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Renewable Energy:  
Tax Credit, Budget, and Electricity Restructuring Issues

**SUMMARY**

Energy security, a major driver of federal renewable energy programs in the past, came back into play as oil and gas prices rose late in the year 2000. Also, the 2001 electricity shortages in California have brought a new emphasis to the role that renewable energy may play in electricity supply.

In the 107th Congress, debate over renewable energy programs has focused on tax credits, incentives, and the omnibus energy policy bill, H.R. 4.

Also, worldwide emphasis on environmental problems of air and water pollution and global climate change, and the related development of clean energy technologies in western Europe and Japan may remain important influences on renewable energy policymaking. Concern about technology competitiveness may also remain a factor in debate.

For DOE’s FY2003 Renewable Energy Program, the Administration seeks $407.0 million, an $11.3 million (3%) increase relative to the FY2002 appropriation. The main increases are $8.9 million for Hydrogen, $7.4 million for Electric/Storage, $5.3 million for Renewable American Indian Resources, $3.5 million for International Renewables, and $3.0 million for Wind. However, there are major cuts in proposed spending, which include decreases of $7.4 million for Solar, $7.0 million for Biomass/Biofuels, and $3.0 million for Program Direction.

An omnibus House energy bill (H.R. 4, Securing America’s Future Energy Act), includes many, if not most, of the recommendations from Bush Administration’s National Energy Policy report. Its renewable energy provisions include R&D funding authorizations, incentives for alternative fuel vehicles, biomass development on federal lands, expedited geothermal leasing, investment and production tax credits, and renewables funding derived from oil development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR).

In response, the Senate version of H.R. 4 (Energy Policy Act, S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517) passed the Senate. It also has provisions for R&D funding and alternative fuels, but differs by including – for example – a federal purchase requirement, net metering, and a renewable energy portfolio standard (RPS).

The Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-147, H.R. 3090) was enacted on March 9, 2002. Section 603 extends the renewable energy production tax credit retrospectively, from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2003. H.R. 4 and S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 would extend the credit for an additional 4 years, to January 1, 2006.
**MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

On May 1, 2002, the Senate appointed conferees for the omnibus energy bill, H.R. 4. On April 25, 2002, the Senate incorporated S. 517 (S. Amdt 2917) into H.R. 4 (Energy Policy Act of 2002) as an amendment in the nature of a substitute. The Senate version of H.R. 4 responds to the omnibus House energy bill (H.R. 4, Securing America’s Future Energy Act of 2001), which passed the House on August 2, 2001. Both bills have provisions for R&D funding, alternative fuels, and renewable electricity, but have major differences in their coverage of several areas. In particular, the Senate version has provisions for a renewable portfolio standard (RPS) and a renewable energy fuel standard that do not appear in the House version.

On March 18, 2002, the House Appropriations Committee issued revised figures for the FY2003 DOE budget request for Renewable Energy. The main increases are $8.9 million for Hydrogen, $7.4 million for Electric/Storage, $5.3 million for Renewable American Indian Resources, $3.5 million for International Renewables, and $3.0 million for Wind. However, there are major cuts in proposed spending, which include decreases of $7.4 million for Solar, $7.0 million for Biomass/Biofuels, and $3.0 million for Program Direction.

On March 9, 2001, the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-147, H.R. 3090) was signed into law. Section 603 extends the production tax credit for wind, closed-loop biomass, and poultry waste, retrospectively, from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2003. The Omnibus Energy bills (H.R. 4 and S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517) would broaden eligibility for this credit to other renewable energy sources and extend it for an additional three years.

On February 27, the House Appropriations Committee’s Subcommittee on Energy and Water Appropriations held a hearing on the FY2003 budget request for the DOE Renewable Energy Program. On February 4, the Administration issued its budget request for FY2003.

(The DOE FY2003 Budget Request is on the DOE web site at [http://www.mbe.doe.gov/budget/03budget/content/es/renewabl.pdf].)

**BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS**

**Renewable Energy Concept**

Renewable energy is derived from resources that are generally not depleted by human use, such as the sun, wind, and water movement. These primary sources of energy can be converted into heat, electricity and mechanical energy in several ways. There are some mature technologies for conversion of renewable energy such as hydropower, biomass, and waste combustion. Other conversion technologies, such as wind turbines and photovoltaics, are already well-developed, but have not achieved the technological efficiency and market penetration which many expect they will ultimately reach. Although geothermal energy is produced from geological rather than solar sources, it is often included as a renewable energy
resource and this brief treats it as one. Commercial nuclear power is not considered to be a renewable energy resource. (For further definitions of renewable energy, see the National Renewable Energy Laboratory’s web site information on “Clean Energy 101" [http://www.nrel.gov/clean_energy/].)

**Contribution to National Energy Supply**

According to the Energy Information Administration’s (EIA’s) *Annual Energy Outlook 2001*, renewable energy resources supplied about 6.6 Q (quadrillion Btu’s or quads) of the 96.1 Q the nation used in 1999, or about 6.9% of national energy demand. More than half of renewable energy production takes the form of electricity supply. Of this, most is provided by large hydropower. However, in 1998 and 1999, declining hydroelectric availability led to a slight drop in national renewable energy use. Industrial use of renewables, supplied primarily by biofuels, accounts for most of the remaining contribution.

After more than 20 years of federal support, some note that renewable energy has neither achieved a high level of market penetration nor a growing market share among other energy sources. A recent review of renewable energy studies by Resources for the Future, *Renewable Energy: Winner, Loser, or Innocent Victim?*, concludes that the lower-than-projected market penetration and flat market share are due primarily to declining fossil fuel and electricity prices during this period. In contrast, however, it notes that the costs for renewable energy technologies have declined by amounts equal to or exceeding those of earlier projections.

EIA’s *Annual Energy Outlook 2001* projects that current policies would yield an 1.1% average annual increase in renewable energy production through 2020, resulting in a 26% total increase. This would amount to about 6.5% of the projected 127 Q total demand in 2020. (Detailed breakdowns of renewable energy use appear in EIA’s *Renewable Energy Annual 2000* and *Renewable Energy 2000: Issues and Trends*.)

**Role in Long-Term Energy Supply**

*Our Common Future*, the 1987 report of the World Commission on Environment and Development, found that “energy efficiency can only buy time for the world to develop ‘low-energy paths’ based on renewable sources...” Although many renewable energy systems are in a relatively early stage of development, they offer the world “a potentially huge primary energy source, sustainable in perpetuity and available in various forms to every nation on Earth.” It suggested that a Research, Development, and Demonstration (R,D&D) program of renewable energy projects is required to attain the same level of primary energy that is now obtained from a mix of fossil, nuclear, and renewable energy resources.

The *Agenda 21* adopted at the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) concluded that mitigating urban air pollution and the adverse impact of energy use on the atmosphere — such as acid rain, global warming, and climate change — requires an emphasis on “clean and renewable energy sources.”
History

The oil embargo of 1973 sparked a quadrupling of energy prices, major economic shock, and the establishment of a comprehensive federal energy program to help with the nation’s immediate and long-term energy needs. During the 1970s, the federal renewable energy program grew rapidly to include basic and applied R&D, and joint federal participation with the private sector in demonstration projects, commercialization, and information dissemination. In addition, the federal government instituted market incentives, such as business and residential tax credits, and created a utility market for non-utility produced electric power through the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (P.L. 95-617).

The subsequent failure of the oil cartel and the return of low oil and gas prices in the early 1980s slowed the federal program. Despite Congress’s consistent support for a broader, more aggressive renewable energy program than any Administration, federal spending for these programs fell steadily through 1990. Until 1994, Congress led policy development and funding through legislative initiatives and close reviews of annual budget submissions. FY1995 marked a noteworthy shift, with the 103rd Congress for the first time approving less funding than the Administration had requested. The 104th Congress approved 23% less than the Clinton Administration request for FY1996 and 8% less for FY1997. However, funding turned upward again during the 105th Congress and in the 106th Congress. (A detailed description of DOE programs appears in DOE’s FY2003 Congressional Budget Request, DOE/ME-0003, v. 3, February 2002.)

From FY1973 through FY1998, the federal government spent about $11.7 billion (in 1999 constant dollars) for renewable energy R&D. Renewable energy R&D funding grew from less than $1 million per year in the early 1970s to over $1.3 billion in FY1979 and FY1980, then declined steadily to $136 million in FY1990. Spending rose from FY1991 to FY1995, declined in FY1996 and FY1997, then rose again in FY1998, reaching $275 million in 1999 constant dollars.

This spending history can be viewed within the context of DOE spending for the three other major energy R&D programs: nuclear, fossil, and energy efficiency R&D. From FY1948 through FY1972, in 1999 constant dollars, the federal government spent about $22.4 billion for nuclear (fission and fusion) energy R&D and about $5.1 billion for fossil energy R&D. From FY1973 through FY1998, in 1999 constant dollars, the federal government spent $43.2 billion for nuclear, $21.1 billion for fossil, $11.7 billion for renewables, and $8 billion for energy efficiency. Total energy R&D spending from FY1948-FY1998 reached $111.5 billion, including $66 billion, or 59% for nuclear, $26 billion, or 23%, for fossil, $12 billion, or 11%, for renewables, and $8 billion, or 7%, for energy efficiency.

Tax Credits. The Energy Tax Act of 1978 (P.L. 95-618) created residential solar credits and the residential and business credits for wind energy installations; it expired on December 31, 1985. However, business investment credits were extended repeatedly through the 1980s. Section 1916 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPACT, P.L. 102-486) extended the 10% business tax credits for solar and geothermal equipment indefinitely. Also, EPACT Section 1914 created an income tax “production” credit of 1.5 cents/kwh for electricity produced by wind and closed-loop biomass systems. P.L. 106-170 expanded this credit to include poultry waste. P.L. 107-147 extends the credit through December 31, 2003.
Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act. The Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act (P.L. 96-917) required electric utilities to purchase power produced by qualified renewable power facilities. Under PURPA, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) established rules requiring that electric utilities purchase power from windfarms and other small power producers at an “avoided cost” price based on energy and capacity costs that the utility would otherwise incur by generating the power itself or purchasing it elsewhere. However, to receive avoided cost payments, each renewables facility must file for, and obtain, qualifying facility (QF) status from FERC. EIA’s Renewable Energy 2000: Issues reports that, in 1998, QF renewable power capacity reached 12,700 MW generation reached 64 billion kwh. Thus, QFs provided about 1.6% of national electric capacity and about 1.7% of national electricity generation. In comparison, the capacity of all renewables reached 94,800 MW, or about 12% of national capacity; and generation for all renewables stood at 418,000, which is about 11.5% of national generation.

DOE’s Strategic and Performance Goals


Renewables Provisions in Omnibus Energy Bills

Much of the legislative action on renewables is focused on the House and Senate versions of the omnibus energy policy bill, H.R. 4. The House version of H.R. 4 includes renewables provisions that are derived primarily from H.R. 2436, H.R. 2460, H.R. 2511, and H.R. 2587 and contain many, if not most, of the renewable energy recommendations in the Administration’s National Energy Policy report. The Senate version of H.R. 4 incorporates S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 which, in turn, replaces S. 1766. As with the House version, many renewables provisions of the Senate version are derived primarily from S. 388, S. 389, S. 596, and S. 597. Both versions of H.R. 4 have provisions for R&D funding, distributed power generation, and alternative fuels. However, there are major differences; for example, House version would fund renewables with income from oil development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR), reduces geothermal royalties, and establishes an “Energy
Sun” label, while the Senate version would establish federal agency power purchases, net metering, and a renewable energy portfolio standard (RPS).

**Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS).** Section 264 of the Senate version of H.R. 4 (S. 517) proposes that retail electricity suppliers (utilities, except for municipal and cooperative utilities) be required to obtain a minimum percentage of their power production from a portfolio of new renewable energy resources. The minimum energy target or “standard” would start at 1% in 2005, rise at a rate of about 1.2% every two years, and peak at 10% in 2019.

Eligible resources include solar, wind, ocean, and geothermal energy, most forms of biomass, landfill gas, and incremental hydropower. A generation offset from renewables used on site to reduce the measured demand from the grid is also eligible. The base for calculating the target production level excludes power from eligible renewables, hydropower, and municipal solid waste. Thus, states with a large amount of existing biomass, hydro, or other renewable power generation will have a proportionately lower target for new generation.

 Tradable credits are created, which can be purchased in place of power from other suppliers, to help retailers meet the target at the lowest cost. The credits would function like the Clean Air Act emission allowance trading system, which has lowered compliance cost for air pollution regulations. The bill’s credit trading provision is made flexible by allowing a supplier to “borrow” from expected future credits to fill a present shortfall or to “carry forward” surplus credits to future years.

A cost cap for the credits is set as the lesser of 1.5 cents/kwh (Section 271) or 200% of the average market value of the credits. The lower the cost cap, the more it may restrict portfolio diversity and deter generation from solar and other higher-cost renewable resources. Utilities sought a cost cap near 1 cent/kwh, while environmental groups sought a cap near 4 to 5 cents/kwh. State experience suggests that a cost cap is key to compliance cost control and may also allow compliance cost to flow through as a business cost.

Some see a federal RPS as a way to substitute a more market-oriented mechanism for the PURPA Section 210 requirement that utilities purchase power from renewables at an administratively-determined “avoided cost.” Ten states, including Texas, and a few foreign governments, have an RPS that provides a base of experience for the federal proposal.

(For more on RPS, see CRS Memorandum on Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard.)

**Renewable Energy Fuel Standard.** Section 820 of the Senate version proposes to increase the renewable energy content of motor fuel. Starting in 2003, it would require that motor gasoline contain a certain amount of renewable fuel. There is no comparable provision in the House version. (For more on the Renewable Energy Fuel Standard, see CRS Report RL31276.)

**Other Renewables Provisions.** Both the House version of H.R. 4 (Section 3102) and the Senate version (Section 1901-1906) would expand and further extend the renewable energy production tax credit that was recently extended by P.L. 107-147 (H.R. 3090). Also, both versions (House, Section 602; Senate, Section 261) would expand and extend a parallel
renewable energy production “incentive” for state and local governments. Further, the House version (Section 141A) would create an “Energy Sun” label for renewable energy equipment that could serve in a role parallel to that for the “Energy Star” label for energy-efficient equipment. (The renewables provisions in the House version are summarized in CRS Report RL31153 and the provisions of the Senate version are summarized in CRS Report RL31276.)

Tax Credits and Incentives

In A Blueprint for New Beginnings, the Bush Administration calls for tax credits for renewables and alternative fuels to help open markets. Several renewable energy tax credit bills have been introduced in the 107th Congress. The sections below describe the credits that have been proposed in the House and Senate versions of H.R. 4. (A comprehensive list of tax credit, incentives, and other renewable energy bills appears in CRS Report RL31044.)

Production Tax Credit. This 1.5 cent/kwh production tax credit (PTC) was created by Section 1914 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct). It is currently available for wind, closed-loop biomass, and poultry waste. The 106th Congress extended the credit through December 31, 2001. In March 2002, Section 603 of the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act (P.L. 107-147) extended the credit retroactively from December 31, 2001, to December 31, 2003.

Residential Tax Credit. Section 2103 of the Senate version of H.R. 4 amends the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to create a 15% residential tax credit worth up to $2,000 for homeowners who purchase photovoltaics equipment and a 30% credit worth up to $1,000 for solar thermal equipment.

Other Incentives and Credits. A 1.5 cent/kwh renewable energy production incentive (REPI) was created by EPAct Section 1212. It is available to state and local government agencies and non-profit electrical cooperatives. Both versions of H.R. 4 would extend it. In the House version of H.R. 4, Section 2101-2105 calls for grants to support alternative fuels and fueling stations. Title XX of the Senate version has tax credits for alternative fuels, vehicles, and fueling stations. a credit for hydropower facilities.

FY2003 DOE Budget

The FY2003 request for DOE’s Renewable Energy Program seeks “to meet the growing need for clean and affordable energy,” according to the Appendix to the U.S. Government’s FY2003 Budget (p. 397). In accordance with this policy, DOE proposes to increase solar and renewables funding under DOE’s Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) from $386.4 million in FY2002 to $407.7 million in FY2003 (excluding funding for programs under the Office of Science) — an increase of $21.3 million (6%) above the FY2002 level. Overall, this is a relatively flat budget request. However, some programs would get either a significant increase or decrease. The major cuts in proposed spending include decreases of $15.7 million for Distributed Energy, $11.3 million for Concentrated Solar, $6.2 million for Biopower, and $2.6 million for Program Direction.
According to DOE, the cut for Distributed Energy has several parts, including two big parts. First, two one-time Transmission Reliability projects funded in FY2002 for a total of $14.0 million did not need further funding in FY2003. However, the cut would be partially offset by a $3.4 million increase for reliability compliance, real time monitoring, and load research. Second, $6.3 million in FY2002 funding for DER Systems Integration was not carried into FY2003. However, this cut would be partially offset by a $2.7 million increase to develop a national standard for DER grid interconnection.

DOE says the 85% cut for Concentrating Solar includes a $3.3 million cut for Distributed Power System Development. Also, it would terminate four subprograms, including cuts of $3.7 million for Dispatchable Systems, $3.4 million for Advanced Components, $0.5 million for the Southwest Resource Opportunity (technical study and assistance), and $0.4 million for the Navajo Electrification Project.

Under Biomass Systems Development, DOE proposes to cut Biopower for Rural Development by $8.4 million, primarily by not extending a variety of earmark projects funded in FY2002. This would be partially offset by a $1 million increase for Small Modular Biopower and a $2 million increase for Gasification R&D. Also, the Regional Biomass Energy Program would be terminated by cutting $0.8 million.

Offsetting the above net reductions, the primary increases are $15.5 million for Superconductivity, $10.7 million for Hydrogen, $7.3 for Solar Buildings, $5.5 million for Renewable American Indian Resources, $5.4 million for Wind, $4.2 million for Biofuels, and $3.7 million for International Renewables.


**Electricity from Renewable Energy**

The Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA) has been key to the growth of electric power production from renewable energy facilities. Since 1994, state actions to restructure the electric utility industry have dampened PURPA’s effect. As part of federal restructuring proposals, some have included a repeal of the mandatory renewables purchase requirement in Section 210 of PURPA. In the 107th Congress, H.R. 381/S. 552 would repeal this section of PURPA.

**Renewables Under Electric Industry Restructuring.** To encourage a continued role for renewable energy under restructuring, some states and utilities have enacted measures such as a renewable energy portfolio standard (RPS), public benefits fund (PBF), and/or “green” pricing and marketing of renewable power. Also, some restructuring legislation in the 106th Congress included such provisions for renewables. (For a discussion of broader electricity restructuring issues, see the CRS Electronic Briefing Book on Electricity Restructuring at [http://www.congress.gov/brbk/html/ebef1.shtml].)

**Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS).** The RPS is a market-based policy to encourage new power generation from renewables in a setting where renewables cost more
than conventional power. (For more on RPS, see CRS Memorandum on *Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard.*)

**Green Power.** The spread of competition in the electric industry has been accompanied by growth in the market for green power services. The term “green power” generally refers to electricity supplied in whole or in part from renewable energy sources. Green pricing is an optional utility service that allows electricity customers who are willing to pay a premium for the environmental benefits of renewable energy to purchase green power instead of conventional power. More than 80 utilities have implemented green pricing programs that can reach more than one-third of the nation’s consumers. Green power marketing, the selling of green power in either the retail or wholesale competitive marketplace, is underway in the newly competitive electricity markets of California, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Texas. The growth of green power has led to market information needs for disclosure and certification, which are discussed in CRS Report RS20270 on *Renewable Energy and Electricity Restructuring.* (For more on green power see the web site [http://www.eren.doe.gov/greenpower/home.shtml].)

**Distributed Generation.** Distributed generation involves the use of small, modular electricity generators sited close to the customer load that can enable utilities to defer or eliminate costly investments in transmission and distribution (T&D) system upgrades, and provide customers with quality, reliable energy supplies that may have less environmental impact than traditional fossil fuel generators. Technologies for distributed electricity generation use wind, solar, bioenergy, fuel cells, gas microturbines, hydrogen, combined heat and power, and hybrid power systems. For example, DOE’s R&D program is developing systems under five megawatts in size that would primarily use agricultural or industrial biomass wastes to supply on-site energy or to sell to the grid. As another example, photovoltaic (PV) systems ranging from one kilowatt to one megawatt are commercially available. PV has the advantages of being modular and easy to site near the use, it has low operating and maintenance costs, and its power output curve follows the peak electrical demand. Its main disadvantage is its initial capital cost. (More information about DOE’s Distributed Power Program is available at [http://www.eren.doe.gov/distributedpower/]).

In March, to help increase electricity supplies in the Western states, FERC waived (EL01-47/000, [http://www.ferc.gov/electric/bulkpower/el01-47-000.pdf]) its prior notice requirements for businesses with on-site power generators that sell wholesale power to the grid. This action tends to encourage more generation from distributed renewable energy power sources. Also, H.R. 4 (Sections 2121-2128), S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 (Sections 102, 242, 1211), H.R. 1045, and H.R. 2496 have provisions for distributed generation.

**Net Metering.** Net metering allows customers with generating facilities to “turn their electric meters backwards” when they are feeding power into the grid, so that they receive retail prices for the excess electricity they generate. This encourages customer investment in distributed generation, which includes renewable energy equipment. In April 2001, California enacted a law (ABX129) that raised the size limit for net-metered systems from 10 kw to 1 Mw. Further, the California Public Utility Commission approved $138 million annually over four years for programs that reduce peak demand, including a provision for up to 50% of system cost to customers that install PV, wind, or fuel cells that use renewable fuels ranging in size from 30 kw to 1 MW. Also, S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 (Section 245),

**Climate Change**

Since 1988, the federal government has accelerated programs that study the science of global climate change and created programs aimed at mitigating fossil fuel-generated carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other human-generated emissions. (For more details, see the CRS electronic briefing book on Global Climate Change at [http://www.congress.gov/brbk/html/ebgcc1.html].)

The federal government funds programs for renewable energy as a mitigation measure at DOE, EPA, the Agency for International Development (AID), and the World Bank. The latter two agencies have received funding for renewable energy-related climate actions through Foreign Operations appropriations bills.

Because CO₂ contributes the largest share of greenhouse gas emission impact, it has been the focus of studies of the potential for reducing emissions through renewable energy and other means. Except for biofuels and biopower, wherever renewable energy equipment displaces fossil fuel use, it will also reduce carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, as well as pollutants that contribute to water pollution, acid rain, and urban smog. In general, the combustion of biomass for fuel and power production releases CO₂ at an intensity that may rival or exceed that for natural gas. However, the growth of biomass material offsets this release. Hence, net emissions occur only when combustion is based on deforestation. In a “closed loop” system, biomass combustion is based on rotating energy crops, there is no net release, and its displacement of any fossil fuel, including natural gas, reduces CO₂ emissions.

**Legislative Activity in the 107th Congress**

Much of the action on renewables has focused on two omnibus energy policy bills, H.R. 4 and S.Amdt. 2917 to S. 517 (which replaces S. 1766). More than 100 renewable energy bills have been introduced during the 107th Congress. These bills cover policy issue areas that include tax credits, regulation, funding, goals, education, farms, and environment; and a range of resources and technologies that include alcohol fuels and biofuels, biopower, geothermal, hydrogen, hydropower, solar, and wind. Some key renewable energy bills are listed in the Legislation section below. A detailed, comprehensive list of bills appears in CRS Report RL31044, *Renewable Energy Legislation in the 107th Congress.*

**Legislation**

**P.L. 107-66 (H.R. 2311)**


**P.L. 107-115 (H.R. 2506)**

**P.L. 107-147 (H.R. 3090)**
Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002. Section 603 extends the renewable energy production tax credit for 2 years, retrospectively from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2003. Also, Section 602 extends a credit for electric vehicles and Section 606 extends a deduction for clean fuel vehicle property. House Committee on Ways and Means reported (H.Rept. 107-251) bill on October 17, 2001, with two-year extension of renewables production tax credit. Passed House October 24. Senate Finance Committee reported (Committee Print 107-49) an amendment in the nature of a substitute with an amendment to the title on November 9. Section 404 of the Senate version proposed one-year extension of renewables production tax credit. Brought to the floor November 13. Amended in Senate (S.Amdt. 2896) and passed Senate February 14, 2002. House approved agreement with Senate Amendment March 7, 2002. Signed into law March 9, 2002.

**P.L. 107-171 (H.R. 2646)**
Farm Security Act. Section 6013 provides loan guarantees for renewable energy equipment and broadens the range of renewable energy equipment available for loans. Title IX (p. 347-358) has several renewable energy provisions. Section 902 requires federal purchases of biobased products, biofuels development, and biodiesel education. It also provides renewable energy loans (up to $10 million) and grants (up to $200,000). Section 903 extends the Biomass R&D Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-224) through FY2006 and mandates a $15 million per year appropriation for each year from FY2002 through FY2006. Section 904 provides technical and financial assistance, loans, and loan guarantees for renewable energy development by rural electric cooperatives. Section 905 addresses measures to sequester carbon and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Section 906 directs the Department of Agriculture to promote renewable fuels production. Section 907 continues and expands the bioenergy program at the Dept. of Agriculture. House bill introduced July 26; referred to Committee on Agriculture. Reported (H.Rept. 107-191, Parts I, II, and III) August 2. Passed House October 5. Senate bill reported in lieu of S. 1628 on November 27, 2001. Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry filed written report (S. Rept. 107-117) on December 7. Amended, incorporated into H.R. 2646 as an amendment in the nature of a substitute, and passed Senate, February 13, 2002. Conference report (H.R. 107-424) issued May 2. Signed into law May 13, 2002.
H.R. 4 (House Version)

H.R. 4 (Senate Version)
Energy Policy Act. There are several renewable energy provisions in this bill. S. 1766 was replaced by S. 517 which, in turn, was incorporated into the Senate version of H.R. 4 as an amendment in the nature of a substitute and passed the Senate April 25, 2002.

S. 1766 (Daschle-Bingaman)
Energy Policy Act of 2002. There are many provisions for renewables throughout the bill. The provisions of S. 1766 were incorporated in S. Amdt. 2917, as proposed for consideration in the Senate. S. Amdt. 2917 was amended on the floor and agreed to as a substitute amendment to S. 517, formerly the National Laboratories Partnership Improvement Act. S. 517, the Energy Policy Act, as amended, was subsequently incorporated in H.R. 4 and H.R. 4 passed the Senate in lieu of S. 517.

S. 1979 (Baucus)

CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS, REPORTS, AND DOCUMENTS


**CRS Reports**


**FOR ADDITIONAL READING**

*Tables showing DOE Renewable Energy R&D Funding (current and constant) trends back to FY1974 are available from the author of this issue brief.*

Edison Electric Institute. Various articles on renewable energy and distributed power. Electric Perspectives Online.


Web Sites


National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. [http://www.naruc.org/]

National Association of State Energy Offices. [http://www.naseo.org/]


U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Solar Site. [http://www.epa.gov/solar/]
### Table 3. DOE Renewable Energy Budget for FY2001-FY2003

($ millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biofuels - Total</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofuels/Utility Power</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofuels/Transportation</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Hydro</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Energy</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrating Solar Power</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaics</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Buildings</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TECHNOLOGIES SUBTOTAL</td>
<td>279.5</td>
<td>294.3</td>
<td>291.5</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric/Storage</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Support &amp; Implementation</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. Energy Management</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Renewables</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>117%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Incentive</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renew. Amer. Indian Res.</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>177%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Support</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NREL (incl. construction)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Direction</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENEWABLES, Subtotal</td>
<td>375.7</td>
<td>396.0</td>
<td>407.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICE OF SCIENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS/Photovoltaics Rsch.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS/Biomass-Biofuels</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS/Wind</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS/Solar Photoconversion</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS/Hydrogen</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS/Subtotal</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENEWABLES with OS</td>
<td>420.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>