CRS Issue Brief for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Gun Control Legislation in the 107th Congress

Updated January 11, 2001

William Krouse Domestic Social Policy Division

CONTENTS

SUMMARY

MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Pro/Con Debate

Gun-Related Statistics Number of Guns Criminal Use Gun Violence and Youth Suicides, Accidents, and Other Deaths Self-defense Recreation

Federal Regulation of Firearms Federal Regulation of Firearm Transfers Brady Act Implementation Federal Firearm Prosecutions Major Federal Firearm Statutes

Possible Issues for the 107th Congress

Gun Control Legislation in the 107th Congress

SUMMARY

Members of Congress continue to debate the efficacy and constitutionality of the federal regulation of firearms and ammunition. Various federal laws have been enacted since1934 to promote such regulation. Gun control advocates argue that they curb access by criminals, juveniles, and other "high-risk" individuals. They contend that only federal measures can succeed in reducing the availability of guns. Some seek broad policy changes such as near-prohibition of non-police handgun ownership or the registration of all firearm owners or firearms. They assert that there is no constitutional barrier to these measures and no significant social costs. Others advocate less comprehensive policies that they maintain would not impede ownership and legitimate firearm transfers.

At the same time, opposition to federal controls also varies. Gun control opponents deny that such policies keep firearms out of the hands of high-risk persons; rather, they argue, controls often create burdens for lawabiding citizens and infringe upon constitutional rights secured by the Second Amendment. Some argue further that widespread gun ownership is one of the best deterrents to crime as well as to potential tyranny, whether by gangs or by government. They may also criticize the notion of enhancing federal, as opposed to state, police powers.

The two most significant federal statutes controlling firearms in the civilian population are the National Firearms Act of 1934 and the Gun Control Act of 1968, as amended. The 1934 Act established strict registration requirements and a transfer tax on machine guns and short-barreled long guns. The 1968 Act prohibits mail-order sales and the interstate sales of firearms, prohibits transfers to minors, limits access to "new" assault weapons, and sets forth penalties and licensing requirements for manufacturers, importers, and dealers.

Crime statistics and mortality data are often used in the gun control debate. The number of homicides committed annually with a firearm by persons in the 14- to 24-year-old age group increased by 173% from 1985 to 1993, and then decreased by 47% from 1993 to 1999. Meanwhile, firearm fatalities from all causes for all age groups decreased by 22% and, for juveniles, they decreased by 40%, from 1993 to 1998.

The 105th Congress included a provision in the omnibus appropriations act (P.L. 105-277) that requires federal firearm licensees to sell gun storage and safety devices. The 106th Congress made permanent in the FY2000 Treasury-Postal appropriations act (P.L. 106-58) a requirement that background checks be conducted when firearms are redeemed at pawnshops.

The 106th Congress considered several proposals concerning federal firearms regulation. They included proposals to 1) require background checks at gun shows, 2) require firearm safety locks, and 3) increase controls on assault weapons. None of these proposals, however, were enacted into law. While it is likely that all of these issues will reemerge in some form during the 107th Congress, the proposal to require background checks for all firearm transfers at gun shows is likely to be the issue with the highest visibility.



MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

The debate over the extent to which the federal government should regulate firearms and ammunition was reignited by a rash of shootings, most notably, the incident at Columbine High School in Littleton, CO, on April 20, 1999. As this debate continues, several specific gun control issues considered in the 106th Congress are likely to reemerge in the 107th Congress. In particular, the proposal to require background checks for firearm transfers by nonlicensed persons at gun shows is likely to be a central issue.

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Pro/Con Debate

Through the years, legislative proposals to restrict the availability of firearms to the public have raised the following questions: What restrictions on firearms are permissible under the Constitution? Does gun control constitute crime control? Can the nation's rates of homicide, robbery, and assault be reduced by the stricter regulation of firearm commerce or ownership? Would restrictions stop attacks on public figures or thwart deranged persons and terrorists? Would household, street corner, and schoolyard disputes be less lethal if firearms were more difficult and expensive to acquire? Would more restrictive gun control policies have the unintended effect of impairing citizens' means of self-defense?

In recent years, proponents of gun control legislation have often held that only *federal* laws can be effective in the United States. Otherwise, they say, states with few restrictions will continue to be sources of guns that flow illegally into restrictive states. They believe that the Second Amendment to the Constitution, which states that "A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed," (1) is obsolete; or (2) is intended solely to guard against suppression of state militias by the central government and therefore restricted in scope by that intent; or (3) does not guarantee a right that is absolute, but one that can be limited by reasonable requirements. They ask why a private citizen needs any firearm that is not designed primarily for hunting or other recognized sporting purposes.

Proponents of firearm restrictions have advocated policy changes on specific types of firearms or components that appear to be useful primarily for criminal purposes or that pose unusual risks to the public. Fully automatic firearms (i.e., machine guns) and short-barreled rifles and shotguns have been subject to strict regulation since 1934. Fully automatic firearms have been banned from private possession since 1986, except for those legally owned prior to 1986. More recently, "Saturday night specials" (loosely defined as inexpensive, small handguns), "assault weapons," ammunition feeding devices with capacities for more than seven rounds, and certain ammunition have been the focus of control efforts.

Opponents of gun control vary in their positions with respect to specific forms of control but generally hold that gun control laws do not accomplish what is intended. They argue that it is as difficult to keep weapons from being acquired by "high risk" individuals, even under federal laws and enforcement, as it was to stop the sale and use of liquor during Prohibition. In their view, a more stringent federal firearm regulatory system would only create problems for law-abiding citizens, bring mounting frustration and escalation of bans by gun regulators, and possibly threaten citizens' civil rights or safety. Some argue that the low violent crime rates of other countries have nothing to do with gun control, maintaining instead that multiple cultural differences are responsible.

Gun control opponents also reject the assumption that the only legitimate purpose of ownership by a private citizen is recreational (i.e., hunting and target-shooting). They insist on the continuing need of people for effective means to defend person and property, and they point to studies that they believe show that gun possession lowers the incidence of crime. They say that the law enforcement and criminal justice system in the United States has not demonstrated the ability to furnish an adequate measure of public safety. Some opponents believe further that the Second Amendment includes a right to keep arms as a defense against potential government tyranny, pointing to examples in other countries of the use of firearm restrictions to curb dissent and secure illegitimate government power.

The debate has been intense. To gun control advocates, the opposition is out of touch with the times, misinterprets the Second Amendment, or is lacking in concern for the problems of crime and violence. To gun control opponents, advocates are naive in their faith in the power of regulation to solve social problems, bent on disarming the American citizen for ideological or social reasons, or moved by irrational hostility to firearms and gun enthusiasts.

Gun-Related Statistics

Number of Guns. The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) reported in a national survey that in 1994, 44 million people, approximately 35% of households, owned 192 million firearms, 65 million of which were handguns. Seventy-four percent of those individuals were reported to own more than one firearm. The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms (ATF) estimates that as of the end of 1996, approximately 242 million firearms were available for sale to or were possessed by civilians in the United States. That total includes roughly 72 million handguns (mostly pistols, revolvers, and derringers), 76 million rifles, and 64 million shotguns. Most guns available for sale are produced domestically. In recent years, one to two million handguns were manufactured each year, along with one million rifles and less than one million shotguns. Annual imports are considerably fewer — from 200,000 to 400,000 handguns, 200,000 rifles, and 100,000 to 200,000 shotguns. Retail prices of guns vary widely, from \$50 or less for inexpensive, low-caliber handguns to more than \$1,500 for high-quality rifles or shotguns. Data are not available on the number of "assault weapons" in private possession or available for sale, but estimates prepared in 1989 by a firearms expert associated with the Smithsonian Institution placed the number of such firearms at that time in the range of one to four million, less than 3% of the number of guns estimated to exist in the civilian market.

Criminal Use. Reports submitted by state and local law enforcement agencies to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and published annually in the *Uniform Crime Reports* indicate that both the crime rate and the violent crime rate have declined since 1981. Of the homicides in which the type of weapon could be identified, from 60% to almost 70% have involved firearms each year. The number of homicides and the proportion involving firearms have declined in recent years. In 1999 of the 12,658 homicides reported, 65% (8,259) were

committed with firearms. Of those committed with firearms, 79% (6,498) involved handguns. From 1993 to 1999, the number of firearm-related homicides decreased by an average rate of nearly 11% annually, for an overall decrease of 49%.

The other principal source of national crime data is the *National Crime Victimization Survey* (NCVS) conducted by the Bureau of the Census and published by the Bureau of Justice Statistics. The NCVS database provides some information on the weapons used by offenders, based on victims' reports. Based on data provided by survey respondents in calendar year 1998, BJS estimated that, nationwide, firearms were used by offenders in 670,500 criminal incidents, roughly 10% of all violent crimes reported to the NCVS.

Gun Violence and Youth. Youth crime statistics have often been used in the gun control debate. The number of homicides committed annually with a firearm by persons in the 14- to 24-year-old age group increased sharply from 1985 to 1993; they have declined since then, but not to the 1985 level. According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, from 1985 to 1993, the number of firearm-related homicides committed by 14- to 17-year-olds increased by 294%, from 855 to 3,371. From 1993 to 1999, the number of firearm-related homicides committed by 14- to 17-year-olds increased committed by persons in this age group decreased by 65%, from 3,371 to 1,165.

From 1985 to 1993, firearm-related homicides committed by 18- to 24-year-olds increased by 142%, from 3,374 to 8,171. From 1993 to 1999, firearm-related homicides committed by persons in this age group decreased by 43%, from 8,717 to 4,973. For further information, see *Homicide Trends in the United States*, by James Alan Fox, at [http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/homicide/homtrnd.htm].

Although gun-related violence in schools is statistically a rare event, a Department of Justice survey indicated that 12.7% of students age 12 to 19 reported knowing a student who brought a firearm to school. For further information, see CRS Report RL30482, *The Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Program: Background and Context*, by Edith Fairman Cooper.

Suicides, Accidents, and Other Deaths. Firearm fatalities have decreased continuously since 1993. The source of national data on firearm deaths is the publication *Vital Statistics*, published each year by the National Center for Health Statistics. Firearm deaths reported by coroners in each state are presented in four categories: homicides and legal intervention, suicides, accidents, and unknown circumstances. In 1998, a total of 30,708 firearm deaths occurred, according to such reports. Of this total, 12,102 were homicides or due to legal intervention; 17,424 were suicides; 866 were unintentional (accidental) shootings; and 316 were of unknown cause. From 1993 to 1998, firearm-related deaths decreased by an average rate of 5% annually, for an overall decrease of 22%. Also in 1998, there were 1,971 juvenile (under 18 years of age) deaths attributed to firearms. Of the juvenile total, 1,062 were homicides or due to legal intervention; 648 were suicides; 207 were unintentional; and 54 were of unknown cause. From 1993 to 1998, firearm-related deaths for juveniles have decreased by an average rate of unknown cause. From 1993 to 1998, firearm-related deaths for juveniles have decreased by an average rate of unknown cause. From 1993 to 1998, firearm-related deaths for juveniles have decreased by an average rate of 10% annually, for an overall decrease of 40%.

Self-defense. According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, NCVS data from 1987 to 1992 indicate that in each of those years, roughly 62,200 victims of violent crime (1% of all victims of such crimes) used guns to defend themselves. Another 20,000 persons each year used guns to protect property. Persons in the business of self-protection (police officers, armed security guards) may have been included in the survey. Another source of information

on the use of firearms for self-defense is the "National Self Defense Survey" conducted by criminology professor Gary Kleck of Florida State University in the spring of 1993. Citing responses from 4,978 households, Dr. Kleck estimated that handguns have been used 2.1 million times per year for self-defense, and that all types of guns have been used approximately 2.5 million times a year for that purpose, during the 1988-1993 period.

Why do these numbers vary? Law enforcement agencies do not collect information on the number of times civilians use firearms to defend themselves or their property against attack. Such data have been collected in household surveys. The contradictory nature of the available statistics may be partially explained by methodological factors. That is, these and other criminal justice statistics reflect what is *reported* to have occurred, not necessarily the actual number of times certain events occur. Victims and offenders are sometimes reluctant to be candid with researchers. So, the number of criminal incidents can only be estimated, making it difficult to state with certainty the accuracy of statistics such as the number of times firearms are used in self-defense. For this and other reasons, criminal justice statistics often vary when different methodologies are applied.

Survey research can be limited, since it is difficult to produce statistically significant findings from small incident populations. For example, the sample in the National Self-Defense Survey might have been too small, given the low incidence rate and the inherent limitations of survey research.

Recreation. According to the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), in 1994 recreation was the most common motivation for owning a firearm. There were approximately 15 million hunters, about 35% of gun owners, in the United States and about the same number and percentage of gun owners engaged in sport shooting in 1994. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service reported that 31.6 million persons purchased hunting licenses or permits in 1993 and, according to the National Sporting Goods Association, in that year approximately 18.5 million persons took part in firearms sporting activities.

Federal Regulation of Firearms

As stated in the Gun Control Act of 1968, as amended (P.L. 90-618; Title 18, *United States Code*, Chapter 44), the purpose of federal firearm regulation is to assist federal, state, and local law enforcement in the ongoing effort to reduce crime and violence. In the same act, however, Congress also stated that the intent of the law is not to place any undue or unnecessary burdens on law-abiding citizens in regard to the lawful acquisition, possession, or use of firearms for hunting, trapshooting, target shooting, personal protection, or any other lawful activity.

Indeed, issues related to federal firearm regulation currently under debate generally revolve around the following questions. One, who should be ineligible to possess firearms? Two, what types of firearms are appropriate for statutorily enumerated lawful firearm activities? Three, when, where, and how is it appropriate to check persons seeking to acquire a firearm for possession eligibility?

Federal Regulation of Firearm Transfers. Under current law, federal firearm licensees (hereafter referred to as licensees) may ship, transport, and receive firearms that have moved

in interstate and foreign commerce. Licensees are currently required to verify with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) through a background check that nonlicensed persons are eligible to possess a firearm before subsequently transferring a firearm to them. Licensees must also verify the identity of nonlicensed transferees by inspecting a government-issued identity document, *e.g.*, a driver's license.

Under current law, there are 9 classes prohibited from possessing firearms: 1) persons convicted in any court of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding 1 year; 2) fugitives from justice; 3) drug users, or addicts; 4) persons adjudicated mental defectives, or committed to mental institutions; 5) unauthorized immigrants; 6) persons dishonorably discharged from the Armed Forces; 7) U.S. citizenship renunciates; 8) persons under court-order restraints related to harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner or child of such intimate partner; and 9) persons convicted of misdemeanor domestic violence (18 U.S.C. § 922(d)).

Licensees may engage in interstate transfers of firearms between themselves without conducting background checks. While they may transfer long guns (rifles or shotguns) to out-of-state residents, as long as there are in-person meetings and such transfers would not knowingly be in violation of the laws of the state in which the nonlicensed transferees reside, they may not transfer handguns to unlicensed out-of-state residents. Transfer of handguns by licensees to anyone under 21-years-of-age is prohibited, as is the transfer of long guns to anyone under 18-years-of-age (18 U.S.C. § 922(b)). Also, licensees are required to submit a "multiple sales reports" to the Secretary of the Treasury if any person purchases two or more handguns within 5 business days.

Furthermore, licensees are required to maintain records on all acquisitions and dispositions of firearms. They are obligated to respond to federal agents requesting firearm tracing information within 24 hours. Under certain circumstances, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) agents may inspect, without search warrants, their business premises, inventory, and records.

On the other hand, nonlicensees are prohibited from acquiring firearms from out-of-state sources (except for long guns acquired from licensees under the scenario described above). Nonlicensees are also prohibited from transferring firearms to any persons who they have reasonable cause to believe are not residents of the state in which the transaction occurs. In addition, since 1986, it has been a federal offense for nonlicensees to knowingly transfer a firearm to prohibited persons. Finally, since 1994, it has been a federal offense for any nonlicensed person to transfer a handgun to anyone under 18-years-of-age (there are exceptions to this law related to employment, ranching, farming, target practice, and hunting) (18 U.S.C. \S 922(x)).

Brady Act Implementation. According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, since the implementation of the Brady Act on February 29, 1994, through calender year 1999, over 22 million firearm background checks were completed, resulting in 536,000 denials. During the interim period of the Brady Act (phase I), from February 1994 through November 1998, there was a waiting period of up to 5 days for handgun transfers in states without instant check systems. Nearly 13 million firearm background checks were completed, resulting in 312,000 denials.

Phase II and the permanent provisions of the Brady Act became effective on November 30, 1998. As part of phase II, the Federal Bureau of Investigation rolled out the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS). Through NICS, and under the permanent Brady provisions, background checks are conducted of applicants for both hand and long gun transfers. In 15 states, state agencies serve as points of contact (POCs), and conduct full background checks for both long guns and handguns. In 11 states, state agencies conduct partial background checks for handguns only. In POC states, federal firearm licensees contact the state agency rather than the FBI. In non-POC states, federal firearm licensees contact the FBI directly through the NICS system.

During the first 13 months of NICS operation (through December 31, 1999), the FBI received over 8.6 million requests from state agencies and federal firearm licensees. Of this number, about half were handled by the FBI, and the other half were handled by state agencies. Of total checks, 2.4% (312,000) resulted in denials. Over 70% of denials occurred because the applicant was a felon or was under felony indictment. The next most common reason for denial, about 13% of cases, was a domestic violence misdemeanor conviction or restraining order. For further information on phase II, see *Background Checks for Firearm T r a n s f e r s*, 1999 (W a s h i n g t o n, J u n e 2000), a t [http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/bcft99.htm].

According to GAO, about 75% of the NICS checks handled by the FBI resulted in immediate determinations of eligibility. Of the remaining 25% that resulted in a non-definitive response, neither a "proceed" nor a denial, 80% were turned around within 2 hours. The remaining 20% of delayed transactions took hours or days for the FBI NICS examiners to reach a final determination. In many cases these sales were delayed because there was an outstanding charge without a final disposition against the person seeking to purchase the firearm. Such cases necessitate that the FBI examiners contact local or state authorities for additional information. Under current law, the FBI is authorized to delay the sale for 3 business days in order to determine the outcome of the charge and, thus, establish the eligibility of the transferee to possess a firearm.

NICS system availability -- how regularly the system can be accessed during business hours and not delay legitimate firearm transfers -- has also been a source of complaint. GAO found, however, that in the first year of NICS operation, the FBI had achieved its system availability goal of 98% for 4 months. System availability for the remaining 8 months averaged 95.4%. For further information, see GAO Report GGD/AIMD-00-64, *Gun Control: Implementation of the National Instant Criminal Background System* (Washington, February 2000).

For state agencies, background checks may not be as expeditious. Background checks through state agencies, however, may be more thorough, since state agencies may have greater access to databases and records that are not available through NICS. For further information, see *National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS): Operations Report (November 30 1998 - December 31, 1999)* (Washington, revised August 1, 1999), at [http://www.fbi.gov/programs/nics/index.htm], click on "NICS One Year Report."

Federal Firearm Prosecutions. Regarding enforcement of the Brady Act, from November 1998 through June 2000, the FBI referred 134,522 Brady-related cases to the ATF, and 37,926 of these cases were referred to ATF field offices for investigation.

According to ATF, in FY2000 there were 1,485 defendants charged with firearm-related violations as a result of NICS checks under Brady. Of these defendants, 1,157 were charged with providing falsified information to federal firearm licensees (18 U.S.C. § 922(a)(6)), another 86 were persons ineligible to posses firearms under the domestic violence gun ban (18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) and (9)), and 136 were convicted felons (18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1)).

According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, however, federal firearm prosecutions decreased by 19% from 1992 to 1996, they leveled off through 1997, and increased in 1998 and 1999. The decline in federal prosecutions can be attributed in part to a Supreme Court decision (*Bailey v. United States* (516 U.S. 137, 116 S.Ct. 501)) that limited the use of the charge of using a firearm during a violent or drug-related offense (18 *United States Code*, § 924(c)). See *Federal Firearm Offenders*, 1992-98 (with Preliminary Data for 1999) (Washington, June 2000), at [http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pub/pdf/ffo98.pdf].

Major Federal Firearm Statutes. Two major federal statutes regulate the commerce in firearms, or their ownership: the National Firearms Act of 1934 (26 U.S.C. § 5801 *et seq.*) and the Gun Control Act of 1968, as amended (18 U.S.C. Ch. 44, § 921 *et seq.*).

The National Firearms Act was originally designed to make it difficult to obtain types of firearms perceived to be especially lethal or to be the chosen weapons of "gangsters," most notably machine guns and short-barreled long guns. This law also regulates firearms, other than pistols or revolvers, that can be concealed on a person (e.g., pen, cane, and belt buckle guns). It taxes all aspects of the manufacture and distribution of such weapons. And, it compels the disclosure (through registration with the Secretary of the Treasury) of the production and distribution system from manufacturer to buyer.

The Gun Control Act of 1968, as amended, contains the principal federal restrictions on domestic commerce in small arms and ammunition. The statute requires all persons manufacturing, importing, or selling firearms *as a business* to be federally licensed; prohibits the interstate mail-order sale of all firearms; prohibits interstate sale of handguns generally, sets forth categories of persons to whom firearms or ammunition may not be sold (such as persons under a specified age or with criminal records); authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to prohibit the importation of non-sporting firearms; requires that dealers maintain records of all commercial gun sales; and establishes special penalties for the use of a firearm in the perpetration of a federal drug trafficking offense or crime of violence. Transactions between persons "not engaged in the business" are not covered by the Act. These transactions and other matters such as possession, registration, and the issuing of licenses to the owners of firearms maybe covered by state laws or local ordinances. It also prohibits federal firearm licensees from selling or delivering a rifle or shotgun to a person under 18 years of age, or a handgun to a person under 21 years of age.

Supplementing federal law, many state firearm laws are stricter than federal law. For example, some states require permits to obtain firearms and impose a waiting period for firearm transfers. Other states are less restrictive, but state law cannot preempt federal law. Federal law serves as the minimum standard in the United States.

The following principal changes have been enacted to the Gun Control Act since 1968.

- ! The "Firearms Owners Protection Act," McClure-Volkmer Amendments (P.L. 99-308, 1986) eases certain interstate transfer and shipment requirements for long guns, defines the term "engaged in the business," eliminates some record-keeping requirements, and bans the private possession of machine guns not legally owned prior to 1986.
- ! The "Armor Piercing Ammunition" Ban (P.L. 99-408, 1986, amended in P.L. 103-322, 1994) prohibits the manufacture, importation and delivery of handgun ammunition composed of certain metal substances and certain fulljacketed ammunition.
- ! The Federal Energy Management Improvement Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-615) requires that all toys or firearm look-alikes have a blazed orange plug in the barrel, denoting that it is a non-lethal imitation.
- ! The Undetectable Firearms Act (P.L. 100-649, 1988), also known as the "plastic gun" legislation, bans the manufacture, import, possession, and transfer of firearms not detectable by security devices.
- ! The Gun-Free School Zone Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-647), as originally enacted, was ruled unconstitutional by the U.S. Supreme Court (*United States v. Lopez*, 514 U.S. 549 (1995), April 26, 1995). The Act prohibited possession of a firearm in a school zone (on the campus of a public or private school or within 1,000 feet of the grounds). In response to the Court's finding that the Act exceeded Congress's authority to regulate commerce, the 104th Congress included a provision in P.L. 104-208 that amended the Act to require federal prosecutors to include evidence that the firearms "moved in" or affected interstate commerce.
- ! The Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act, 1993 (P.L. 103-159) requires that background checks be completed on all nonlicensed person seeking to obtain firearms from federal firearm licensees.
- The Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (P.L. 103-322) ! prohibits the manufacture or importation of semiautomatic assault weapons and large capacity ammunition feeding devices (for a 10-year period). In the case of large capacity ammunition feeding devices, the ban on importation applies to those devices manufactured after September 1994. This Act provides an exception for the transfer, sale, or possession of semiautomatic assault weapons and large capacity ammunition feeding devices lawfully possessed on the date of enactment. This Act also bans the sale or transfer of handguns and handgun ammunition to, or possession of handguns and handgun ammunition by, juveniles (under 18 years of age) without prior written consent from the juvenile's parent or legal guardian; exceptions related to employment, ranching, farming, target practice, and hunting are provided. In addition, the Act disqualifies persons under court orders related to domestic abuse from receiving a firearm from any person or possessing a firearm. It also enhances penalties for the criminal use of firearms and makes other changes to existing law.
- ! Federal Domestic Violence Gun Ban (the Lautenberg Amendment, in the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY1997, P.L. 104-208) prohibits persons convicted of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence from possessing guns and ammunition. The ban applies retroactively, regardless of when the offense was adjudicated. On January 21, 1997, the Fraternal Order of Police (civil case 97-145) filed suit to challenge the law's

retroactivity as unconstitutional. On August 28, 1998, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia found in favor of the Fraternal Order of Police regarding provisions of the Lautenberg Amendment to be in violation of the equal protection clause. A motion for rehearing, however, was filed by the Department of Justice. The U.S. Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia reversed its earlier ruling on April 16, 1999, stating that "there is no fundamental right to possess a gun in a community service job and that the Fraternal Order of Police had presented no evidence that officers who lost their jobs because of the law were denied due process." It is reported that the Fraternal Order of Police will appeal this ruling as far as the Supreme Court.

- Interpretent Provide the Interpretent Provided Appropriation (P.L. 105-277), requires all federal firearm licensees to offer for sale gun storage and safety devices. It also bans firearm transfers to, or possession by, nonimmigrants who have overstayed their the terms of their temporary visa.
- ! The Treasury, Postal and General Government Appropriations Act (P.L. 106-58) requires that background checks be conducted when former firearm owners seek to redeem a firearm that they sold to a pawnshop.

Possible Issues for the 107th Congress

The debate over the extent to which the federal government should regulate firearms and ammunition was reignited by a rash of shootings, most notably, the incident at Columbine High School in Littleton, CO, on April 20, 1999. As this debate is sure to be continued in the 107th Congress, gun control issues considered in the 106th Congress are likely to reemerge. In particular, the proposal to require background checks for firearm transfers by nonlicensed persons at gun shows is likely to the centerpiece of pro-gun control legislative proposals.

Federal law does not regulate gun shows specifically. Federal law regulating firearms transfers, however, is applicable to such transfers at gun shows. Federal firearm licensees – those licensed by the federal government to manufacture, import, or deal in firearms – are required to conduct background checks on nonlicensed persons seeking to obtain a firearm from them, by purchase or exchange. Conversely, nonlicensed persons – those persons who transfer firearms, but who do not meet the statutory test of being "engaged in the business" – are not required to conduct such checks.

To some, this may appear to be an incongruity in the law. Why should licensees be required to conduct checks at gun shows, and not nonlicensees? To others, opposed to further federal regulation of firearms, it may appear to be a continuance of the status quo, *i.e.*, non-interference by the federal government into private firearm transfers within state lines. While others, seeking to increase federal regulation of firearms, may view the absence of background checks for firearm transfers between nonlicensed persons as a loophole in the law that needs to be closed. At issue for Congress is whether federal regulation of firearms should be expanded to include private firearm transfers at gun shows and other similar venues.

It is currently unclear what the Bush Administration's position will be on gun shows and background checks. During the presidential candidate debates, then candidate, now Presidentelect George W. Bush, stated that he favored extending background checks to all firearm transfers at gun shows. For further information on gun shows, see *Gun Shows: Brady Checks* *and Crime Gun Traces* (Washington: January 1999). See: [http://www.atf.treas.gov./], click on "Publications."

Other issues considered in the 106th Congress that are likely to emerge in the 107th Congress include: 1) requiring child safety locks, 2) increasing regulation of semiautomatic assault weapons, 3) widening the importation ban on high capacity ammunition feeding devices, and 4) prohibiting certain violent juvenile offenders from possessing firearms.