion deficit estimated in January. Most of the change occurred because of higher expected revenues (\$15 billion) and slightly smaller expected outlays (\$5 billion). Expectations of improved economic conditions produced most of the improvement in the budget outlook. Over the 10-year CBO forecast period, the changes increased the cumulative surplus from \$2,263 billion to \$2,380 billion, a 5% increase over the January cumulative surplus estimate. The updated baseline estimates increased the cumulative 5-year (FY2003-FY2007) surplus from \$437 billion to \$489 billion, a 12% increase. (Unofficial early summer 2002 estimates, based on budget data for FY2002, indicate a further deterioration in the budget outlook, implying larger than previously expected deficits for the year.)

The House passed budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 353; March 20, 2002) followed, in general, the policy lead of the President's budget. Using the same underlying budget assumptions as the Administration, the resolution has revenues of \$2,077 billion, outlays of \$2,123 billion, with a deficit of \$46 billion. The resolution, like the President's budget, contained estimates and projections for 5 years, through FY2007. The resolution expects the government to return to a small surplus in FY2004. Over the 5-year period, the resolution produces a cumulative surplus of \$231 billion.

The Senate Budget Committee reported its version of the FY2003 budget resolution (S.Con.Res. 100; S.Rept. 107-141) on March 22. Using CBO's underlying assumptions, the Senate Budget Committee resolution provides similar amounts of funding in FY2003 for defense and homeland security as the House passed resolution. Many other areas of the Senate Budget Committee proposal differed from those in the House resolution and what the President requested. These differences grow over the 10 years covered in the Committee's resolution.

The Administration, the House, and the Senate Budget Committee all agree on the general amounts that they want to spend on defense and homeland security and on most of the federal mandatory programs in FY2003. They disagree by varying degrees on most other policy issues, including how much to spend on defense and homeland security in future years and whether to make last year's tax cut permanent (most of the changes revert to previous law before or by the end of calendar year 2010). These policy disagreements, which can lead to substantial differences in the shape of federal programs over time, may make an overall budget agreement, represented in Congress by the annual congressional budget resolution, difficult to accomplish.

Part of the annual budget debate's intensity results from the awareness that the decisions made for this year affect, in some cases substantially, the funding levels or policy choices available in future years.

⁴ CBO estimates that incorporating the effects of the economic stimulus package signed into law (P.L.107-147) on March 9, 2002, (and not included in CBO's revised baseline) produces a \$40 billion deficit in FY2003.

⁵ The \$20 billion improvement in the budget balance represents only 1% of total receipts or outlays for the year. Relatively small changes in the underlying factors supporting the budget estimates can easily change receipts or outlays by larger amounts than this without any change in policy.

Uncertainty in Budget Projections

All budget estimates and projections are inherently uncertain. Their dependence on assumptions that are themselves subject to substantial uncertainty and variation makes budget estimates and projections susceptible to fairly rapid and dramatic changes. Nonetheless, budget estimates can help differentiate alternative budget proposals and the effects and approximate magnitudes of various policy proposals even if the estimates eventually do not match the actual outcomes.

The uncertainty of budget estimates was visibly apparent during the last year. The baseline estimates for the current fiscal year, 2002, that were produced early in 2001 projected surpluses of between \$283 billion (OMB) and \$313 billion (CBO). The Administration's 2001 proposals for FY2002, a combination of tax cuts and spending increases, would have reduced the surplus to an estimated \$231 billion. Current baseline estimates (February and March 2002) for FY2002 show either a surplus of \$5 billion (CBO) or a deficit of \$9 billion (OMB). The large baseline surpluses expected early last year evaporated in a weak economy, the June 2001 tax cut, the spending increases in response to the terrorist attacks of September 2001, and changes in the technical components and relationships underlying the budget estimates.

The unavoidable inaccuracy of budget projections is also obvious over longer periods of time. As CBO stated in its January 2002 budget report,

Uncertainty compounds as the projection horizon lengthens. Even small annual differences in the many key factors that influence the budget projections – factors such as inflation, increases in productivity, economic growth, the distribution of income, and growth rates from Medicare and Medicaid spending – can add to substantial differences in the budget outcome 10 years from now.⁶

One can obtain a sense of longer-range uncertainty by comparing projections for FY2001 made in 1996 with the actual amounts for FY2001. The President's budget for FY1997 (March 1996) included projections through FY2002. CBO's *Economic and Budget Outlook: Fiscal Years 1997-2006* (May 1996) contained 10-year projections. The Administration projected a current services baseline deficit of \$131 billion. The President's budget proposed eliminating the expected deficit and producing a FY2001 surplus of \$8 billion through proposed policy changes. CBO projected a baseline deficit of \$259 billion for FY2001.⁷ The actual result for FY2001 was a surplus of \$127 billion. The large turnaround in the budget balance in 5 years as well as the change in budget estimates that occurred over the last year should serve as a caution when considering long-term policy changes.

Budget projections are dependent on underlying assumptions about the direction of the economy, future government policy, and technical assumptions of the budget models, and how these interact. Any deviation from expected underlying assumptions, such as faster or

⁶ CBO, The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2003-2012, Jan. 2002, pp. 5-6.

⁷ The CBO deficit estimate assumed that discretionary spending would grow at the rate of inflation, as then estimated, after FY1998.

slower economic growth, higher or lower inflation, or changes in assumed spending and tax policy can have substantial effects on the budget projections.

Budget Action

Congress considered and passed an economic stimulus bill in early March 2002. The legislation, the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-147; March 9, 2002) will increase FY2003's expected deficit or reduce the surplus by an estimated \$43 billion (plus another \$3 billion in higher interest costs). The legislation, passed as an economic stimulus package, extends unemployment benefits, reduces selected business taxes, extends selected expiring tax provisions, and makes miscellaneous technical corrections to the tax code.

The HBC approved its version of the concurrent resolution (H.Con.Res. 353) on the budget for FY2003 on March 13, 2001. The resolution uses a modified version of OMB's economic and technical assumptions rather than CBO's. Like the President's budget this year, the resolution extended its projections 5 years into the future rather than the 10 years that had been used over the last several years.

The resolution includes a \$45 billion deficit for FY2003 that closely matches the estimated cost of the economic stimulus bill adopted days earlier. It includes almost \$28 billion in unspecified tax cuts over 5 years (with upper limits for the size of the cuts for each year), a \$46 billion year-over-year increase in budget authority for defense, close to a doubling of funding for homeland security between FY2002 and FY2003, and very small increases (overall) for remaining discretionary spending. The resolution was adopted in committee on a party-line vote. The House adopted the resolution on March 20.

The Senate Budget Committee adopted its version of the budget resolution (S.Con.Res. 100) on March 22. The Committee's resolution differed substantially in policy choices, in areas other than defense and homeland security, from the one adopted by the House. Although many of the differences are relatively small in FY2003, they become more significant over the years covered by the two resolutions. The Senate Budget Committee's resolution extends through FY2012. The Senate, as of June 24, 2002, has not considered the Committee's resolution.

The delay in adopting a budget resolution for the year has delayed consideration of the appropriations bills and other budget resolution for the new fiscal year.

Outlays

The President's budget proposed total outlays of \$2.138 trillion for FY2003, \$76 billion over the Administration's proposed FY2002 level.⁸ The year-to-year change is composed

⁸ The Administration proposed a \$32 billion increase in FY2002 outlays above baseline levels, most of which was for its proposed "bipartisan economic security plan." The FY2002 estimate also did (continued...)

of proposed policy changes, approximately \$26 billion in the President's proposal, and relatively automatic growth in outlays in mandatory programs resulting from inflation adjustments and demand growth. CBO's estimates of the President's budget puts the year-to-year increase in outlays at \$101 billion. Of that amount, CBO estimates that \$22 billion results from proposed policy changes with the rest coming from inflation adjustment and demand growth. Outlays in the Administration's baseline estimates (excluding the effects of policy change) increase by \$50 billion from FY2002 to FY2003.

The Administration's proposals would raise FY2003 outlays by \$58 billion over the same year's baseline estimate, measuring the effect of its policy proposals on outlays for the year. The proposals include an increase in defense spending of \$21 billion, proposed farm support legislation would increase outlays by another \$7 billion, and the proposed "bipartisan economic security plan" would add \$8 billion to the overall increase. The remaining proposed policy increases were scattered throughout other categories of spending.

Over the 5 years covered in detail in the President's budget (FY2003-FY2007), total outlays would rise from \$2,052 billion in FY2002 to \$2,128 billion in FY2003 to \$2,468 billion in FY2007. The average annual rate of growth over the FY2003 through FY2007 period is 3.8% a year, almost the exact rate as the previous 5-year period (FY1997-FY2002). Over the 5 years, the Administration proposes cumulative outlays of \$11,431 billion. (Over 10 years, FY2003-FY2012, shown in a few tables, the Administration proposes cumulative outlays of \$25,478 billion.)

Table 2. Outlays for FY2001-2007

(in billions of dollars)

| (in official of donars) | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 | FY2005 | FY2006 | FY2007 |
| CBO Outlook 1/31/02 | \$1,864 a | \$2,003 | \$2,085 | \$2,152 | \$2,238 | \$2,319 | \$2,402 |
| President's Budget 2/4/02 | | 2,052 | 2,128 | 2,189 | 2,277 | 2,369 | 2,468 |
| OMB Baseline 2/4/02 | | 2,020 | 2,080 | 2,142 | 2,218 | 2,289 | 2,366 |
| CBO Revised Baseline ^b 3/6/02 | | 2,001 | 2,080 | 2,148 | 2,231 | 2,312 | 2,394 |
| CBO Estimate of Pres.'s Budget 3/ | /6/02 | 2,033 | 2,134 | 2,201 | 2,291 | 2,394 | 2,493 |
| House Budget Resolution 3/13/02 | | 2,033 | 2,123 | 2,192 | 2,289 | 2,383 | 2,479 |
| SBC Budget Resolution 3/22/02 | | | 2,139 | 2,207 | 2,313 | 2,403 | 2,496 |

SBC = Senate Budget Committee

CBO's estimates of the Administration's proposals increase FY2003 total outlays by \$6 billion. CBO's 5-year cumulative estimate of the President's policy proposals differs by only \$81 billion, of which \$44 billion results from higher net interest payments. Over the

a. Actual outlays for FY2001.

b. These numbers exclude the effects of the economic stimulus law (P.L. 107-147) enacted on March 9, 2001

⁸ (...continued) not include any outlays that might flow from the adoption of the Administration's \$27 billion (in budget authority) supplemental spending request sent to Congress on March 21, 2002.

⁹ CBO's larger deficits and smaller surpluses in its estimates of the President's budget policies slow the reduction in federal debt held by the public compared to the level in the Administration's budget. (continued...)

longer 10-year period, CBO's estimates increase cumulative outlays above the President's budget by \$296 billion, slightly over a 1% increase. Most of the annual differences between the OMB and CBO estimates of the President's outlay proposals are also relatively small compared to total outlays in those years.

The outlays proposed in the House passed budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 353) are similar to the ones contained in the President's budget. The House Budget Committee, in producing the resolution, used the Administration's underlying assumptions and followed many of the policy proposals, ensuring a close similarity between the two proposals. The HBC report (H.Rept. 107-376) compares the budget resolution to the President's proposals (see pages 74-75 in the report). Total outlays in the budget resolution are \$5 billion smaller than the President's proposed total outlays for FY2003, but larger in each subsequent year. Over the 5 years covered by the two proposals, cumulative outlays in the House budget resolution are \$35 billion larger than the President's proposed cumulative outlays, with both increases and decreases to components of the budget – compared to the President's proposals – scattered throughout the budget.

The Senate Budget Committee's budget resolution proposal used CBO's underlying assumptions, in contrast to the House's use of OMB assumptions. This difference by itself would assure somewhat different numbers in the two budget resolutions even if they contained the same policy assumptions (which they do not). The Senate Budget Committee's budget resolution follows the policies of the House and Administration outlay levels for defense and homeland security in FY2003 and FY2004, and in general the spending levels for mandatory programs, although the proposed policies for mandatory programs differ. Spending for non-defense, non-homeland security discretionary spending in the Senate Budget Committee budget resolution differs from the allocations found in the House passed budget resolution and the amounts contained in the President's budget. Many of these differences are relatively small in FY2003 but grow over time.

The House passed and the Senate Budget Committee reported budget resolutions, as well as the President's budget, would all provide a large boost in defense outlays from FY2002 to FY2003 of approximately 9%, using each proposal's own numbers. Between FY2003 and FY2007 (the last year shown in the House and presidential budget proposals) the President's budget and the House budget resolution show defense outlays growing by almost 4% annually. The Senate Budget Committee passed budget resolution has defense outlays growing annually by 2% during these years.

Non-defense discretionary spending also gets a larger boost between FY2002 and FY2003 than in subsequent years in the three proposals. The President's budget shows these outlays growing by 4.5%, the House budget resolution by 5.0%, and the Senate Budget Committee budget resolution by 8.2% between FY2002 and FY2003. The average rate of growth for non-defense discretionary spending in subsequent years in all three proposals is less than 2%, a rate that will not maintain spending for these programs against inflation or population growth. (By comparison, the CBO March baseline estimates of non-defense

⁹ (...continued)

The larger debt held by the public in the CBO estimate raises the amount of net interest that the government must pay.

discretionary spending shows them growing by 2.7% annually in subsequent years, a rate designed to adjust spending for inflation.)

Receipts

The President's budget shows that receipts will increase by \$102 billion from FY2002 to FY2003, reflecting the Administration's proposed tax reductions in both FY2002 and FY2003. Without the Administration's proposed tax reduction of \$65 billion in FY2002 and \$73 billion in FY2003, receipts would increase by \$110 billion between the two years (see **Table 3**). CBO's estimates of the President's proposals put the year-to-year increase at \$71 billion.

The President's budget also proposes making much of the tax cut adopted last year, the EGTRRA, permanent, along with extending a number of tax provisions scheduled to expire during the next 10 years. Under current law, most provisions of last year's tax cut would expire at the end of calendar year 2010, although some expire sooner. Making the tax cuts permanent would have little effect in FY2003. The Administration estimates that its EGTRRA proposals would reduce revenues by \$7 billion between FY2003 and FY2007 and by \$343 billion between FY2003 and FY2012. CBO and the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) estimate that extending the provisions expiring in 2010 would reduce revenue by \$9 billion between FY2003 and FY2007 and by \$374 billion between FY2003 and FY2012 (most of the revenue reduction, \$356 billion, occurs in the last two years). The Administration also proposed extending the research and experimentation (R&E) tax credit, which would reduce revenues by an estimated \$14 billion to \$15 billion over the FY2003 to FY2007 period and by \$51 billion to \$54 billion over the FY2003 to FY2012 period. CBO and the JCT estimate that extending all the other expiring tax provisions expiring through FY2012 (including the R&E tax credit) would reduce revenues by an estimated \$78 billion between FY2003 and FY2007 and by \$205 billion between FY2003 and FY2012. 11

Table 3. Receipts for FY2001-2007

(in billions of dollars)

| (iii official of donars) | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 | FY2005 | FY2006 | FY2007 |
| CBO Outlook 1/31/02 | \$1,991 a | \$1,983 | \$2,070 | \$2,206 | \$2,342 | \$2,447 | \$2,568 |
| President's Budget for FY2003 | 2/4/02 | 1,946 | 2,048 | 2,175 | 2,338 | 2,455 | 2,571 |
| OMB Baseline 2/4/02 | | 2,011 | 2,121 | 2,234 | 2,366 | 2,461 | 2,581 |
| CBO Revised Baseline b 3/6/02 | | 2,006 | 2,086 | 2,209 | 2,342 | 2,448 | 2,569 |
| CBO Estimate of Pres.'s Budge | t 3/6/02 | 1,942 | 2,013 | 2,150 | 2,314 | 2,442 | 2,560 |
| House Budget Resolution 3/13/ | 02 | 1,968 | 2,077 | 2,200 | 2,356 | 2,472 | 2,593 |
| SBC Budget Resolution 3/22/02 | 2 | | 2,046 | 2,180 | 2,338 | 2,464 | 2,586 |

¹⁰ Making permanent the provisions of the 2001 tax cut expiring before 2010 produce estimated revenue reductions of \$36 billion between FY2002 and FY2007 and \$194 billion between FY2003 and FY2012.

The reduced revenues in thee various estimates increase deficits or reduce surpluses raising the federal debt above the level under current law. This increases the government's net interest payments over the period.

SBC = Senate Budget Committee.

- a. Actual receipts for FY2001.
- b. These numbers exclude the effects of the economic stimulus law (P.L. 107-147) enacted on March 9, 2001

The House passed budget resolution would increase receipts by \$110 billion between the two years, with both FY2002 and FY2003 showing higher revenues than the President's budget. The House resolution reflected the revenue effects of the adoption of the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 (JCWAA), which reduces receipts by, as estimated by Joint Committee on Taxation, \$43 billion in FY2002 and \$39 billion in FY2003. The resolution accommodates \$28 billion in unspecified tax reductions through FY2007. It also accepts, although with relatively little effect because of the assumed offsets in the years covered by the resolution, the Administration's proposals to remove EGTRRA's sunset provisions.

The Senate Budget Committee's reported budget resolution shows receipts increasing by \$83 billion between FY2002 to FY2003. Like the House resolution, the Senate Budget Committee resolution reflects the revenue effects of the adoption of the JCWAA. The Senate Budget Committee resolution assumes no changes to the existing sunset provisions of EGTRRA. The resolution assumes that any proposed revenue reductions be offset to avoid a net reduction in receipts.

Surpluses Or Deficits

Surpluses or deficits are the residuals left after Congress and the President determine the general level of spending and receipts. Reducing the deficit and eventually reaching a balanced budget or generating and keeping a surplus (the government had its first surplus in 30 years in FY1998) has been a major focus of the budget debate for over a decade. The original baseline projections from both OMB and CBO (in early 2002 for FY2003) showed modest deficits in the early years and modest, but growing, surpluses in the years through FY2007 or FY2012, depending on the forecast.

In general, when the government has surpluses, policies can be changed to use them to cut taxes or to raise spending. If policies are not changed, the surpluses will be used to reduce federal debt held by the public. The President's proposals and the House passed budget resolution for FY2003 would use the projected baseline surpluses to increase spending, cut taxes, and use the forecast small remaining surpluses in future years to reduce the debt held by the public. None of the proposals would be able to reserve Social Security's reported surplus for debt reduction, a goal striven for in the budget proposals last year, in any years covered by the proposals.

The events of 2001, reflected in revised budget estimates in early 2002 for FY2003, ended the expectations of large and growing surpluses between FY2002 and FY2012. The reappearance of deficits means that federal debt held by the public will increase, at least for several years, rather than decrease.

Table 4. Deficits(-)/Surpluses for FY2001-FY2007

(in billions of dollars)

| (in childing of dollars) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 | FY2005 | FY2006 | FY2007 |
| CBO Outlook 1/31/02 | \$127 a | -\$21 | -\$14 | \$54 | \$103 | \$128 | \$166 |
| President's Budget for FY2003 2/4 | 1/02 | -106 | -80 | -14 | 61 | 86 | 104 |
| OMB Baseline 2/4/02 | | -9 | 41 | 92 | 148 | 172 | 215 |
| CBO Revised Baseline ^b 3/6/02 | | 5 | 6 | 61 | 111 | 135 | 175 |
| CBO Estimate of Pres.'s Budget 3/ | 6/02 | -90 | -121 | -51 | 24 | 48 | 68 |
| House Budget Resolution 3/13/02 | | -66 | -46 | 8 | 67 | 89 | 113 |
| SBC Budget Resolution 3/22/02 | | | -92 | -27 | 26 | 60 | 90 |

SBC = Senate Budget Committee.

Surpluses in future years not used for increased spending or tax cuts and that do not disappear because of adverse external events (such as slower than expected economic growth) would be used by the Treasury, essentially automatically, to reduce federal debt held by the public. The Treasury can, and has over the last several years, taken a more active role in retiring debt held by the public by purchasing securities on the market and retiring some callable federal bonds. The Treasury also could retain the surplus cash generated by a surplus and build up government cash balances, but this would make little sense for the government or the economy and seems unlikely.

At the beginning of 2001, the prospect of very large *on-budget* (non-Social Security, non-USPS) surpluses continuing indefinitely, produced a general agreement among budget participants to reserve for debt reduction at least that portion of the total surplus attributed to the Social Security accounts. Some suggestions were made at the time to expand the reserved amount to include Medicare's surplus. The revised budget outlooks released by OMB and CBO in August 2001 ended the effort to expand the reserved amount and made more difficult the effort to reserve the Social Security surplus exclusively for debt reduction. The early recognition of the economic slowdown and the budgetary responses to the terrorist attacks of September 2001 ended any remaining efforts to retain the reported Social Security surplus (or even any surplus) for reductions in the debt held by the public. The budget reports for FY2003 in early 2002 revealed the change and the much less favorable budget balance expected through FY2012.

The Budget and the Economy

The budget and the economy affect each other. The relationship is an unequal one, with the economy influencing the budget with every economic twinge while even substantial budgetary changes in policy may disappear in the overall economy with little notice or consequence.

a. Actual surplus for FY2001.

b. These numbers exclude the effects of the economic stimulus law (P.L. 107-147) enacted on March 9, 2001

¹² The off-budget accounts include the Social Security and Postal Service Accounts. The surpluses or deficits of the Postal Service accounts are very small.

Until the release of the revised budget estimates in August 2001, the positive budget outlook in 2001 had been buoyed by the favorable economic conditions expected during the next several years. This would have continued the overall improvement in the budget situation since the early 1990s. Much of the budget improvement had come from strong and sustained economic growth. If those favorable economic conditions falter (as happened), so would an underpinning of the good budget fortunes of the previous few years. What good economic conditions give, bad economic conditions can take away. The unexpectedly lengthy economic sluggishness in 2000, the start of a recession in March 2001, (along with the budgetary responses to the September 2001 terrorist attacks and the June 2001 tax cut), have raised outlays, reduced receipts, and substantially changed the budget balance expectations and magnitude from what was forecast just a year ago.

CBO's budget report, *The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2003-2012* (January 2002) in its chapter on *The Uncertainties of Budget Projections*, indicated how significantly the budget can be altered by changes in economic conditions. The chapter contains optimistic and pessimistic alternative scenarios, along with its baseline projection (see **Table 5**). The optimistic scenario assumes that the relatively good underlying economic and other factors of the last few years would continue indefinitely. The pessimistic scenario assumes that the favorable conditions of the last few years have been an aberration and that the economy and other underlying factors revert to the conditions that prevailed previously.

The result of CBO's exercise is a wide range of possible budget outcomes. Under the optimistic scenario, the surpluses accumulate over the 10-year period (FY2003-2012) to almost \$6 trillion. Under the pessimistic scenario, a string of deficits appear, accumulating to almost \$2 trillion over the same 10 years. The two scenarios' budget balances diverge over the 10 years by \$8 trillion. Even in the upcoming fiscal year, the differences between the two scenarios is relatively wide, ranging from a surplus of \$61 billion in the optimistic scenario to a deficit of \$101 billion in the pessimistic scenario. ¹³

Table 5. CBO's Alternative Scenarios, Cumulative Surpluses/Deficits(-); FY2003-2007 and FY2003-2012

(in billions of dollars)

| (in clinions of dollars) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | FY2003-FY2007 | FY2003-FY2012 | | | | | |
| CBO Optimistic Scenario Total Surplus 1/31/02 | \$1,448 | \$5,926 | | | | | |
| CBO Baseline 1/31/02 | 416 | 2,243 | | | | | |
| CBO Pessimistic Scenario Total Surplus 1/31/02 | -732 | -1,979 | | | | | |

In addition to the alternative scenarios, CBO provides estimates of the effects on the budget of changes in selected economic assumptions underlying the budget estimates and projections (see appendix A in the *Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2003-2012*, January 2002). OMB provides similar measures in the President's budget (see chapter 1 in the Analytical Perspectives volume of the *Budget of the United States Government for*

¹³ The \$160 billion range in FY2003 approximates 8% of receipts or outlays in that year. Between January 2001 and January 2002, CBO's baseline estimate of receipts for FY2003 fell by 11.7% and its estimate of outlays rose by 5.1%. Between August 2001 and January 2002, CBO's estimate of receipts for FY2003 fell by 5.7% and outlays rose by 3.0%.

FY2003). Both CBO and OMB estimate that a sustained reduction of 1% in the real rate of GDP growth beginning in early 2002, would reduce the surplus by approximately \$30 billion in FY2003 and by larger amounts in subsequent years. Estimates are provided in both reports for the effects on the budget of selected economic variables – real economic growth, inflation, unemployment, and interest rates. Larger changes in the underlying economic variables would produce larger changes in the budget numbers.

LEGISLATION

H.Con.Res. 353

The Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2003. Adopted by the House Budget Committee (H.Rept. 107-376) on March 15, 2001, on a party line vote after rejecting numerous amendments. It follows most of the proposals of the Administration. It was adopted by the House on March 20.

S.Con.Res. 100

The Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2003. Adopted by the Senate Budget Committee (H.Rept. 107-141) on March 22, 2001, on a party line vote. Its proposals for defense and homeland security were similar to those of the Administration, but differed in many other areas of the budget.

CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS, REPORTS, AND DOCUMENTS

- U.S. Congress. House. Committee on the Budget. *Concurrent Resolution on the Budget FY2003; Report to Accompany H.Con.Res. 353.* March 15, 2002 Washington, U.S. G.P.O., 2002. (107th Congress, 2nd session. H.Rept. 107-376).
- Senate. Committee on the Budget. *Concurrent Resolution on the Budget FY2003; Report to Accompany S. Con. Res. 100.* April 11, 2002 Washington, U.S. G.P.O.., 2002. (107th Congress, 2nd session. S.Rept. 107-141).

FOR ADDITIONAL READING

Also see the CRS Electronic Briefing Book on Taxation at: [http://www.congress.gov/brbk/html/ebtxr1.shtml]

- U.S. Congressional Budget Office. *An Analysis of the President's Budgetary Proposals for FY2003*. Washington, March, 2002.
- ——The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2003-2012. Washington, U.S. Govt. Print. Off., January 31, 2001.
- U.S. Office of Management and Budget. *The Budget of the United States Government for Fiscal Year 2002*. Washington, U.S. Govt. Print. Off., February 4, 2002.
- U.S. Council of Economic Advisors. *Economic Report of the President*. Washington, U.S. Govt. Print. Off., February 2002.

CRS Products

- CRS Report 95-543. *The Financial Outlook for Social Security and Medicare*, by David Koitz and Geoffrey Kollmann.
- CRS Report RL30839. *Income Tax Cuts, the Business Cycle, and Economic Growth: A Macroeconomic Analysis*, by Marc Labonte and Gail Makinen.
- CRS Report 98-720. *Manual on the Federal Budget Process*, by Robert Keith and Allen Schick.
- CRS Report RL30854. *Uncertainty in Budget Projections*, by Philip Winters.